

The ruling you have requested has been modified pursuant to a court order. The court judgment has been attached to this document.



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

GREG ABBOTT

January 31, 2008

Mr. James Downes
Assistant County Attorney
Harris County Attorneys Office
2525 Holly Hall, Suite 190
Houston, Texas 77054

OR2008-01480

Dear Mr. Downes:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 301078.

The Harris County Hospital District (the "district") received a request for the body temperature taken at the hospital and time of death of a named inmate who died at Ben Taub General Hospital. You argue that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that some of the submitted information, which we have marked, is not responsive to the instant request for information. The request seeks information pertaining to the named inmate's time of death and temperature taken at the hospital. Accordingly, any information extraneous to this is not responsive to the current request. The district need not release nonresponsive information in response to this request, and this ruling will not address that information. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed).

Next, we note that you have only submitted information pertaining to the time of death, and you have not submitted information responsive to the request for body temperature taken at the hospital. To the extent responsive information regarding the body temperature taken at the hospital existed on the date that the district received the instant request, we assume that information has been released to the requestor. If the district has not released any such information, the district must release it to the requestor at this time. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301(a), .302; Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (noting that if governmental body concludes that no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible under circumstances).

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes. You claim that the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 ("HIPAA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 1320d-1320d-8, governs the submitted information. At the direction of Congress, the Secretary of Health and Human Services ("HHS") promulgated regulations setting privacy standards for medical records, which HHS issued as the Federal Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information. *See* Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, 42 U.S.C. § 1320d-2 (Supp. IV 1998) (historical & statutory note); Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information, 45 C.F.R. Pts. 160, 164 ("Privacy Rule"); *see also* Attorney General Opinion JC-0508 at 2 (2002). These standards govern the releasability of protected health information by a covered entity. *See* 45 C.F.R. pts. 160, 164. Under these standards, a covered entity may not use or disclose protected health information, excepted as provided by parts 160 and 164 of the Code of Federal Regulations. 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(a).

This office addressed the interplay of the Privacy Rule and the Act in Open Records Decision No. 681 (2004). In that decision, we noted that section 164.512 of title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations provides that a covered entity may use or disclose protected health information to the extent that such use or disclosure is required by law and the use or disclosure complies with and is limited to the relevant requirements of such law. *See* 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(a)(1). We further noted that the Act "is a mandate in Texas law that compels Texas governmental bodies to disclose information to the public." *See* Open Records Decision No. 681 at 8 (2004); *see also* Gov't Code §§ 552.002, .003, .021. We therefore held that the disclosures under the Act come within section 164.512(a). Consequently, the Privacy Rule does not make information confidential for the purpose of section 552.101 of the Government Code. *Abbott v. Tex. Dep't of Mental Health & Mental Retardation*, No. 03-04-00743-CV, 2006 WL 1649003 (Tex. App.—Austin, June 16, 2006, no. pet. h.) (disclosures under the Act fall within section 164.512(a)(1) of the Privacy Rule); Open Records Decision No. 681 at 9 (2004); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 478 (1987) (as general rule, statutory confidentiality requires express language making information confidential). Because the Privacy Rule does not make confidential information that is subject to disclosure under the Act, the district may withhold requested protected

health information from the public only if the information is confidential under other law or an exception in subchapter C of the Act applies.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses section 241.152 of the Health and Safety Code, which states in relevant part:

(a) Except as authorized by Section 241.153, a hospital or an agent or employee of a hospital may not disclose health care information about a patient to any person other than the patient or the patient's legally authorized representative without the written authorization of the patient or the patient's legally authorized representative.

Health & Safety Code § 241.152(a). Section 241.151(2) of the Health and Safety Code defines "health care information" as "information recorded in any form or medium that identifies a patient and relates to the history, diagnosis, treatment, or prognosis of a patient." Health & Safety Code § 241.151(2). The document at issue concerns an individual who is deceased. The term "patient" is not defined for purposes of section 241.152 of the Health and Safety Code. When a word used in a statute is not defined and that word is "connected with and used with reference to a particular trade or subject matter or is used as a word of art, the word shall have the meaning given by experts in the particular trade, subject matter, or art." Gov't Code § 312.002; *see also Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Garrison Contractors, Inc.*, 966 S.W.2d 482, 485 (Tex. 1998). Taber's Cyclopedic Medical Dictionary defines "patient" as "one who is sick with, or being treated for, an illness or injury; [or] . . . an individual receiving medical care." Taber's Cyclopedic Medical Dictionary 1446 (17th ed. 1989). We also note that other statutes dealing with medically related professions generally define patient as an individual who consults a health care professional. *See* Health & Safety Code § 611.001 (mental health records), Occ. Code §§ 159.001 (physician records), 201.401 (chiropractic records), 202.401 (podiatric records), 258.101 (dental records). Because the generally accepted medical definition of patient indicates that the term refers to a living individual, we find that it does not encompass the record at issue here. Thus, the responsive submitted information may not be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code on the basis of section 241.152 of the Health and Safety Code.

