



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

November 19, 2007

Ms. Teresa Special
Assistant City Attorney
City of San Angelo
P.O. Box 1751
San Angelo, Texas 76902

OR2007-15211

Dear Ms. Special:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 295088.

The City of San Angelo (the "city") received a request for all reports and documents related to allegations made against a named individual. You state you will provide the requestor with a portion of the requested information. You claim that the remaining information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, 552.130, and 552.147 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, you state that the city does not have responsive information for a portion of the requested information. The Act does not require a governmental body to release information that did not exist when a request for information was received, create responsive information, or obtain information that is not held by or on behalf of the city. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266, 267-68 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision No. 452 at 3 (1986).

Next, we note that the submitted audio recordings and incident report number 2007-0016211 were the subject of a previous request for information, as a result of which this office issued Open Records Letter No. 2007-14812 (2007). You do not indicate that there has been any change in the law, facts, and circumstances on which the previous ruling is based. We therefore conclude that the city must continue to follow Open Records Letter No. 2007-14812 with respect to the submitted audio recordings and incident report number 2007-0016211. *See Gov't Code* § 552.301(a); Open Records Decision No. 673 at 6-7 (2001) (listing elements of first type of previous determination under section 552.301(a)).

You contend the remaining information is excepted under section 552.108 of the Government Code. Section 552.108(a)(1) excepts from disclosure “[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if . . . release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.108(a)(1). Generally, a governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(1) must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). You inform us that the remaining information, consisting of video recordings, relates to an ongoing criminal investigation. You also state, and provide documentation showing, that the Tom Green County Attorney’s office objects to the release of this information. Based upon your representations, we find that section 552.108(a)(1) is applicable to the remaining information. *See Houston Chronicle Publ’g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref’d n.r.e.*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (per curiam) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases). Therefore, the city may withhold the remaining information under section 552.108.¹

In summary, the city must continue to follow Open Records Letter No. 2007-14812 with respect to the submitted audio recordings and incident report number 2007-0016211. The city may withhold the remaining information pursuant to section 552.108 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body

¹ As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure of this information.

will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Leah B. Wingerson
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

LBW/ma

Ref: ID# 295088

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Paul Anthony
San Angelo Standard-Times
34 West Harris Avenue
San Angelo, Texas 76903
(w/o enclosures)