



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

September 9, 2008

Ms. YuShan Chang  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of Houston  
P.O. Box 1562  
Houston, Texas 77251-1562

OR2008-12389

Dear Ms. Chang:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 321547.

The Houston Police Department (the "department") received two requests for a specified police report and information concerning the investigation and prosecution of a named individual and a particular crime. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.130, and 552.147 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>1</sup>

Initially, we note that a portion of the submitted information is not responsive to the request because it does not pertain to the specified report or the named individual. We have marked the non-responsive information. This ruling does not address the public availability of any information that is not responsive to the request and the department is not required to release that information in response to the request.

---

<sup>1</sup>We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

Next, you acknowledge that the department failed to meet the deadlines prescribed by section 552.301 of the Government Code in requesting an open records decision from this office. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(b), (e). Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 results in the legal presumption that the information is public and must be released. Information that is presumed public must be released unless a governmental body demonstrates a compelling reason to withhold the information to overcome this presumption. *See Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to section 552.302); Open Records Decision No. 319 (1982). The presumption that information is public under section 552.302 can generally be overcome by demonstrating that the information is confidential by law or third-party interests are at stake. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 630 at 3 (1994), 325 at 2 (1982). Sections 552.101 and 552.130 can provide compelling reasons to overcome this presumption; therefore, we will address your arguments under these exceptions.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision" and encompasses information that is made confidential by statute. Gov't Code § 552.101. Criminal history record information ("CHRI") generated by the National Crime Information Center ("NCIC") or by the Texas Crime Information Center ("TCIC") is confidential. The federal regulations allow each state to follow its individual law with respect to CHRI it generates. *See id.* § 411.083. Section 411.083 of the Government Code deems confidential CHRI that the Department of Public Safety (the "DPS") maintains, except that the DPS may disseminate this information as provided in chapter 411, subchapter F of the Government Code. *See id.* Sections 411.083(b)(1) and 411.089(a) authorize a criminal justice agency to obtain CHRI; however, a criminal justice agency may not release CHRI except to another criminal justice agency for a criminal justice purpose. *Id.* § 411.089(b)(1). Other entities specified in chapter 411 of the Government Code are entitled to obtain CHRI from the DPS or another criminal justice agency; however, those entities may not release CHRI except as provided by chapter 411. *See generally id.* §§ 411.090 –.127. Furthermore, any CHRI obtained from the DPS or any other criminal justice agency must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with Government Code chapter 411, subchapter F. A portion of the submitted information constitutes CHRI generated by TCIC and NCIC. We have marked the information the department must withhold pursuant to section 552.101 in conjunction with chapter 411 of the Government Code.<sup>2</sup>

Section 552.101 also encompasses section 411.153 of the Government Code, which provides as follows:

---

<sup>2</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against the disclosure of this information.

- (a) A DNA record stored in the DNA database is confidential and is not subject to disclosure under the public information law, Chapter 552.
- (b) A person commits an offense if the person knowingly discloses to an unauthorized recipient information in a DNA record or information related to a DNA analysis of a sample collected under this subchapter.
- (c) An offense under this section is a state jail felony.
- (d) A violation under this section constitutes official misconduct.

Gov't Code § 411.153. A "DNA record" means the results of a forensic DNA analysis performed by a DNA laboratory. *See id.* § 411.141(6)-(7). "Forensic analysis" is defined as "a medical, chemical, toxicologic, ballistic, or other expert examination or test performed on physical evidence, including DNA evidence, for the purpose of determining the connection of the evidence to a criminal action." *See* Crim. Proc. Code art. 38.35(4); *see also* Gov't Code § 411.141(10) (providing that "forensic analysis" has meaning assigned by Crim. Proc. Code art. 38.35). A "DNA database" means "one or more databases that contain forensic DNA records maintained by the director [the DPS]." *Id.* § 411.141(5); *see id.* § 411.001(3).

The director of the DPS is required to establish certain procedures for DNA laboratories. *See id.* §§ 411.144(a), .142(h) (requiring director to establish standards for DNA analysis). Section 411.144 of the Government Code provides that a DNA laboratory conducting a forensic DNA analysis under subchapter G of chapter 411 shall comply with subchapter G and the rules adopted under subchapter G. *See id.* § 411.144(d); 37 T.A.C § 28.82(a). The director of the DPS has adopted rules that govern the regulation of forensic DNA laboratories in this state. *See* 37 T.A.C. §§ 28.81, .82 (describing minimum standards by which a forensic DNA laboratory must abide); *see also* Gov't Code § 411.147(b).

