



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

December 22, 2008

Ms. Eileen McPhee
Carls, McDonald & Dalrymple, LLP
901 South Mopac Expressway, Suite 500, Barton Oaks Plaza 2
Austin, Texas 78746

OR2008-17331

Dear Ms. McPhee:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 330769 (GT #453).

The Georgetown Police Department (the "department"), which you represent, received a request for information pertaining to specified addresses for September of 2008. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that the requestor is with the Georgetown Housing Authority (the "housing authority"). The Department of Public Safety (the "DPS") is required to provide criminal history record information ("CHRI") to a noncriminal justice agency authorized to receive CHRI pursuant to a federal statute, executive order, or state statute. Gov't Code § 411.083(b)(2). In Open Records Decision No. 655 (1997), this office concluded that a local housing authority is a noncriminal justice agency authorized by federal statute to receive CHRI. Open Records Decision No. 655 at 4 (1997). The federal Housing Opportunity Program Extension Act of 1996 authorizes housing authorities to obtain criminal records of applicants and tenants. Section 1437d(q)(1)(A) of chapter 42 of the United States Code provides that "the National Crime Information Center, police departments, and other law enforcement agencies shall, upon request, provide information to public housing agencies regarding the criminal conviction records of adult applicants for, or tenants of, public housing for purposes of applicant screening, lease enforcement, and eviction." 42 U.S.C. § 1437d(q)(1)(A). Thus, to the extent the requestor is seeking CHRI regarding tenants of public housing, the housing authority is authorized to receive CHRI

from the DPS. Pursuant to section 411.087 of the Government Code, an agency that is entitled to obtain CHRI from the DPS is also authorized to "obtain from any other criminal justice agency in this state criminal history record information maintained by that [agency]." Gov't Code § 411.087(a)(2). Accordingly, the housing authority is also authorized to receive CHRI from a local criminal justice agency, such as the department. *See* Open Records Decision No. 655 (1997); *see also* Gov't Code §§ 411.083(b)(2), 411.087(a). CHRI consists of "information collected about a person by a criminal justice agency that consists of identifiable descriptions and notations of arrests, detentions, indictments, informations, and other formal criminal charges and their dispositions." Gov't Code § 411.082(2).

Federal law limits the purposes for which a public housing authority may request CHRI. Federal law provides that (1) public housing agencies may receive CHRI for adult applicants for public housing or for adult tenants of public housing, and (2) CHRI may only be used for purposes of applicant screening, lease enforcement, and eviction. 42 U.S.C. § 1437d(q)(1)(A). We cannot determine if the requestor is seeking the release of CHRI of applicants or tenants for purposes of applicant screening, lease enforcement, or eviction. Consequently, if the requested law enforcement records relate to an applicant or tenant of the housing authority and the department determines that the requestor intends to use the CHRI for purposes of applicant screening, lease enforcement, or eviction, we conclude that the department must release information to this requestor that shows the types of allegations made and whether there were arrests, informations, indictments, detentions, convictions, or other formal charges and their dispositions. However, if the department determines that the submitted information does not relate to a housing authority applicant or tenant or that the housing authority does not intend to use the CHRI for purposes of applicant screening, lease enforcement, or eviction, we will address the department's argument.

Section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime [if] release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime." A governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.108(a)(1), 552.301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). You state that the submitted information relates to a pending criminal prosecution. Based on this representation, we conclude that the release of this information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e.*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases).

However, section 552.108 does not except from disclosure basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov't Code § 552.108(c). Basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle*. Thus, with the exception of the basic front-page offense and arrest information, the department may withhold any remaining information under section 552.108(a)(1).

Finally, you assert that the intergovernmental transfer doctrine is inapplicable here because the information is made confidential by statute. This office has concluded that information subject to the Act may be transferred between governmental bodies without waiving exceptions to the public disclosure of that information or affecting its confidentiality. *See* Attorney General Opinion JM-590 (1986); Open Records Decision Nos. 655 (1997), 567 (1990), 561 (1990), 516 (1989). These decisions are based on the well-settled policy of this state that governmental agencies should cooperate with each other in the interest of the efficient and economical administration of their statutory duties. *See* ORD 516. In adherence to this policy, this office has concluded that information may be transferred between governmental bodies that are subject to the Act without waiving exceptions to the public disclosure of that information or affecting its confidentiality on the basis of a recognized need to maintain an unrestricted flow of information between governmental bodies. *See* Attorney General Opinions H-836 (1976), H-242 (1974), M-713 (1970); ORD 655, 414 (1984). However, the transfer of confidential information from one governmental body to another is prohibited where the relevant confidentiality statute authorizes release of the confidential information only to specific entities, and the requesting governmental body is not among the statute's enumerated entities. *See* Attorney General Opinions DM-353 at 4 n. 6 (1995) (intergovernmental transfer permitted under statutory confidentiality provision only where disclosure to another governmental agency is required or authorized by law), JM-590 at 4-5 (1986) (where governmental body is not included among expressly enumerated entities to which confidential information may be disclosed, information may not be transferred to that governmental body); *see also* ORD 655, 650 (1996) (transfer of confidential information to federal agency impermissible unless federal law requires its disclosure). Section 552.108 of the Government Code does not have specific release provisions governing public release of information. Thus, pursuant to the intergovernmental transfer doctrine, the department has the discretion to release the submitted information to the requestor.

In summary, if the submitted law enforcement records relate to an applicant or tenant of the housing authority and the department determines that the requestor intends to use the CHRI for purposes of applicant screening, lease enforcement, or eviction, the department must release any information to this requestor that shows the types of allegations made and whether there were arrests, informations, indictments, detentions, convictions, or other formal charges and their dispositions. With the exception of basic information, the department may withhold any remaining information under section 552.108 of the Government Code. However, the department may release the submitted information to the requestor in its entirety pursuant to the intergovernmental transfer doctrine.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited

from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3). If the governmental body does not file suit over this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,


James L. Coggeshall
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JLC/ma

Ref: ID# 330769

Enc. Submitted documents

cc: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)