



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

September 10, 2009

Mr. William G. Walston, Jr.
Attorney at Law
P.O. Box 1154
Rockport, Texas 78381-1154

OR2009-12764

Dear Mr. Walston:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 355066.

The City of Rockport (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for (1) "Sanitary Plant Discharge data for a year[,]" (2) the quantity of water being purchased by a specified golf course, (3) drainage design plans for specified locations, and (4) a specified detention plan or report. You assert that release of the requested information may implicate the proprietary interests of third parties. Accordingly, you provide documentation showing that the city notified Adams Consulting Engineer, Inc. ("Adams"); Benchmark Realty Advisors ("Benchmark"); GIGNAC/SHW Group, Inc. ("GIGNAC"); and Urban Engineering ("Urban") of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See Gov't Code § 552.305(d); see also Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances).* You further provide documentation showing that Urban does not object to the release of any of its information. The requestor has also submitted comments to this office. *See Gov't Code § 552.304 (interested party may submit written comments concerning disclosure of requested information).* We have

considered the submitted comments and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.¹

Initially, we note that the city has not submitted arguments or information responsive to items number one or two of the request for information. To the extent any information responsive to these portions of the request existed on the date the city received the request, we assume the city has released it. If the city has not released any such information, it must do so at this time. *See id.* §§ 552.301(a), .302; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (if governmental body concludes that no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible).

Next, we address the city's obligations under section 552.301 of the Government Code. This section prescribes procedures that a governmental body must follow in asking this office to decide whether requested information is excepted from public disclosure. Section 552.301(b) requires the governmental body to ask for the attorney general's decision and state the exceptions to disclosure that it claims not later than the tenth business day after the date of its receipt of the written request for information. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(b). The city received the present request for information on June 23, 2009; therefore, the ten-day deadline was July 7, 2009. However, you did not request a ruling from this office until July 8, 2009. Consequently, we find the city failed to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to comply with the requirements of section 552.301 results in the legal presumption the requested information is public and must be released unless a compelling reason exists to withhold the information from disclosure. *See id.* § 552.302; *City of Dallas v. Abbott*, 279 S.W.3d 806, 811 (Tex. App.—2007, pet. granted); *Simmons v. Kuzmich*, 166 S.W.3d 342, 350 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 2005, no pet.); *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 630 (1994). Generally, a compelling reason to withhold information exists where some other source of law makes the information confidential or where third party interests are at stake. Open Records Decision No. 150 at 2 (1977). Because third party interests may be at stake, we will consider whether any of the requested information must be withheld on those grounds.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of a governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) of the Government Code to submit its reasons, if any, as to why requested information relating to that party should be withheld from disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, Adams,

¹We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.


Benchmark, and GIGNAC have not submitted comments to this office explaining why any portion of the submitted information relating to them should not be released to the requestor. Thus, we have no basis to conclude that the release of any portion of the submitted information would implicate the proprietary interests of Adams, Benchmark, or GIGNAC. Accordingly, none of the information pertaining to Adams, Benchmark, or GIGNAC may be withheld on that basis. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (stating that business enterprise that claims exception for commercial or financial information under section 552.110(b) must show by specific factual evidence that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret).

However, we note that the information at issue is protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990). As no arguments against disclosure have been raised, the city must release the information at issue to the requestor, but any information that is protected by copyright may only be released in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Christopher D. Sterner
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CDSA/eeg

Ref: ID# 355066

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Richard P. Blanchi
County Attorney
Aransas County
301 North Live Oak Street
Rockport, Texas 78382
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. James L. Urban
Urban Engineering
P.O. Box 6355
Corpus Christi, Texas 78466-6355
(w/o enclosures)

GIGNAC / SHW Group, Inc.
Architects and Engineers
719 South Shoreline, Suite 300
Corpus Christi, Texas 78401
(w/o enclosures)

Adams Consulting Engineer, Inc.
6320 Copeland Road
Tyler, Texas 75703
(w/o enclosures)

Benchmark Realty Advisors
2001 S.E. 10th Street
Bentonville, Arkansas 72712-6488
(w/o enclosures)