We note that some of the submitted information, which we have marked, appears to consist of DNA records and information relating to DNA analyses of samples collected under subchapter G of chapter 411 of the Government Code. We assume that the marked information, which is contained in the records of a criminal investigation, is the result of forensic DNA analyses performed by DNA laboratories in accordance with DPS regulations. Given that assumption, we conclude that the department must withhold the marked information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 411.153 of the Government Code.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup>We note that section 411.147 of the Government Code allows the director of the DPS to release DNA samples in certain instances. *See* Gov't Code § 411.147(c). As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against the disclosure of this information.

Section 552.101 also encompasses the Medical Practice Act (the "MPA"). Occ. Code §§ 151.001-165.160. Section 159.002 provides in pertinent part:

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

*Id.* § 159.002(b), (c). This office has concluded that the protection afforded by section 159.002 extends only to records created by either a physician or someone under the supervision of a physician. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 487 (1987), 370 (1983), 343 (1982). We have also found that when a file is created as the result of a hospital stay, all the documents in the file relating to diagnosis and treatment constitute physician-patient communications or "[r]ecords of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that are created or maintained by a physician." Open Records Decision No. 546 (1990). Further, information that is subject to the MPA also includes information that was obtained from medical records. *See* Occ. Code. § 159.002(a), (b), (c); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 598 (1991).

Medical records must be released upon the governmental body's receipt of the patient's signed, written consent, provided that the consent specifies (1) the information to be covered by the release, (2) reasons or purposes for the release, and (3) the person to whom the information is to be released. *See* Occ. Code §§ 159.004, .005. Section 159.002(c) also requires that any subsequent release of medical records be consistent with the purposes for which the governmental body obtained the records. *See* Open Records Decision No. 565 at 7 (1990). We have marked the medical records that are subject to the MPA. The department may only disclose these records in accordance with the MPA.<sup>4</sup>

Section 552.101 also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be demonstrated. *Id.* at 681-82. This office has found that the identities of victims of sexual abuse are protected by common-law privacy. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 440

---

<sup>4</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument against the disclosure of this information.

(1986), 393 (1983), 339 (1982). The information at issue pertains to an alleged sexual assault. Therefore, the department must withhold the sexual assault victim's identifying information, which we have marked, under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy. The department must also withhold the victim's identifying information contained in the submitted photographs under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy.

In addition, a compilation of an individual's criminal history record information is highly embarrassing information, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person. *Cf. U.S. Dep't of Justice v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press*, 489 U.S. 749, 764 (1989) (when considering prong regarding individual's privacy interest, court recognized distinction between public records found in courthouse files and local police stations and compiled summary of information and noted that individual has significant privacy interest in compilation of one's criminal history). Furthermore, we find that a compilation of a private citizen's criminal history is generally not of legitimate concern to the public. However, information relating to routine traffic violations is not excepted from release under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy. *Cf. Gov't Code § 411.082(2)(B)*. Therefore, the department must withhold the additional information we have marked under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy.

You also assert that some of the remaining information is excepted under section 552.130 of the Government Code, which provides which provides in part:

(a) Information is excepted from the requirement of Section 552.021 if the information relates to:

- (1) a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state;
- (2) a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state; or
- (3) a personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or a local agency authorized to issue an identification document.

Gov't Code § 552.130(a)(1)-(3). The department must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

We also note that a portion of the remaining information may be excepted from disclosure under section 552.137 of the Government Code.<sup>5</sup> Section 552.137 excepts from disclosure “an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body” unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). *See id.* § 552.137(a)-(c). The e-mail address we have marked in the remaining information is not of a type specifically excluded by section 552.137(c). Therefore, the department must withhold the e-mail address we have marked in accordance with section 552.137, unless the department receives consent for its release.

In summary, the department may only release the medical records we have marked in accordance with the MPA. The department must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 in conjunction with sections 411.083 and 411.153 of the Government Code, and the information we have marked under common-law privacy. The department must also withhold the victim’s identifying information contained in the submitted photographs under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy. The Texas motor vehicle record information we have marked must be withheld under section 552.130. The e-mail address we have marked must be withheld under section 552.137, unless the department receives consent for its release. The remaining information must be released to the requestors.<sup>6</sup>

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3). If the governmental body does not file suit over this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

---

<sup>5</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

<sup>6</sup>We note that the remaining information contains social security numbers. Section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person’s social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act.

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Jordan Hale  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

JH/jb

Ref: ID# 321547

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Carin Hagberg  
815 South 3<sup>rd</sup> Street  
Bellaire, Texas 77401  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Randall Patterson  
5123 French Creek Drive  
Houston, Texas 77017  
(w/o enclosures)