



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

September 5, 2007

Mr. David Galbraith  
Assistant General Counsel  
Houston Independent School District  
Hattie Mae White Educational Center  
4400 West 18<sup>th</sup> Street  
Houston, Texas 77092-8501

OR2007-11537

Dear Mr. Galbraith:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 288518.

The Houston Independent School District (the "district") received a request for all information related to any agreement regarding long distance voice telecommunication services between the district and Southwestern Bell Telephone ("Southwestern"), Time Warner, or Qwest Communication Corporation ("Qwest"). You take no position with regard to the requested information. However, you state that the request may implicate the proprietary interests of third parties, namely, Southwestern and Qwest. Pursuant to section 552.305(d) of the Government Code, you have notified Southwestern and Qwest of the request and of their opportunity to submit comments to this office. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code

§ 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from Southwestern or Qwest explaining why the requested information should not be released. We thus have no basis for concluding that any portion of the requested information constitutes proprietary information protected under section 552.110, and none of it may be withheld on that basis. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990). Accordingly, the requested information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be

sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Loan Hong-Turney  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

LH/jb

Ref: ID# 288518

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Kimberly Young  
JA Russo Associates, Inc.  
205 West 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 206  
Escondido, California 92025  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jack Womack  
Branch Manager  
Qwest Communication Corporation  
555 Seventeenth Street  
Denver, Colorado 80202-3950  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Susan Caraway  
Southwestern Bell Telephone  
6500 West Loop South, Zone 2.7  
Bellaire, Texas 77401  
(w/o enclosures)