



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

September 3, 2008

Mr. Robert E. Hager  
Nichols, Jackson, Dillard, Hager & Smith, L.L.P.  
1800 Lincoln Plaza  
500 North Akard  
Dallas, Texas 75201

OR2008-12073

Dear Mr. Hager:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 320795.

The Colony Police Department (the "department"), which you represent, received two requests for information regarding a specified incident, including arrest records, a mug shot of the suspect, and 9-1-1 calls. You claim that portions of the submitted information are excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that you have only submitted the audio recording of the requested 9-1-1 calls, and you have not submitted the remaining requested information. To the extent any additional responsive information existed on the date the department received this request, we assume you have released it. If not, then you must release it at this time. *See Gov't Code* §§ 552.301(a), .302; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (if governmental body concludes that no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible).

Section 552.108 of the Government Code provides in relevant part the following:

- (a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if:

(1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime;

...

(b) An internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if:

(1) release of the internal record or notation would interfere with law enforcement or prosecution;

Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1), (b)(1). A governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(1) or section 552.108(b)(1) must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(1), (b)(1), 552.301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977).

You assert that release of the originating cellular telephone number and the suspect's cellular telephone number contained in the submitted 9-1-1 audio recording "may subject the individuals to possible harassment." This office has previously determined that, when it can be established from an examination of the facts of a particular case that disclosure of witness identities and statements might subject the witnesses to possible intimidation or harassment, that information may be excepted from disclosure under the predecessor to section 552.108. *E.g.*, Open Records Nos. 329 (1982), 313 (1982), 297 (1981). However, after review of your arguments and the information at issue, we find the department has not established that release of the information at issue would subject any individual to possible intimidation or harassment. Accordingly, the department may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.108 of the Government Code. As you raise no further exceptions against disclosure, the submitted information must be released to the requestors.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3). If the governmental body does not file suit over this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Amy L.S. Shipp  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

ALS/jb

Ref: ID# 320795

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Chelsea Ross  
c/o Mr. Robert E. Hager  
1800 Lincoln Plaza  
500 North Akard  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Gary W. Daniels  
c/o Mr. Robert E. Hager  
1800 Lincoln Plaza  
500 North Akard  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
(w/o enclosures)