



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 6, 2008

Mr. Carey E. Smith
General Counsel
Texas Health and Human Services Commission
P.O. Box 13247
Austin, Texas 78711

OR2008-13225A

Dear Mr. Smith:

This office issued Open Records Letter No. 2008-13225 (2008) on September 26, 2008. This decision serves as the substitute for the decision issued on September 26, 2008. *See generally* Gov't Code 552.011 (providing that Office of Attorney General may issue decision to maintain uniformity in application, operation, and interpretation of Public Information Act (the "Act")).

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Act. Your request was assigned ID# 322874.

The Health and Human Services Commission (the "commission") received a request for the Star+Plus health plan financial reports for 2005, 2006, and 2007. You do not take a position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act; however, you state, and provide documentation showing, that you notified Amerigroup Texas, Inc. ("Amerigroup"), Evercare of Texas, LLC ("Evercare"), Molina Healthcare of Texas ("Molina"), and Superior Health Plan, Inc. ("Superior") of the commission's receipt of the request for information and of the right of each to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released to the requestor. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 at 3 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). Amerigroup and Evercare assert that their

information is excepted under section 552.110 of the Government Code. We have reviewed the submitted arguments and information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why requested information relating to it should be withheld from disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, neither Molina nor Superior has submitted to this office any reasons explaining why the requested information should not be released. We thus have no basis for concluding that any portion of the submitted information constitutes proprietary information of these companies, and the commission may not withhold any portion of the submitted information on that basis. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3.

We next note that information is not confidential under the Act simply because the party submitting the information to a governmental body anticipates or requests that it be kept confidential. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 677 (Tex. 1976). Thus, a governmental body cannot, through an agreement or contract, overrule or repeal provisions of the Act. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987); Open Records Decision Nos. 541 at 3 (1990) (“[T]he obligations of a governmental body under [the predecessor to the Act] cannot be compromised simply by its decision to enter into a contract.”), 203 at 1 (1978) (mere expectation of confidentiality by person supplying information does not satisfy requirements of statutory predecessor to section 552.110). Consequently, unless the requested information falls within an exception to disclosure, it must be released, notwithstanding any expectations or agreement specifying otherwise.

Amerigroup and Evercare assert that their reports are excepted under section 552.110 of the Government Code. Section 552.110(b) excepts from disclosure “[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained.” Section 552.110(b) requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the requested information. *See* ORD 661 at 5-6 (business enterprise must show by specific factual evidence that release of information would cause it substantial competitive harm). Amerigroup and Evercare claim that their reports reveal their revenues, administrative expenses, and medical expenses. They also state that revealing this information would enable competitors to determine how effectively the businesses are being run and to target marketing efforts to more profitable populations. Having considered these arguments and reviewed the information at issue, we find Amerigroup and Evercare have established that the release of the information at issue would cause each company substantial competitive injury; therefore, the commission must withhold Exhibits C-1 and C-2, as well

as the remaining information pertaining to these companies that you have marked, under section 552.110(b). The commission must release the remaining information to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3). If the governmental body does not file suit over this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).


If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for

contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



James L. Coggeshall
Assistant Attorney General
Open/Records Division

JLC/ma

Ref: ID# 322874

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Lois Marcus
WellPoint
1515A Camino Ruiz, MS-CACD01-0031
Camarillo, California 93012
(w/o enclosures)

Amerigroup Texas, Inc.
c/o Mr. Jeff Farrer
Green Traurig, LLP
600 Congress Avenue, Suite 300
Austin, Texas 78701
(w/o enclosures)

Evercare of Texas, LLC
c/o Mr. Jeff Farrer
Green Traurig, LLP
600 Congress Avenue, Suite 300
Austin, Texas 78701
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Troy Eubank
Molina Healthcare of Texas
2505 Highway 360, Suite 300
Grand Prairie, Texas 75050
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Stacy Hull
Superior Health Plan, Inc.
2100 South IH-35, Suite 202
Austin, Texas 78704
(w/o enclosures)