



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 22, 2007

Ms. Cheryl T. Mehl
Schwartz & Eichelbaum, P.C.
4201 West Parmer Lane, Suite A-100
Austin, Texas 78727

OR2007-13757

Dear Ms. Mehl:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 296513.

The Robinson Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received two requests for information pertaining to specified sessions of district board meetings. You state that information related to the open portions of the meetings has been released to the requestor. You claim that the remaining requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim.

We initially note that the requested information appears to be an education record. Recently, the United States Department of Education Family Policy Compliance Office (the "DOE") informed this office that the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), section 1232g of title 20 of the United States Code, does not permit state and local educational authorities to disclose to this office, without parental consent, unredacted, personally identifiable information contained in education records for the purpose of our review in the open records ruling process under the Act.¹ Consequently, state and local educational authorities that receive a request for education records from a member of the public under the Act must not submit education records to this office in unredacted form, that

¹A copy of this letter may be found on the attorney general's website, available at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/opinopen/og_resources.shtml.

is, in a form in which “personally identifiable information” is disclosed. *See* 34 C.F.R. § 99.3 (defining “personally identifiable information”). However, if the district obtains parental consent to submit unredacted education records, and the district seeks a ruling from this office on the proper redaction of those education records in compliance with FERPA, we will rule accordingly. Because our office is prohibited from reviewing education records to determine the applicability of FERPA, we will not address FERPA with respect to the requested information, other than to note that parents have a right of access to their own child’s education records. *See* 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(1)(A); 34 C.F.R. § 99.3. We further note that the DOE also has informed this office that if a state law prohibits a school district from providing a parent with access to the education records of his or her child and an opportunity to inspect and review the record, then the state statute conflicts with FERPA, and an educational agency or institution must comply with FERPA if it wishes to continue to receive federal education funds. Letter advisement from Ellen Campbell, Family Compliance Office, U.S. Department of Education to Robert Patterson, Open Records Division, Office of the Texas Attorney General (April 9, 2001). *See Equal Employment Opportunity Comm’n v. City of Orange*, 905 F. Supp 381, 382 (E.D. Tex. 1995); Open Records Decision No. 431 (1985) (FERPA prevails when in conflict with state law). Because the educational authority in possession of the education records is now responsible for determining the applicability of FERPA, we will only address your claimed exception to the disclosure of the requested information.

We next address the district’s obligations under section 552.301 of the Government Code, which prescribes the procedures that a governmental body must follow in asking this office to decide whether requested information is excepted from public disclosure. Section 552.301 prescribes procedures that a governmental body must follow in asking this office to decide whether requested information is excepted from public disclosure. Section 552.301(b) requires the governmental body to ask for the attorney general’s decision and state its exceptions to disclosure not later than the tenth business day after the date of its receipt of the written request for information. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.301(b). If a governmental body fails to comply with section 552.301, the requested information is presumed to be subject to required public disclosure and must be released, unless there is a compelling reason to withhold any of the information. *See id.* § 552.302; *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ).

The district received the first request for information on September 17, 2007. However, the district did not request a decision regarding this request until October 2, 2007. Accordingly, the district failed to meet the deadlines prescribed by section 552.301(b) with regard to the first request, and this information is therefore presumed to be public under section 552.302. This statutory presumption can generally be overcome when the information is confidential by law or third-party interests are at stake. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 630 at 3 (1994), 325 at 2 (1982). Section 552.101 of the Government Code can provide a compelling reason to withhold information; therefore, we will consider the district’s claim under this exception for all of the information at issue.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses information that other statutes make confidential. You raise section 552.101 in conjunction with section 551.104 of the Open Meetings Act, chapter 551 of the Government Code. Section 551.104 provides in part that “[t]he certified agenda or tape of a closed meeting is available for public inspection and copying only under a court order issued under Subsection (b)(3).” *Id.* §551.104(c). Thus, such information cannot be released to a member of the public in response to an open records request. *See* Attorney General Opinion JM-995 at 5-6 (1988) (public disclosure of certified agenda of closed meeting may be accomplished only under procedures provided in Open Meetings Act). Section 551.146 of the Open Meetings Act makes it a criminal offense to disclose a certified agenda or tape recording of a lawfully closed meeting to a member of the public. *See* Gov’t Code § 551.146(a)-(b); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 495 at 4 (1988) (attorney general lacks authority to review certified agendas or tapes of executive sessions to determine whether governmental body may withhold such information under statutory predecessor to Gov’t Code § 552.101).

Based on your arguments, we understand that the information at issue consists of records of closed meetings of the board of trustees. We therefore conclude that the district must withhold the information at issue under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 551.104 of the Government Code. This ruling does not address the applicability of FERPA to the requested information. Should the district determine that all or part of the information consists of an education record that is subject to FERPA, the district must dispose of the information in accordance with FERPA, rather than the Act.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body

will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Cindy Nettles
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CN/ma

Ref: ID# 296513

No enclosures

c: Ms. Shelby Tanner
8407 Ephraim Road
Austin, Texas 78714-5444
(w/o enclosures)