Section 552.101 also encompasses the common-law right of privacy, which protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). We note, however, that the right to privacy is a personal right that lapses at death, and therefore it does not encompass information that relates to a deceased individual. *See Moore v. Charles B. Pierce Film Enters., Inc.*, 589 S.W.2d 489, 491 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 1979, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 272 at 1 (1981); *but see* Attorney General Opinion JM-229 (1984) (if release of information about deceased person reveals highly intimate or embarrassing information about living persons, that

information must be withheld under common-law privacy). Accordingly, the district has failed to demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy to the information at issue, and none of it may be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code on this basis. As you do not raise any further exceptions against disclosure of the submitted responsive information, it must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

~~If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).~~

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Chanita Chantaplin-McLelland

Chanita Chantaplin-McLelland
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CC/mcf

Ref: ID# 301078

Enc. Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Robert Crowe
Houston Chronicle
801 Texas Avenue
Houston, Texas 77002
(w/o enclosures)

EM JUN 04 2008

At S. A. M.
Amalia Rodriguez-Mendoza, Clerk

CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-08-000544

HARRIS COUNTY HOSPITAL DISTRICT and BRYAN O. MCLEOD, Plaintiffs,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
	§	
	§	
V.	§	TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS
	§	
HONORABLE GREG ABBOTT, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS, Defendant.	§	201 ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

AGREED FINAL JUDGMENT

On this date, the Court heard the parties' motion for agreed final judgment. Plaintiffs Harris County Hospital District and Bryan O. McLeod (collectively, HCHD) and Defendant Greg Abbott, Attorney General of Texas, appeared, by and through their respective attorneys, and announced to the Court that all matters of fact and things in controversy between them had been fully and finally compromised and settled. This cause is an action under the Public Information Act (PIA), Tex. Gov't Code Ann. ch. 552 (West 2004 & Supp. 2007). The parties represent to the Court that, in compliance with Tex. Gov't Code § 552.325(c), the requestor, Robert Crowe, was sent reasonable notice of this setting and of the parties' agreement that the HCHD must withhold the information at issue; that the requestor was also informed of his right to intervene in the suit to contest the withholding of this information; and that the requestor has not informed the parties of his intention to intervene. Neither has the requestor filed a motion to intervene or appeared today. After considering the agreement of the parties and the law, the Court is of the opinion that entry of an agreed final judgment is appropriate, disposing of all claims between these parties.

IT IS THEREFORE ADJUDGED, ORDERED AND DECLARED that:

1. The information at issue, the body temperature and time of death of the named inmate who died at Ben Taub Hospital, is confidential under Tex. Health & Safety Code § 241.152(a), and,

therefore, is excepted from disclosure by Tex. Gov't Code § 552.101;


2. HCHD shall withhold from the requestor the information described in ¶ 1 of this Judgment;

3. All costs of court are taxed against the parties incurring the same;


4. All relief not expressly granted is denied; and

5. This Agreed Final Judgment finally disposes of all claims between Plaintiffs and Defendant and is a final judgment.

SIGNED this the 4th day of June, 2008.


PRESIDING JUDGE

APPROVED:


GLEN VAN SLYKE

Assistant County Attorney
2525 Holly Hall, Suite 190
Houston, Texas 77054
Telephone: (713) 566-6552
Fax: (713) 566-6558
State Bar No. 20455500
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS


BRENDA LOUDERMILK

Chief, OR Litigation
Administrative Law Division
P. O. Box 12548, Capitol Station
Austin, Texas 78711-2548
Telephone: 475-4292
Fax: 320-0167
State Bar No. 12585600
ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT