



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

GREG ABBOTT

December 2, 2008

Mr. C. Patrick Phillips
Assistant City Attorney
City of Fort Worth
1000 Throckmorton Street
Fort Worth, Texas 76102

OR2008-16384

Dear Mr. Phillips:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 328964.

The City of Fort Worth (the "city") received a request for information related to an "AP Test" administered by the city's Human Resources Department on a specified date, a copy of the requestor's pre-interview candidate questionnaire and the scoring instructions for the same, and written materials provided to prospective questionnaire takers. You state that you are releasing the portion of the requested information consisting of "public announcements made to prospective candidates." You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.122 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.122(b) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure test items developed by a licensing agency or governmental body. *See* Gov't Code § 552.122(b). In Open Records Decision No. 626 (1994), this office determined that the term "test item" in section 552.122 includes any standard means by which an individual's or group's knowledge or ability in a particular area is evaluated, but does not encompass evaluations of an employee's overall job performance or suitability. Whether information falls within the section 552.122 exception must be determined on a case-by-case basis. Open Records Decision No. 626 at 6. This office has generally found section 552.122 to apply in cases where release of "test items" might compromise the effectiveness of future examinations. *Id.*

at 4-5; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 118 (1976). Additionally, when answers to test questions might reveal the questions themselves, the answers may be withheld under section 552.122(b). *See* ORD 626 at 8.

You contend that the submitted AP and grammar proficiency test questions, answers, and scoring key, and additionally, the pre-interview candidate interview questions and answers, are excepted from disclosure under section 552.122(b) of the Government Code. Having considered your arguments and reviewed the submitted information, we find the submitted AP and grammar proficiency test questions and answers, which we have marked, qualify as test items under section 552.122(b). We also find that release of the model and actual answers to the AP and grammar proficiency test would tend to reveal the questions themselves. Therefore, the city may withhold the AP and grammar proficiency test, as well as the corresponding model and actual answers, which we have marked, pursuant to section 552.122(b). However, the pre-interview candidate interview questions are general questions evaluating applicants' individual abilities, personal opinions, and subjective ability to respond to a particular situation, and do not test any specific knowledge of an applicant. Therefore, the pre-interview candidate interview questions, as well as the corresponding answers, may not be withheld from disclosure under section 552.122. Furthermore, we find that the scoring information regarding the applicant's responses does not reveal any of the test questions.

In summary, the city may withhold the AP and grammar proficiency test questions, as well as the corresponding model and actual answers, which we have marked, pursuant to section 552.122(b). The remaining information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3). If the governmental body does not file suit over this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body


will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Jonathan Miles
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JM/ma

Ref: ID# 328964

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)