



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

February 6, 2007

Ms. Sharon Alexander  
Associate General Counsel  
Texas Department of Transportation  
125 East 11<sup>th</sup> Street  
Austin, Texas 78701-2483

OR2007-01501

Dear Ms. Alexander:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 270863.

The Texas Department of Transportation (the "department") received a request for three proposals submitted in response to Solicitation No. B 44 2006 00982 0000. You make no arguments and take no position as to whether the requested information is excepted from disclosure. Instead, you state that release of the requested information may implicate the proprietary interests of interested third parties DocuData, First National Information Solutions, and Sourcecorp BPS, Inc. Pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code, you have notified these interested third parties of the request and of each company's right to submit arguments to this office as to why the information should not be released. See Gov't Code § 552.305(d) (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that section 552.305 of the Government Code allows an interested third party ten business days from the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should not be released. *See Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B)*. As of the date of this letter, we have not received arguments from any of the third parties for withholding their information. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude that the release of this information would harm the proprietary interests of any of these companies. *See id.* § 552.110(b); Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (stating that business enterprise that claims exception for commercial or financial information under section 552.110(b) must show by specific factual evidence that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret). Accordingly, we conclude that the department may not withhold any portion of the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest that the interested third parties may have in it.

We note that the submitted information contains insurance policy numbers. Section 552.136 of the Government Code states that “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.” Gov't Code § 552.136.<sup>1</sup> The department must, therefore, withhold the insurance policy numbers that we have marked under section 552.136.

We note that some of the submitted information includes notice of copyright protection. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990).

In summary, the department must withhold the insurance policy numbers that we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The department must release the remaining information. In releasing the remaining information, the department must release information protected by copyright only in accordance with copyright law.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited

---

<sup>1</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception like section 552.136 on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



L. Joseph James  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

LJJ/eb

Ref: ID# 270863

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Douglas P. Allen, CRM, CDIA  
3103 Sasparilla Cove  
Austin, Texas 78748  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Bill Holder  
DocuData Solutions  
2601 Kiphen Road, Bldg. 200  
Round Rock, Texas 78664  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Edward Micaletti  
President  
First National Information Solutions  
4825 F. Street  
Omaha, Nebraska 68117  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Mike Smith  
Regional Sales Executive  
Sourcecorp BPS, Inc.  
3232 McKinney Avenue, Suite 1000  
Dallas, Texas 75204  
(w/o enclosures)