



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

June 2, 2009

Ms. Margo M. Kaiser
Staff Attorney
Texas Workforce Commission
101 East 15th Street
Austin, Texas 78778

OR2009-07511

Dear Ms. Kaiser:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 344632 (TWC Tracking No. 090316-016).

The Texas Workforce Commission (the "commission") received a request for information pertaining to a specified employment discrimination file. You state that you will release a portion of the requested information. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.111 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.¹

The commission claims the information at issue is subject to the federal Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"). Section 2000e-5(b) of title 42 of the United States Code states in relevant part the following:

¹We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

Whenever a charge is filed by or on behalf of a person claiming to be aggrieved . . . alleging that an employer . . . has engaged in an unlawful employment practice, the [Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the "EEOC")] shall serve a notice of the charge . . . on such employer . . . , and shall make an investigation thereof Charges shall not be made public by the [EEOC]."

42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(b). The EEOC is authorized by statute to utilize the services of state fair employment practices agencies to assist in meeting its statutory mandate to enforce laws prohibiting discrimination. *See id.* § 2000e-4(g)(1). The commission informs us it has a contract with the EEOC to investigate claims of employment discrimination allegations. The commission asserts that under the terms of this contract, "access to charge and complaint files is governed by FOIA, including the exceptions to disclosure found in the FOIA." The commission claims that because the EEOC would withhold the information at issue under section 552(b)(5) of title 5 of the United States Code, the commission should also withhold this information on this basis. We note, however, FOIA is applicable to information held by an agency of the federal government. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 551(1). The information at issue was created and is maintained by the commission, which is subject to the state laws of Texas. *See* Attorney General Opinion MW-95 (1979) (FOIA exceptions apply to federal agencies, not to state agencies); Open Records Decision Nos. 496 (1988), 124 (1976); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 561 at 7 n. 3 (1990) (federal authorities may apply confidentiality principles found in FOIA differently from way in which such principles are applied under Texas open records law); *Davidson v. Georgia*, 622 F.2d 895, 897 (5th Cir. 1980) (state governments are not subject to FOIA). Furthermore, this office has stated in numerous opinions information in the possession of a governmental body of the State of Texas is not confidential or excepted from disclosure merely because the same information is or would be confidential in the hands of a federal agency. *See, e.g.*, Attorney General Opinion MW-95 (1979) (neither FOIA nor federal Privacy Act of 1974 applies to records held by state or local governmental bodies in Texas); Open Records Decision No. 124 (1976) (fact that information held by federal agency is excepted by FOIA does not necessarily mean that same information is excepted under the Act when held by Texas governmental body). You do not cite to any federal law, nor are we aware of any such law, that would pre-empt the applicability of the Act and allow the EEOC to make FOIA applicable to information created and maintained by a state agency. *See* Attorney General Opinion JM-830 (1987) (EEOC lacks authority to require a state agency to ignore state statutes). Thus, you have not shown how the contract between the EEOC and the commission makes FOIA applicable to the commission in this instance. Accordingly, the commission may not withhold the information at issue pursuant to FOIA.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses information protected by statutes. Pursuant to section 21.204 of the Labor Code, the commission may investigate a complaint of an

unlawful employment practice. *See* Labor Code § 21.204; *see also id.* §§ 21.0015 (powers of Commission on Human Rights under Labor Code chapter 21 transferred to commission's civil rights division), 21.201. Section 21.304 of the Labor Code provides that "[a]n officer or employee of the commission may not disclose to the public information obtained by the commission under Section 21.204 except as necessary to the conduct of a proceeding under this chapter." *Id.* § 21.304.

You indicate the information at issue pertains to a complaint of unlawful employment practices investigated by the commission under section 21.204 and on behalf of the EEOC. We therefore agree the information at issue is confidential under section 21.304 of the Labor Code. However, we note the requestor represents a party to the complaint. Section 21.305 of the Labor Code concerns the release of commission records to a party of a complaint filed under section 21.201 and provides the following:

(a) The commission shall adopt rules allowing a party to a complaint filed under Section 21.201 reasonable access to commission records relating to the complaint.

(b) Unless the complaint is resolved through a voluntary settlement or conciliation, on the written request of a party the executive director shall allow the party access to the commission records:

(1) after the final action of the commission; or

(2) if a civil action relating to the complaint is filed in federal court alleging a violation of federal law.

