



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

November 5, 2007

Mr. S.A. "Drew" Gibbs
Assistant County Attorney
Henderson County
100 East Tyler Street, Room 100
Athens, Texas 75751

OR2007-14453

Dear Mr. Gibbs:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 293923.

The Henderson County Sheriff's Office (the "sheriff") received a request for any and all records reported by three named individuals and involving two specified addresses. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision" and encompasses information that another statute makes confidential. Gov't Code § 552.101. Chapter 772 of the Health and Safety Code makes the originating telephone numbers and addresses of certain 9-1-1 calls confidential. This chapter authorizes the development of local emergency communications districts. Sections 772.118, 772.218, and 772.318 of the Health and Safety Code apply only to an emergency 9-1-1 district established in accordance with chapter 772. *See* Open Records Decision No. 649 (1996). These statutes make confidential the originating telephone numbers and addresses of 9-1-1 callers that are furnished by a service supplier. *Id.* at 2. Section 772.118 applies to an emergency communication district for a county with a population of more than two million. Section 772.218 applies to an emergency communication district for a county with a population of more than 860,000. Section 772.318 applies to an emergency communication district for a county with a population of more than 20,000. These sections only protect the

originating addresses and telephone numbers of individuals who actually called 9-1-1. In this instance, we know that Henderson County has a population of more than 20,000 but less than 860,000. Thus, we understand you to assert that Henderson County is part of an emergency communication district established under section 772.318 of the Health and Safety Code. You state that the dispatch reports in Exhibit 2 were created in response to emergency phone calls made to the sheriff. Therefore, to the extent the originating telephone numbers and addresses of the 9-1-1 callers, which we have marked, were supplied by a 9-1-1 service supplier, this information is confidential under section 772.318 of the Health and Safety Code and must be withheld from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. However, if this information was not provided by a 9-1-1 service supplier to the emergency communication district, this information may not be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 772.318 of the Health and Safety Code.

You claim that Exhibits 3 and 4 are excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. Section 552.108(a) excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if: (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime." Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1). Generally, a governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). You state that the information at issue relates to pending criminal investigations and that release of this information could compromise the effectiveness of these investigations. Based upon these representations, we conclude that the release of Exhibits 3 and 4 would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases).

However, we note that section 552.108 does not except from disclosure basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov't Code § 552.108(c). Basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle Publishing Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976), and includes a detailed description of the offense. With the exception of basic information, the sheriff may withhold Exhibits 3 and 4 under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.

We note that the remaining information contains information subject to section 552.130 of the Government Code.¹ Section 552.130 excepts from disclosure "information [that] relates to . . . a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state

¹The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

[or] a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state." Gov't Code § 552.130. Accordingly, the sheriff must withhold the Texas license plate information, which we have marked, pursuant to section 552.130 of the Government Code.

In summary, the sheriff must withhold the information marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 772.318 of the Health and Safety Code if it was supplied by a 9-1-1 service supplier. With the exception of basic information, the sheriff may withhold Exhibits 3 and 4 pursuant to section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code. The sheriff must withhold the marked Texas license plate information under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or

complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Jordan Johnson". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looping initial "J".

Jordan Johnson
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JJ/jb

Ref: ID# 293923

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Stephen M. Werner
c/o Tina Price
P.O. Box 1718
Corsicana, Texas 75151
(w/o enclosures)