



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 10, 2006

Ms. Carla Cordova
Assistant General Counsel
Texas Department of Criminal Justice
Office of the General Counsel
P. O. Box 4004
Huntsville, Texas 77342-4004

OR2006-11777

Dear Ms. Cordova:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 261439.

The Texas Department of Criminal Justice (the "department") received a request for information pertaining to the department's selection of an applicant to fill the position of Inventory Coordinator I, namely: (1) a copy of the department's interview questions; (2) copies of the answers given by both the requestor and the selected applicant; and (3) the department's rationale in selecting the chosen applicant. You state that you have, or will, release the department's rationale in selecting the chosen applicant. You claim, however, that the remainder of the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.122 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.122(b) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure test items developed by a licensing agency or governmental body. In Open Records Decision No. 626 (1994), this office determined that the term "test item" in section 552.122 includes any standard means by which an individual's or group's knowledge or ability in a particular area is evaluated, but does not encompass evaluations of an employee's overall job performance or suitability. Whether information falls within the section 552.122 exception must be determined on a case-by-case basis. Open Records Decision No. 626 at 6 (1994). This office has generally found section 552.122 to apply in cases where release of "test items" might compromise the effectiveness of future examinations. *Id.* at 4-5; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 118

(1976). Additionally, when answers to test questions might reveal the questions themselves, the answers may be withheld under section 552.122(b). *See* Open Records Decision No. 626 at 8.

In this instance, you contend that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.122(b) of the Government Code. You state that the submitted questions are “intended to display the technical expertise of the applicant” and that the department prefers to use similar questions from one position selection to the next. Further, you claim that the release of this information could undermine the selection process and compromise the effectiveness of future oral examinations. Having considered your arguments and reviewed the submitted information, we conclude that submitted interview questions qualify as test items under section 552.122(b). We also find that the release of the model and actual answers to these questions would tend to reveal the questions themselves. Therefore, the department may withhold interview questions one through six, along with the model and actual answers, pursuant to section 552.122(b).

We note that the submitted information contains a social security number. Section 552.147 of the Government Code provides that “[t]he social security number of a living person is excepted from” required public disclosure under the Act. Gov’t Code § 552.147. Therefore, the department must withhold the social security number we have marked under section 552.147.¹

In summary, the department must withhold the social security number we have marked under section 552.147 of the Government Code. The department may withhold interview questions one through six, along with the model and actual answers, pursuant to section 552.122(b) of the Government Code. The department must release the remaining information to the requestor.²

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the

¹We note that section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person’s social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act.

²The information being released includes the requestor’s social security number. The requestor has a right of access to her own social security number under section 552.023 of the Government Code. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.023(a); Open Records Decision No. 481 at 4 (1987) (privacy theories not implicated when an individual or authorized representative asks governmental body to provide information concerning that individual). However, if the department receives another request for this particular information from a different requestor, the department should again seek a decision from this office.

governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Alix K. Cornett
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

AKC/krl

Ref: ID# 261439

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Darlene Mullen
404 Rainer Road
Gateville, Texas 76528
(w/o enclosures)