ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

September 24, 2009

Ms. Susan K. Bohn
General Counsel
Lake Travis Independent School District
3322 Ranch Road 620 South
Austin, Texas 78738

OR2009-13496

Dear Ms. Bohn:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 356236 (Lake Travis request no. 070109-R422/DL 3879).

The Lake Travis Independent School District (the "district") received a request for all legal billing statements, invoices, or receipts received or paid by the district during June 2009. You claim the submitted attorney fee bills are excepted from disclosure under section 552.107 of the Government Code and privileged under Texas Rule of Evidence 503.[1] We have considered your arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, you acknowledge that the submitted attorney's fee bills are subject to section 552.022(a)(16) of the Government Code. Section 552.022(a)(16) provides for required public disclosure of "information that is in a bill for attorney's fees and that is not privileged under the attorney-client privilege," unless the information is expressly confidential under "other law." Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(16). Although you seek to withhold the information at issue under section 552.107 of the Government Code, that section is a discretionary exception to disclosure that protects a governmental body's interests and may be waived. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 676 at 10-11 (2002) (attorney-client privilege under section 552.107(1) may be waived), 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally). As such, section 552.107 is not "other law" that makes information confidential for the purposes of section 552.022(a)(16), and the district may not withhold any of the information at issue under that exception. The Texas Supreme Court has

[1]Although you also raise sections 552.103 and 552.111 of the Government Code, you have submitted no arguments in support of the applicability of these exceptions to disclosure. Therefore, we assume you no longer claim section 552.103 or section 552.111. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A) (governmental body must submit written comments stating reasons why claimed exceptions to disclosure apply).

held, however, that the Texas Rules of Evidence are "other law" within the meaning of section 552.022. *See In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328, 336 (Tex. 2001). Accordingly, we will address your assertion of the attorney-client privilege under rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence.

Texas Rule of Evidence 503 enacts the attorney-client privilege. Rule 503(b)(1) provides as follows:

> A client has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing confidential communications made for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services to the client:
>
> > (A) between the client or a representative of the client and the client's lawyer or a representative of the lawyer;
> >
> > (B) between the lawyer and the lawyer's representative;
> >
> > (C) by the client or a representative of the client, or the client's lawyer or a representative of the lawyer, to a lawyer or a representative of a lawyer representing another party in a pending action and concerning a matter of common interest therein;
> >
> > (D) between representatives of the client or between the client and a representative of the client; or
> >
> > (E) among lawyers and their representatives representing the same client.

TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). A communication is "confidential" if not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those to whom disclosure is made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client or those reasonably necessary for the transmission of the communication. *Id.* 503(a)(5). Thus, in order to withhold attorney-client privileged information from disclosure under rule 503, a governmental body must: (1) show that the document is a communication transmitted between privileged parties or reveals a confidential communication; (2) identify the parties involved in the communication; and (3) show that the communication is confidential by explaining that it was not intended to be disclosed to third persons and that it was made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client. Upon a demonstration of all three factors, the information is privileged and confidential under rule 503, provided the client has not waived the privilege or the document does not fall within the purview of the exceptions to the privilege enumerated in rule 503(d). *Pittsburgh Corning Corp. v. Caldwell*, 861 S.W.2d 423, 427 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1993, no writ).

You claim that the submitted fee bills are confidential in their entirety under Texas Rule of Evidence 503. However, section 552.022(a)(16) of the Government Code provides that

information "that is *in* a bill for attorney's fees" is not excepted from required disclosure unless it is confidential under "other law" or privileged under the attorney-client privilege. *See* Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(16) (emphasis added). This provision, by its express language, does not permit the entirety of an attorney fee bill to be withheld. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 676 (2002) (attorney fee bill cannot be withheld in entirety on basis it contains or is attorney-client communication pursuant to language in section 552.022(a)(16)); 589 (1991) (information in attorney fee bill excepted only to extent information reveals client confidences or attorney's legal advice).

We have marked the information within the submitted attorney fee bills that reveals confidential communications with parties you identified as the district's outside counsel, officials, and staff. You also state these communications were made for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services to the district. Based on your representations and our review, we conclude the information we marked may be withheld under Texas Rule of Evidence 503. However, you have failed to demonstrate the remaining information reveals communications between privileged parties. *See* ORD No. 676. Thus, the remaining information is not privileged under rule 503 and must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Bob Davis
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

RSD/cc

Ref: ID# 356236

Enc. Submitted documents

cc: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)