



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

October 6, 2006

Ms. Julie Joe  
Assistant County Attorney  
County of Travis  
P. O. Box 1748  
Austin, Texas 78767

OR2006-11670

Dear Ms. Joe:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 261197.

The Travis County Sheriff's Office (the "sheriff") received two requests for the internal affairs investigation regarding a named individual. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>Although you initially raised sections 552.101, 552.103, 552.107, 552.111, 552.117, 552.130, 552.137, and 552.147 of the Government Code, you have not submitted arguments explaining how these exceptions apply to the submitted information. Therefore, we presume that you have withdrawn these exceptions. See Gov't Code §§ 552.301, 552.302.

<sup>2</sup>We assume that the representative sample of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

Initially, we note that some of the submitted information was created after both requests for that information were received. Because this information was created after the sheriff's receipt of these requests, it is not encompassed by these requests. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision No. 452 at 3 (1986) (governmental body not required to disclose information that did not exist at the time request was received). Accordingly, we do not address the availability of this non-responsive information, and the sheriff need not release it in response to these requests.

Section 552.108(a)(1) excepts from disclosure “[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if . . . release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.108(a)(1). A governmental body that claims an exception to disclosure under section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why section the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(1), (b)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977); Open Records Decision No. 434 at 2-3 (1986).

You state that the information at issue relates to an active internal affairs investigation that is being conducted by the sheriff. Section 552.108 is generally not applicable to information relating to an administrative investigation that did not result in a criminal investigation or prosecution. *See Morales v. Ellen*, 840 S.W.2d 519, 525-26 (Tex. Civ. App.—El Paso 1992, writ denied) (statutory predecessor to section 552.108 not applicable to internal investigation that did not result in criminal investigation or prosecution); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 350 at 3-4 (1982). In this instance, however, you inform us that the information at issue also relates to an active criminal investigation being conducted by the Travis County District Attorney’s Office (the “district attorney”). You state that the district attorney objects to the release of the information at issue. Based on your representations and our review of the submitted information, we find that section 552.108(a)(1) is applicable to the information at issue. *See Houston Chronicle Publ’g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), writ refused n.r.e., 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (per curiam) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 372 at 4 (1983) (statutory predecessor to section 552.108 may be invoked by any proper custodian of information relating to incident allegedly involving criminal conduct that remains under active investigation or prosecution), 350 at 3-4 (1982) (if complaint against police officer that may result in criminal charges is under active investigation, information may be withheld under statutory predecessor during pendency of investigation); *cf. City of Fort Worth v. Cornyn*, 86 S.W.3d 320, (Tex. App.—Austin 2002, no pet.); Open Records Decision No. 562 at 10 (1990).

However, section 552.108 does not except from disclosure basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov’t Code § 552.108(c). Basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle*, 531 S.W.2d at 185-86. Thus, with

the exception of the basic front page offense and arrest information, you may withhold the information at issue from disclosure based on section 552.108(a)(1). We note that you have the discretion to release all or part of this information that is not otherwise confidential by law. Gov't Code § 552.007.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).


If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for

contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Michael A. Lehmann  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

MAL/dh

Ref: ID# 261197

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Mark Webster  
1105 Ellis Cove  
Round Rock, Texas 78664  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Darla Fuller  
5205 Magnolia Mound Cove  
Austin, Texas 78727  
(w/o enclosures)