



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 5, 2006

Ms. Ashley Fourt
Assistant District Attorney
Tarrant County District Attorney's Office
401 West Belknap
Fort Worth, Texas 76196-0201

OR2006-11645

Dear Ms. Fourt:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 261536.

The Tarrant County Purchasing Department (the "department") received a request for information related to RFP No. 2006-164. You state that you have released some of the requested information to the requestor. While you raise no exceptions to disclosure on behalf of the department regarding the remaining requested information, you state that its release may implicate the proprietary interests of the interested third parties, DFW Communications ("DFW") and BEARCOM Wireless Worldwide ("BEARCOM"). Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, that you notified DFW and BEARCOM of the request and of each company's right to submit arguments to this office as to why the information at issue should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why

information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, neither of the interested third parties has submitted to this office any reasons explaining why its information should not be released. Thus, we have no basis for concluding that any portion of the requested information constitutes proprietary information, and none of it may be withheld on that basis. *See, e.g.*, Gov't Code § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990). Accordingly, we conclude that none of the submitted information may be withheld based on the proprietary interest of DFW or BEARCOM.

However, we note the submitted information includes insurance policy numbers. Section 552.136 of the Government Code provides that “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.”¹ Gov't Code § 552.136. Accordingly, the department must withhold the policy numbers we have marked in the submitted information pursuant to section 552.136. The remaining information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body

¹The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Cindy Nettles
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CN/eb

Ref: ID# 261536

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Larry Miller
EF Johnson Communications
c/o Tarrant County District Attorney's Office
401 West Belknap
Fort Worth, Texas 76196-0201
(w/o enclosures)

c: Mr. Bill Kennedy
DFW Communications
2413 Grand Drive
Fort Worth, Texas 76118
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Kathy Carter
BEARCOM Wireless Worldwide
4009 Distribution Drive, Suite 200
Garland, Texas 75041
(w/o enclosures)