Id. § 21.305. In this case, the commission has taken final action, and therefore section 21.305 is applicable. At section 819.92 of title 40 of the Texas Administrative Code, the commission has adopted rules that govern access to its records by a party to a complaint. Section 819.92 provides the following:

(a) Pursuant to Texas Labor Code § 21.304 and § 21.305, [the commission] shall, on written request of a party to a perfected complaint filed under Texas Labor Code § 21.201, allow the party access to [the commission's] records, unless the perfected complaint has been resolved through a voluntary settlement or conciliation agreement:

(1) following the final action of [the commission]; or

(2) if a party to the perfected complaint or the party's attorney certifies in writing that a civil action relating to the perfected

complaint is pending in federal court alleging a violation of federal law.

(b) Pursuant to the authority granted the [c]ommission in Texas Labor Code § 21.305, reasonable access shall not include access to the following:

- (1) information excepted from required disclosure under Texas Government Code, Chapter 552; or
- (2) investigator notes.

40 T.A.C. § 819.92.² The commission states the “purpose of the rule amendment is to clarify in rule the [c]ommission’s determination of what materials are available to the parties in a civil rights matter and what materials are beyond what would constitute reasonable access to the file.” 32 Tex. Reg. 553. A governmental body must have statutory authority to promulgate a rule. *See Railroad Comm’n v. ARCO Oil*, 876 S.W.2d 473 (Tex. App.—Austin 1994, writ denied). A governmental body has no authority to adopt a rule that is inconsistent with existing state law. *Id.*; *see also Edgewood Indep. Sch. Dist. v. Meno*, 917 S.W.2d 717, 750 (Tex. 1995); Attorney General Opinion GA-497 (2006) (in deciding whether governmental body has exceeded its rulemaking powers, determinative factor is whether provisions of rule are in harmony with general objectives of statute at issue).

As noted above, section 21.305 of the Labor Code requires the release of commission complaint records to a party to a complaint under certain circumstances. *See* Labor Code § 21.305. In correspondence to our office, you contend that under section 819.92(b) of the rule, the Act’s exceptions apply to withhold information in a commission file, including investigator notes, even when requested by a party to the complaint. *See* 40 T.A.C. § 819.92(b). Section 21.305 of the Labor Code states that the commission “*shall* allow the party access to the commission’s records.” *See* Labor Code § 21.305 (emphasis added). The commission’s rule in subsection 819.92(b) operates as a denial of access to complaint information provided by subsection 819.92(a). *See* 40 T.A.C. § 819.92. Further, the rule conflicts with the mandated party access provided by section 21.305 of the Labor Code. The commission submits no arguments or explanation to resolve this conflict and submits no arguments to support its conclusion that section 21.305’s grant of authority to promulgate rules regarding reasonable access permits the commission to deny party access entirely. Being unable to resolve this conflict, we cannot find rule 819.92(b) operates in harmony with the general objectives of section 21.305 of the Labor Code. Thus, we must make our determination under section 21.305 of the Labor Code. *See Edgewood*, 917 S.W.2d at 750.

²The commission also refers to the rule as section 819.70, which does not exist.

In this case, as we have previously noted, final agency action has been taken. You do not inform us the complaint was resolved through a voluntary settlement or conciliation agreement. Thus, pursuant to sections 21.305 and 819.92(a), the requestor has a right of access to the commission's records relating to the complaint and the requested information may not be withheld by the commission under section 552.101 on that basis.

Turning to your section 552.111 claim, we note that this office has long held that information that is specifically made public by statute may not be withheld from the public under any of the exceptions to public disclosure under the Act. *See e.g.*, Open Records Decision Nos. 544 (1990), 378 (1983), 161 (1977), 146 (1976). You contend, however, the requested information is excepted under section 552.111 of the Government Code. In support of your contention, you claim, in *Mace v. EEOC*, 37 F. Supp.2d 1144 (E.D. Mo. 1999), a federal court recognized a similar exception by finding that "the EEOC could withhold an investigator's memorandum as predecisional under [FOIA] as part of the deliberative process." In the *Mace* decision, however, there was no access provision analogous to sections 21.305 and 819.92. The court did not have to decide whether the EEOC may withhold the document under section 552(b)(5) of title 5 of the United States Code despite the applicability of an access provision. We therefore conclude the present case is distinguishable from the court's decision in *Mace*. Furthermore, in Open Records Decision No. 534 (1989), this office examined whether the statutory predecessor to section 21.304 of the Labor Code protected from disclosure the Commission on Human Rights's investigative files into discrimination charges filed with the EEOC. We stated, while the statutory predecessor to section 21.304 of the Labor Code made all information collected or created by the Commission on Human Rights during its investigation of a complaint confidential, "[t]his does not mean, however, that the commission is authorized to withhold the information from the parties subject to the investigation." *See* Open Records Decision No. 534 at 7 (1989). Therefore, we concluded the release provision grants a special right of access to a party to a complaint. Thus, because access to the commission's records created under section 21.201 are governed by sections 21.305 and 819.92, we determine the requested information may not be withheld by the commission under section 552.111 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 also encompasses 21.207(b) of the Labor Code, which provides in part as follows:

- (b) Without the written consent of the complainant and respondent, the commission, its executive director, or its other officers or employees may not disclose to the public information about the efforts in a particular case to resolve an alleged discriminatory practice by conference, conciliation, or persuasion, regardless of whether there is a determination of reasonable cause.

