



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

September 24, 2007

Ms. Patricia E. Carls
Brown & Carls LLP
City Attorney - City of Georgetown
106 East Sixth Street, Suite 550
Austin, Texas 78701

OR2007-12329

Dear Ms. Carls:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 292068.

The City of Georgetown (the "city"), which you represent, received two requests for information pertaining to a specified accident. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.¹

Initially, we note that the submitted information contains an accident report form that appears to have been completed pursuant to chapter 550 of the Transportation Code. *See* Transp. Code § 550.064 (officer's accident report). Section 550.065(b) states that, except as provided by subsection (c), accident reports are privileged and confidential. Section 550.065(c)(4) provides for the release of accident reports to a person who provides two of the following three pieces of information: (1) the date of the accident; (2) the name of any person involved in the accident; and (3) the specific location of the accident. *Id.* § 550.065(c)(4). Under this provision, a governmental entity is required to release a copy of an accident report to a person who provides two or more pieces of information specified

¹We assume that, to the extent any additional responsive information existed when the city received the request for information, the city has released it to the requestor. If not, then the city must do so immediately. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.006, 552.301, 552.302; Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000).

by the statute. *Id.* Both requestors have provided the city with two of the three pieces of information pursuant to section 550.065(c)(4); thus, the city must release the accident report, which we have marked, under this section.

The documents responsive to the second request for information contain completed estimates that are subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Under section 552.022(a)(5), all working papers, research material, and information used to estimate the need for or expenditure of public funds or taxes by a governmental body, on completion of the estimate, are expressly public unless they are expressly confidential under other law. Section 552.103 of the Government Code is a discretionary exception under the Act, and does not constitute “other law” for purposes of section 552.022. *See Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469, 475-76 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive section 552.103); *Open Records Decision No. 542 at 4 (1990)* (statutory predecessor to section 552.103 may be waived). Accordingly, the city may not withhold the estimates in the submitted information, which we have marked, under section 552.103. We note, however, that these estimates contain Texas motor vehicle record information and an insurance policy number. Sections 552.130 and 552.136 of the Government Code are “other law” for purposes of section 552.022; therefore, we will address whether this information is excepted under these sections.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code provides that information relating to a motor vehicle operator’s license, driver’s license, motor vehicle title, or registration issued by a Texas agency is excepted from public release. Gov’t Code § 552.130(a)(1), (2). The city must withhold the Texas motor vehicle record information we have marked in the completed estimates under section 552.130.

The completed estimates contain an insurance policy number. Section 552.136(b) of the Government Code states that “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.” Gov’t Code § 552.136. The city must withhold the insurance policy number we have marked in the completed estimates under section 552.136.

Some of the materials in the submitted estimates may also be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See Open Records Decision No.550 (1990)*.

You assert the remaining information is excepted under section 552.103 of the Government Code, which provides in part as follows:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). The governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the request for information and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). The governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a).

To establish that litigation is reasonably anticipated, a governmental body must provide this office "concrete evidence showing that the claim that litigation may ensue is more than mere conjecture." Open Records Decision No. 452 at 4 (1986). Whether litigation is reasonably anticipated must be determined on a case-by-case basis. *Id.* In Open Records Decision No. 638 (1996), this office stated that a governmental body has met its burden of showing litigation is reasonably anticipated by representing it received a notice-of-claim letter that is in compliance with the Texas Tort Claims Act ("TTCA"), chapter 101 of the Civil Practices and Remedies Code.

You state and provide documentation showing that the city received a notice-of-claim letter prior to receiving the request for information and that the notice complies with the requirements of the TTCA. Thus, we find that the city reasonably anticipated litigation when it received the requests for information. Our review of the remaining documents also shows that they are related to the anticipated litigation for purposes of section 552.103(a). Therefore, the city may withhold the remaining information under section 552.103.

We note, however, that once the information has been obtained by all parties to the pending litigation, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision No. 349 at 2 (1982). We also note that the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends when the litigation has concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982) at 2; Open Records Decision Nos. 350 at 3 (1982), 349 at 2 (1982).

To conclude, the city must release to both requestors the marked accident report pursuant to section 550.065 of the Transportation Code. The city must withhold the information marked under sections 552.130 and 552.136 of the Government Code in the completed estimates. The city must release to the second requestor the remaining information in the marked estimates under section 552.022 of the Government Code, but any copyrighted information may only be released in accordance with copyright law. The city may withhold the remaining information from both requestors under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



James E. Coggeshall
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JLC/jh

Ref: ID# 292068

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Rick McCracken
P.O. Box 63657
Pipe Creek, Texas 78063
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Bob Gorsky
Lyon, Gorsky, Haring & Gilbert, L.L.P.
3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100
Dallas, Texas 75204
(w/o enclosures)