



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

GREG ABBOTT

June 30, 2008

Ms. Zindia Thomas  
Assistant Attorney General  
Public Information Coordinator  
Office of the Attorney General  
P.O. Box 12548  
Austin, Texas 78711-2548

OR2008-08803

Dear Ms. Thomas:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 314533.

The Office of the Attorney General (the "OAG") received a request for information pertaining to Warren Jeffs, the Fundamentalist Church of Latter Day Saints, the Yearning for Zion Ranch, the House of Yahweh, Yisrayl Bill Hawkins, Yedidiyah Hawkins, and Rephayah Isaac Lindsay. The OAG asserts Exhibit B is excepted from disclosure pursuant to sections 552.101, 552.108, 552.130, 552.137, and 552.147 of the Government Code. We have considered and reviewed the submitted arguments and representative sample of documents.<sup>1</sup>

First, we note the documents include information subject to section 552.022(a)(5), which states that on completion of an estimate, information used to estimate the need for or expenditure of public funds by a governmental body is public information and not excepted from disclosure unless the information is expressly confidential under law. Gov't Code

---

<sup>1</sup>We assume that the representative sample of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach and, therefore, does not authorize the withholding of any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

§ 552.022(a)(5). Section 552.108 is a discretionary exception and does not make information confidential. Open Records Decision Nos. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally), 177 (1977) (governmental body may waive statutory predecessor to section 552.108). Therefore, the OAG may not withhold the marked information under section 552.108.

Next, we consider the OAG's section 552.108 assertion for the remaining information. Section 552.108 of the Government Code states that information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from required public disclosure "if release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime." Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1). Generally, a governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(a); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). The OAG explains the information relates to the Criminal Investigations Division's pending criminal investigation. Based upon this representation, we conclude that release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases). Therefore, based on the OAG's representation, the OAG may withhold the information from disclosure under section 552.108(a)(1). Because section 552.108 is dispositive, we do not address the other submitted arguments.

In summary, the OAG must release the information we marked that is public pursuant to section 552.022(a)(5). The OAG may withhold the remaining information pursuant to section 552.108(a)(1).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3). If the governmental body does not file suit over this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Yen-Ha Le  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

YHL/sdk

Ref: ID# 309443

Enc. Marked documents

c: Ms. Peggy Fikac  
San Antonio Express-News/Houston Chronicle  
1005 Congress Avenue, Suite 1060  
Austin, Texas 78701  
(w/o enclosures)