



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

June 23, 2008

Ms. Connie Crawford  
Assistant County Attorney  
El Paso County Hospital District Legal Unit  
4815 Alameda, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor, Suite B  
El Paso, Texas 79905

OR2008-08514

Dear Ms. Crawford:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 313792.

The El Paso County Hospital District (the "district") received a request for all contracts between the district and Texas Tech University since 2004, as well as all contracts between the district and a named doctor since 2004. Although the district takes no position on the release of the submitted information, you explain that it may contain confidential and proprietary information subject to exception under the Act. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, that the district notified the Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center - El Paso ("Texas Tech") of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released. *See Gov't Code § 552.305(d); see also Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances).* We have reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that the district failed to meet the deadline prescribed by section 552.301(b) of the Government Code in requesting an open records decision from this office. *See Gov't Code § 552.301(b).* Pursuant to section 552.301(b), a governmental body that receives a request for information that it wishes to withhold must ask for the attorney general's decision and state the exceptions that apply within ten business days after receiving the request. *See*

Gov't Code § 552.301(a), (b). You state that the district received the present request for information on March 26, 2008. However, you did not request a ruling from this office until April 16, 2008. You inform us that the requestor abated his request for the period from April 4, 2008 through April 9, 2008. We note, however, that the deadlines prescribed by section 552.301 are fixed by statute and cannot be altered by agreement. *See* Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987); Open Records Decision Nos. 541 at 3 (1990), 514 at 1-2 (1988). Consequently, we find that the district failed to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 results in the legal presumption that the requested information is public and must be released. Information that is presumed public must be released unless a governmental body demonstrates a compelling reason to withhold the information to overcome this presumption. *See* Gov't Code § 552.302; *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to section 552.302); Open Records Decision No. 319 (1982). Normally, a compelling reason to withhold information exists where some other source of law makes the information confidential or where third party interests are at stake. *See* Open Records Decision No. 150 at 2 (1977). Because there are third party interests at stake, we will consider the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from Texas Tech explaining why the submitted information should not be released. We thus have no basis for concluding that any portion of the submitted information constitutes the proprietary information of Texas Tech, and none of it may be withheld on that basis. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990). As we have received no arguments against disclosure, the submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the

governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3). If the governmental body does not file suit over this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Bill Longley  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

BL/eeg

Ref: ID# 313792

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. John A. Wenke  
501 East California Avenue  
El Paso, Texas 79902  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Hani Michael Annabi, M.D.  
615 East Schuster, Building 7  
El Paso, Texas 79902  
(w/o enclosures)

Dean Manuel De La Rosa  
Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center - El Paso  
4801 Alberta Avenue  
El Paso, Texas 79905  
(w/o enclosures)