



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

October 4, 2006

Mr. Val Varley  
District and County Attorney  
Red River County  
400 North Walnut  
Clarksville, Texas 75426-4012

OR2006-11570

Dear Mr. Varley:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 261053.

Red River County (the "county") received a request for the "complete Investigative Record of [a named individual]" regarding a particular criminal case. You claim that some of the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that the submitted information includes arrest warrants and their supporting probable cause affidavits as well as complaint affidavits. Article 15.26 of the Code of Criminal Procedure states "[t]he arrest warrant, and any affidavit presented to the magistrate in support of the issuance of the warrant, is public information." Crim. Proc. Code art. 15.26. Article 15.04 provides that "[t]he affidavit made before the magistrate or district or county attorney is called a 'complaint' if it charges the commission of an offense." Crim. Proc. Code art. 15.04. Case law indicates that a complaint can support the issuance of an arrest warrant. *See Janecka v. State*, 739 S.W.2d 813, 822-23 (Tex. Crim. App. 1987); *Villegas v. State*, 791 S.W.2d 226, 235 (Tex. App.—Corpus Christi 1990, pet. ref'd); *Borsari v. State*, 919 S.W.2d 913, 918 (Tex. App.—Houston [14 Dist.] 1996, pet. ref'd) (discussing well-established principle that complaint in support of arrest warrant need not contain same

---

<sup>1</sup>Although you also cite Open Records Decision No. 404 (1983) as a basis for withholding this information, you do not explain, and is not otherwise clear to this office, how this open records decision provides any basis for withholding the information at issue here. *See* ORD 404 (addressing an open records request made to the State Board of Insurance seeking inspection reports and certificates of insurance for the State Fair of Texas and Continental Park Attractions).

particularity required of indictment). Exceptions to disclosure under the Act generally do not apply to information that is made public by other statutes, such as article 15.26 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 623 at 3 (1994), 525 at 3 (1989). Therefore, unredacted copies of the arrest warrants, probable cause affidavits, and the complaint affidavits must be released pursuant to article 15.26 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

We next address your arguments for the remaining submitted information. We understand you to assert that the information at issue is excepted under section 552.108 of the Government Code as interpreted by *Holmes v. Morales*. *See Holmes v. Morales*, 924 S.W.2d 920 (Tex. 1996). In *Holmes*, the Texas Supreme Court held that the plain language of section 552.108 did not require a governmental body to show that release of the information would unduly interfere with law enforcement. *Id.* at 925. Subsequent to the interpretation of section 552.108 in *Holmes*, the Seventy-fifth Legislature amended section 552.108 extensively. *See* Act of June 1, 1997, 75th Leg., R.S., ch. 1231, § 1, 1997 Tex. Gen. Laws 4697. As amended, section 552.108 now expressly requires a governmental body to explain, among other things, how release of the information would interfere with law enforcement.

Section 552.108 now reads as follows:

(a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from [required public disclosure] if:

(1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime;

(2) it is information that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication;

(3) it is information relating to a threat against a peace officer collected or disseminated under Section 411.048; or

(4) it is information that:

(A) is prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation; or

(B) reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state.

(b) An internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution is excepted from [required public disclosure] if:

(1) release of the internal record or notation would interfere with law enforcement or prosecution;

(2) the internal record or notation relates to law enforcement only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication; or

(3) the internal record or notation:

(A) is prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation; or

(B) reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state.

(c) This section does not except [from public disclosure] information that is basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime.

Gov't Code § 552.108. Generally, a governmental body claiming subsection 552.108(a)(1) or 552.108(b)(1) must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(1), (b)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). Although you indicate that “[t]here are still several offenses outstanding against [the named individual,]” you have not stated that the submitted information pertains to an ongoing criminal investigation or prosecution, nor have you explained how its release would interfere in some way with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. Thus, the county has not met its burden under subsection 552.108(a)(1) or 552.108(b)(1). A governmental body claiming subsection 552.108(a)(2) or 552.108(b)(2) must demonstrate that the requested information relates to a criminal investigation that has concluded in a final result other than a conviction or deferred adjudication. You have not explained how or if the investigation related to the information at issue has concluded. Thus, the county has not met its burden under subsection 552.108(a)(2) or 552.108(b)(2). Section 552.108(a)(3) is also inapplicable, as the remaining submitted information does not relate to a threat against a police officer. *See* Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(3). Lastly, you do not assert that the information at issue was prepared by an attorney representing the state or that it reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state. *See id.* § 552.108(a)(4), (b)(3). We therefore conclude that the county may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.108.

We note, however, that the remaining submitted records include information that is subject to sections 552.101, 552.130, and 552.147 of the Government Code.<sup>2</sup> Section 552.101 of the Government Code exempts from public disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” *Id.* § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses information protected by other statutes. Criminal history record information (“CHRI”) generated by the National Crime Information Center (“NCIC”) or by the Texas Crime Information Center (“TCIC”) is confidential. Title 28, part 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations governs the release of CHRI that states obtain from the federal government or other states. Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990). The federal regulations allow each state to follow its individual law with respect to CHRI it generates. *Id.* Section 411.083 of the Government Code deems confidential CHRI that the Department of Public Safety (“DPS”) maintains, except that the DPS may disseminate this information as provided in chapter 411, subchapter F of the Government Code. *See* Gov’t Code § 411.083.

Sections 411.083(b)(1) and 411.089(a) authorize a criminal justice agency to obtain CHRI; however, a criminal justice agency may not release CHRI except to another criminal justice agency for a criminal justice purpose. *Id.* § 411.089(b)(1). Other entities specified in chapter 411 of the Government Code are entitled to obtain CHRI from DPS or another criminal justice agency; however, those entities may not release CHRI except as provided by chapter 411. *See generally id.* §§ 411.090 - .127. Thus, any CHRI generated by the federal government or another state may not be made available to the requestor except in accordance with federal regulations. *See* Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990). Furthermore, any CHRI obtained from DPS or any other criminal justice agency must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with Government Code chapter 411, subchapter F. We have marked the CHRI that must be withheld under section 552.101 on the basis of Government Code chapter 411, subchapter F.

The submitted records also contain fingerprint information subject to sections 560.001, 560.002, and 560.003 of the Government Code. These sections provide as follows:

Sec. 560.001. DEFINITIONS. In this chapter:

- (1) “Biometric identifier” means a retina or iris scan, fingerprint, voiceprint, or record of hand or face geometry.
- (2) “Governmental body” has the meaning assigned by Section 552.003 [of the Government Code], except that the term includes each entity within or created by the judicial branch of state government.

---

<sup>2</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception like sections 552.101, 552.130, and 552.147 on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

Sec. 560.002. DISCLOSURE OF BIOMETRIC IDENTIFIER. A governmental body that possesses a biometric identifier of an individual:

(1) may not sell, lease, or otherwise disclose the biometric identifier to another person unless:

(A) the individual consents to the disclosure;

(B) the disclosure is required or permitted by a federal statute or by a state statute other than Chapter 552 [of the Government Code]; or

(C) the disclosure is made by or to a law enforcement agency for a law enforcement purpose; and

(2) shall store, transmit, and protect from disclosure the biometric identifier using reasonable care and in a manner that is the same as or more protective than the manner in which the governmental body stores, transmits, and protects its other confidential information.

Sec. 560.003. APPLICATION OF CHAPTER 552. A biometric identifier in the possession of a governmental body is exempt from disclosure under Chapter 552.

Gov't Code §§ 560.001-.003. Upon review, we find that section 560.002 does not permit the disclosure of the submitted fingerprint information in this instance. Therefore, the county must withhold the fingerprint information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 560.003 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy. Common-law privacy protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). This office has found that information that reflects an individual's personal financial decisions and is not related to a financial transaction between the individual and a governmental body is generally excepted from disclosure under common-law privacy. Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992) (public employee's withholding allowance certificate, designation of beneficiary of employee's retirement benefits, direct deposit authorization, and employee's decisions regarding voluntary benefits programs, among others, protected under common-law privacy), 545 (1990) (deferred compensation information, mortgage payments, assets, bills, and credit history protected under common-law privacy). We have marked personal financial information in the submitted documents that the city must withhold under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Next, section 552.130 of the Government Code provides in relevant part as follows:

(a) Information is excepted from required public disclosure if the information relates to:

- (1) a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state; [or]
- (2) a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state; [or]
- (3) a personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or a local agency authorized to issue an identification document.

*Id.* § 552.130(a)(1), (2), (3). The county must withhold the Texas motor vehicle record information and the state identification number that we have marked under section 552.130.

Lastly, the information at issue also contains social security numbers. Section 552.147 of the Government Code provides that "[t]he social security number of a living person is excepted from" required public disclosure under the Act. *Id.* § 552.147. We note that you have already redacted some of the social security numbers in the submitted records.<sup>3</sup> We have marked additional social security numbers that must also be withheld under section 552.147.

In summary, unredacted copies of the arrest warrants, probable cause affidavits, and the complaint affidavits must be released pursuant to article 15.26 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The county must withhold the CHRI, the fingerprint information, and the personal financial information that we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code. The county must also withhold the Texas motor vehicle record information and the state identification number that we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The social security numbers must be withheld under section 552.147 of the Government Code. The remaining submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited

---

<sup>3</sup>Section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act. Gov't Code § 552.147(b).

from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within ten calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within ten calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Robert B. Rapfogel  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

RBR/eb

Mr. Val Varley - Page 8

Ref: ID# 261053

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Ernest Henslee  
4494 County Road 4530  
Avery, Texas 75554-9658  
(w/o enclosures)