Labor Code § 21.207(b). You indicate that the information you have marked consists of information regarding efforts at mediation or conciliation between the parties to the dispute, and you inform us that the commission has not received the written consent of both parties to release this information. We find, however, that you have not established that the blank "Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Invitation" forms pertain to efforts at mediation or conciliation for purposes of section 21.207; therefore, this information, which we have marked for release, is not confidential under section 21.207 and the commission may not withhold it under section 552.101 on that ground. However, we agree that the commission must withhold the remaining information concerning efforts at mediation or conciliation which you have marked under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 21.207(b) of the Labor Code.

Section 552.101 also encompasses section 402.083(a) of the Labor Code, which provides that "[i]nformation in or derived from a claim file regarding an employee is confidential and may not be disclosed by the [Division of Workers' Compensation of the Texas Department of Insurance (the "division")] except as provided by this subtitle[.]" Labor Code § 402.083(a). This office has interpreted section 402.083 to protect only that "information in or derived from a claim file that explicitly or implicitly discloses the identities of employees who file workers' compensation claims." Open Records Decision No. 619 at 10 (1993). Prior decisions of this office have found that information revealing the date of injury, as well as an injured employee's name, beneficiary name, commission claim number, social security number, home telephone number, home address, and date of birth implicitly or explicitly identifies claimants and is therefore excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 402.083 of the Labor Code. Only in those cases where release of the employer's identity would reveal the claimant's identity may the identity of an employer be withheld. Section 402.086 of the Labor Code essentially transfers this confidentiality to information that other parties obtain from division files. Section 402.086(a) provides that "[i]nformation relating to a claim that is confidential under this subtitle remains confidential when released to any person, except when used in court for the purposes of an appeal." Labor Code § 402.086(a). In Open Records Decision No. 533 (1989), this office determined that the predecessor provision to section 402.086 protected information received from the Industrial Accident Board (now the division), but did not protect information regarding workers compensation claims that the governmental body did not receive from the division. In this case, you represent and the information at issue indicates it was received from the division. We note that the claim file information at issue here is not subject to release under section 402.084 or section 402.085 of the Labor Code. Therefore, the information we have marked is subject to section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 402.086 of the Labor Code.

Next, we address your arguments under section 552.101 in conjunction with the Medical Practices Act (the "MPA"), chapter 159 of the Occupations Code. Section 159.002 of the MPA provides in part the following:

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

Occ. Code § 159.002(b), (c). Medical records may only be released as provided under the MPA. Open Records Decision No. 598 (1991). The submitted information contains medical records subject to the MPA.

As noted above, the submitted information contains documents obtained from workers' compensation claim files that are subject to section 402.083 of the Labor Code and medical records that are subject to the MPA. This information also falls under section 21.305 of the Labor Code in conjunction with section 819.92(a) of title 40 of the Texas Administrative Code. Because the release provisions of these sections are in conflict, we must determine which statute governs access to the records. Where general and specific statutes are in irreconcilable conflict, the specific provision typically prevails as an exception to the general provision unless the general provision was enacted later and there is clear evidence that the legislature intended the general provision to prevail. *See Gov't Code § 311.026(b); City of Lake Dallas v. Lake Cities Mun. Util. Auth.*, 555 S.W.2d 163, 168 (Tex. Civ. App.—Fort Worth 1977, writ ref'd n.r.e.). We note that sections 21.305 and 819.92(a) generally apply to any type of record contained in commission complaint records. However, section 402.083 of the Labor Code is more specific because it is applicable only to workers' compensation information. The MPA is also more specific because it applies only to medical records. Accordingly, we conclude that, notwithstanding the applicability of sections 21.305 and 819.92(a), the workers' compensation information we have marked is confidential under section 402.083 of the Labor Code, and the medical records we have marked may only be released in accordance with the MPA.

In summary, with the exception of the information we have marked for release, the commission must withhold the information you have marked under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 21.207(b) of the Labor Code. The commission must withhold the information marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 402.083 of the Labor Code. The marked medical records may only be released in accordance with the MPA. The remaining information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

Sincerely,



Karen E. Stack
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KES/jb

Ref: ID# 344632

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)