



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

May 31, 2007

Ms. P. Armstrong  
Assistant City Attorney  
Criminal Law and Police Division  
City of Dallas  
1400 South Lamar  
Dallas, Texas 75215

OR2007-06798

Dear Ms. Armstrong:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 282270.

The Dallas Police Department (the "department") received four requests for information pertaining to a specified accident investigation, including the offense report. You claim that some of the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.108 and 552.130 of the Government Code and protected under section 550.065 of the Transportation Code. We have considered your arguments and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>1</sup>

Initially, the department acknowledges, and we agree, that it failed to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 of the Government Code. A governmental body's failure to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 results in the legal presumption that the requested information is public and must be released unless the governmental body demonstrates a compelling reason to withhold the information from disclosure. *See Gov't Code § 552.302; Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82

---

<sup>1</sup>We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988)*. This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

(Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ); Open Records Decision No. 319 (1982). The presumption that information is public under section 552.302 can generally be overcome by demonstrating that the information is confidential by law or third-party interests are at stake. See Open Records Decision Nos. 630 at 3 (1994), 325 at 2 (1982). Section 552.108 is a discretionary exception to disclosure that protects a governmental body's interests and may be waived by the governmental body. See Open Records Decision No. 177 (1977) (governmental body may waive statutory predecessor to section 552.108); see also Open Records Decision No. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally). The department's claim under section 552.108 is not a compelling reason for nondisclosure under section 552.302. See Open Records Decision No. 586 at 2-3 (1991). However, the need of another governmental body to withhold information under section 552.108 can provide a compelling reason under section 552.302. See ORD 586 at 3. Because the department asserts section 552.108 on behalf of the Dallas County District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney"), we will address your claim under this exception. Section 552.130 of the Government Code and section 550.065 of the Transportation Code can also provide compelling reasons to overcome this presumption; therefore, we will address your arguments under these sections as well.

The submitted information contains an accident report form that appears to have been completed pursuant to chapter 550 of the Transportation Code. See Transp. Code § 550.064 (officer's accident report). Section 550.065(b) states that, except as provided by subsection (c), accident reports are privileged and confidential. Section 550.065(c)(4) provides for the release of accident reports to a person who provides two of the following three pieces of information: (1) the date of the accident; (2) the name of any person involved in the accident; and (3) the specific location of the accident. *Id.* § 550.065(c)(4). Under this provision, a governmental entity is required to release a copy of an accident report to a person who provides two or more pieces of information specified by the statute. *Id.* The two requestors who asked for this accident report did not provide the department with two of the three pieces of information pursuant to section 550.065(c)(4); therefore, under section 550.065(c)(4), the department must withhold the submitted accident report you have marked from these requestors.

You assert that some of the remaining information is excepted under section 552.108 of the Government Code. Section 552.108(a)(1) excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime [if] release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime." A governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. See Gov't Code §§ 552.108(a)(1), (b)(1), 552.301(e)(1)(A); see also *Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). You inform us that the submitted information relates to a pending criminal investigation and that the district attorney believes "release of this information at this time will negatively affect [district attorney's] ability to successfully prosecute this case." Based on these representations, we conclude that the release of this information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. See *Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ.

App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e.*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases). Thus, the department may withhold the information you have marked under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.

Finally, you assert that some of the remaining information is excepted under section 552.130 of the Government Code, which provides that information relating to a motor vehicle operator's license, driver's license, motor vehicle title, or registration issued by a Texas agency is excepted from public release. Gov't Code § 552.130(a)(1), (2). But section 552.130 is designed to protect the privacy of individuals, and the right to privacy expires at death. *See Moore v. Charles B. Pierce Film Enters. Inc.*, 589 S.W.2d 489 (Tex. Civ. App.—Texarkana 1979, *writ ref'd n.r.e.*); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 272 at 1 (1981) (privacy rights lapse upon death). The department must withhold the Texas motor vehicle record information we have marked under section 552.130. The remaining information pertains to deceased individuals; therefore, the department may not withhold the remaining information under section 552.130.

To conclude, the department must withhold the accident report marked under section 550.065 of the Transportation Code and the information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The department may withhold the information marked under section 552.108 of the Government Code. The department must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

*This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. Id. § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. Id. § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. Id. § 552.321(a).*

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll

free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

  
James L. Coggeshall  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

JLC/jb

Ref: ID# 282270

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Manuel Flores  
552 Windward Way  
Sacramento, California 95831  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jawhar Geedi  
3606 Block Drive, Apt. # 307  
Irving, Texas 75038  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Barrett W. Stetson  
Law Firm of Barrett Stetson  
2929 Carlisle Street, Suite 360  
Dallas, Texas 75204  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Candido Rodriguez  
Jim S. Adler & Associates  
2711 North Haskell Avenue, Suite 2100  
Dallas, Texas 75204  
(w/o enclosures)