



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 15, 2007

Mr. Scott A. Kelly
Deputy General Counsel
Office of General Counsel
Texas A&M System
200 Technology Way, Suite 2079
College Station, Texas 77845-3424

OR2007-13391

Dear Mr. Kelly:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 291994.

Texas A&M University (the "university") received a request for the winning proposal for RFP MAIN 07-0017. You state that dual awards were given to McLane Advanced Technologies ("MAT") and SolutionWerx, Inc. ("SolutionWerx"). You raise no exception to disclosure of the submitted proposals. However, you indicate that release of the proposals may implicate the proprietary interests of MAT and SolutionWerx. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, that you notified these parties of the request and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why their proposals should not be released. *See Gov't Code § 552.305(d); see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

We note that an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received any arguments from MAT or SolutionWerx for withholding either of the proposals. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude that the release of any of the submitted information would harm the proprietary interests of MAT or SolutionWerx. *See id.* § 551.110(b); Open Records

Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (stating that business enterprise that claims exception for commercial or financial information under section 552.110(b) must show by specific factual evidence that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish prima facie case that information is trade secret).

We note that some of the materials may be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990). Thus, the proposals must be released to the requestor in accordance with applicable copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental

body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



M. Alan Akin
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MAA/mcf

Ref: ID# 291994

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Ram Tackett
Novasys Technologies, Inc.
12337 Jones Road, Suite 432
Houston, Texas 77070
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Gerald Burch
Vice President of Sales and Development
McLane Advanced Technologies, L.L.C.
400 Industrial Boulevard
Temple, Texas 76504
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Lisa J. Adkins
President
SolutionWerx, Inc.
8100-M4 Wyoming Boulevard, NE, #421
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87113
(w/o enclosures)