



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

GREG ABBOTT

July 7, 2009

Ms. Ashley D. Fourt  
Assistant District Attorney  
Tarrant County Criminal District Attorney  
401 West Belknap  
Fort Worth, Texas 76196-0201

OR2009-09324

Dear Ms. Fourt:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 348339.

The Tarrant County Sheriff's Department (the "department") received a request for proposals submitted in response to an RFP for commissary services for the county jail system. You state that a contract has not been awarded. You do not take a position as to whether the submitted proposals are excepted under the Act; however, you state their release may implicate the proprietary rights of the third parties who submitted the proposals. You state, and provide documentation showing, that you have notified Five Star Correctional Services, Inc., ("Five Star") and Keefe Supply Company ("Keefe") of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted proposals should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received correspondence from Five Star and Keefe. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we address Five Star's concern that the instant request was for all documents associated with this RFP, including any contracts or scoring sheets. We note that the request only asks for bid proposals. Accordingly, the only information that the department has

submitted for our review are the requested proposals and that is the only information our ruling will address.

Next, Five Star and Keefe argue that their proposals are confidential because they were submitted to the department with the understanding that they were to remain confidential. We note that information that is subject to disclosure under the Act may not be withheld simply because the party submitting it anticipates or requests confidentiality. *See Industrial Foundation v. See Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976). Further, it is well-settled that a governmental body's promise to keep information confidential is not a basis for withholding that information from the public, unless the governmental body has specific authority to keep the information confidential. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 514 at 1 (1988), 476 at 1-2 (1987), 444 at 6 (1986). Consequently, the submitted proposals must fall within an exception to disclosure in order to be withheld.

Five Star and Keefe each contend that section 552.110 of the Government Code is applicable to portions of their proposals. Section 552.110 of the Government Code protects: (1) trade secrets, and (2) commercial or financial information the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(a), (b). Section 552.110(a) protects the property interests of private parties by excepting from disclosure trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *See id.* § 552.110(a). A "trade secret"

may consist of any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives [one] an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business, as for example the amount or other terms of a secret bid for a contract or the salary of certain employees . . . . A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. Generally it relates to the production of goods, as for example, a machine or formula for the production of an article. It may, however, relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

Restatement of Torts § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 763, 776 (Tex. 1958); Open Records Decision Nos. 255 (1980), 232 (1979), 217 (1978).

There are six factors to be assessed in determining whether information qualifies as a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company's] business;
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and others involved in [the company's] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and to [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing this information; and
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also* ORD 232. This office must accept a claim that information subject to the Act is exempted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for exemption is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. *See* ORD 552. However, we cannot conclude that section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown that the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. *See* Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983). We note that pricing information pertaining to a particular contract is generally not a trade secret because it is "simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business," rather than "a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business." RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d at 776; Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 3 (1982), 306 at 3 (1982).

Section 552.110(b) protects "[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]" Gov't Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *See id.* § 552.110(b); *see also* ORD 661 at 5-6.

Five Star and Keefe argue that portions of their proposals constitute trade secrets under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code. We find that Keefe has established that a portion of its customer information, which we have marked, is a trade secret and must be

withheld under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code. However, Keefe has listed some of its customers in other portions of its proposal that it does not seek to withhold. Therefore, we find that Keefe has failed to establish that this information is a trade secret. Furthermore, Five Star and Keefe indicate that the information they seek to withhold is specifically tailored to each customer. Thus we find that Keefe has not demonstrated that any of its remaining information, and Five Star has not demonstrated that any of its information, constitutes a trade secret or demonstrated the necessary factors to establish a trade secret claim. *See* RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 com. b (1939) (defining a trade secret as a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business); ORD 552 at 5-6. Thus, the department may not withhold any of the remaining information at issue under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code.

Next, we determine that Five Star has established that the release of its customer and pricing information and information relating to its computerized commissary system would cause the company substantial competitive harm. In addition, we determine that Keefe has established that the release of its operations information and its financial statements would cause the company substantial competitive harm. Accordingly, the department must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. Upon review, however, we find that Five Star and Keefe have not made the specific factual and evidentiary showing required by section 552.110(b) that release of the remaining information at issue would cause their companies substantial competitive harm. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 661, 319 at 3 (1982) (information relating to organization and personnel, professional references, market studies, qualifications, and pricing are not ordinarily excepted from disclosure under statutory predecessor to section 552.110), 509 at 5 (1988) (because costs, bid specifications, and circumstances would change for future contracts, assertion that release of bid proposal might give competitor unfair advantage on future contracts is too speculative). Accordingly, the department may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code.

We note the submitted information contains insurance policy numbers and bank account numbers subject to section 552.136 of the Government Code. Section 552.136 states that "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." Gov't Code § 552.136. The department must withhold the insurance policy numbers and account numbers we have marked pursuant to section 552.136. In addition, Five Star seeks to withhold insurance coverage levels under section 552.136. However, section 552.136 applies only to credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device numbers that are collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body. *See id.* Therefore, section 552.136 is not applicable to insurance coverage levels and this information may not be withheld on that basis.

Finally, in response to Five Star's assertion that the submitted information is protected by common-law copyright, we note that a custodian of public records must comply with the

copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. *See* Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of materials that are subject to copyright protection unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990).

In summary, the department must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code. The department must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. The department must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released; however, in releasing the information that is copyrighted, the department must comply with applicable copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

Sincerely,



Pamela Wissemann  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

PFW/dls

Ref: ID# 348339

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Bob Austin  
Chief Executive Officer  
Five Star Correctional Services  
4928 Beeman Avenue  
Dallas, Texas 75223  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Terry Schroeder  
Regional Vice President  
Keefe Supply Company  
3101 Marquis Drive, Suite 200  
Garland, Texas 75042  
(w/o enclosures)