



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

September 25, 2009

Mr. David M. Swope  
Assistant County Attorney  
Harris County  
1019 Congress, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Houston, Texas 77002

OR2009-13581

Dear Mr. Swope:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 356449.

The Harris County Sheriff's Office (the "sheriff") received a request for information related to the investigations into the deaths of four named inmates. You indicate that the sheriff will release information relating to three of the inmates to the requestor. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that a portion of the submitted information was the subject of a previous request for information, in response to which this office issued Open Records Letter No. 2009-11881 (2009). In that ruling, we concluded that the sheriff must release certain information pursuant to article 49.18 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and section 552.022(a)(1) of the Government Code. We also concluded that the sheriff may only release marked medical records in accordance with the Medical Practices Act (the "MPA"), must withhold other marked information under section 552.101 of the Government Code, and may withhold the remaining information under section 552.103 of the Government Code. To the extent the requested information is identical to the information previously ruled upon by this office, we conclude, as we have no indication that the law, facts, and circumstances on which the prior rulings were based have changed, that the sheriff must rely on Open Records Letter No. 2009-11881 as a previous determination and withhold or release

the identical information in accordance with that ruling. *See* Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001) (so long as law, facts, circumstances on which prior ruling was based have not changed, first type of previous determination exists where requested information is precisely same information as was addressed in prior attorney general ruling, ruling is addressed to same governmental body, and ruling concludes that information is or is not excepted from disclosure). To the extent the requested information is not encompassed by the previous ruling, we will next address your arguments for the submitted information.

Next, we note the submitted documents include a custodial death report. Article 49.18(b) of the Code of Criminal Procedure provides that the attorney general shall make the custodial death report available to any interested person, with the exception of any portion of the report that the attorney general determines is privileged. *See* Code Crim. Proc. art. 49.18(b). The report was revised in May 2006 and now consists of four pages and an attached summary of how the death occurred. The attorney general has determined that the four-page report and summary must be released to the public but that any other documents submitted with the revised report are confidential under article 49.18(b). In this instance, you have submitted a portion of a custodial death report. The sheriff must release the submitted custodial death report, which we have marked, pursuant to article 49.18(b) of the Code of Criminal Procedure. We note the exceptions to disclosure found in the Act do not generally apply to information that other statutes make public. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 623 at 3 (1994), 525 at 3 (1989).

Portions of the remaining information are medical records subject to section 552.101 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes. Access to medical records is governed by the MPA, Occ. Code §§ 151.001-165.160. Section 159.002 of the MPA provides in part:

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

---

<sup>1</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

Occ. Code § 159.002(b)-(c). Information subject to the MPA includes both medical records and information obtained from those medical records. *See* Open Records Decision No. 598 (1991). This office has concluded that the protection afforded by section 159.002 extends only to records created by either a physician or someone under the supervision of a physician. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 487 (1987), 370 (1983), 343 (1982). We have marked the medical records in the documents submitted by the sheriff that are confidential under the MPA. We note, however, this information pertains to a deceased individual. Medical records pertaining to a deceased patient may only be released upon the signed consent of the deceased's personal representative. *See* Occ. Code § 159.005(a)(5). The consent must specify (1) the information to be covered by the release, (2) reasons or purposes for the release, and (3) the person to whom the information is to be released. *Id.* §§ 159.004, .005. Any subsequent release of medical records must be consistent with the purposes for which the governmental body obtained the records. *See id.* § 159.002(c); Open Records Decision No. 565 at 7 (1990). Thus, the marked medical records may only be released in accordance with the MPA. *See* ORD 598.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses section 773.091 of the Health and Safety Code. Section 773.091 provides in relevant part:

....

(b) Records of the identity, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by emergency medical services personnel or by a physician providing medical supervision that are created by the emergency medical services personnel or physician or maintained by an emergency medical services provider are confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

...

(g) The privilege of confidentiality under this section does not extend to information regarding the presence, nature of injury or illness, age, sex, occupation, and city of residence of a patient who is receiving emergency medical services.

Health & Safety Code § 773.091(b), (g). We have marked the documents in the remaining information that constitute confidential EMS records. We note, however, records that are confidential under section 773.091 may be disclosed to "any person who bears a written consent of the patient or other persons authorized to act on the patient's behalf for the release of confidential information." *Id.* §§ 773.092(e)(4), .093. Among the individuals authorized to act on the patient's behalf in providing written consent is a "personal representative" if the patient is deceased. *Id.* Section 773.093 provides that a consent for release of EMS records must specify: (1) the information or records to be covered by the release; (2) the reasons or purpose for the release; and (3) the person to whom the information is to be released. *Id.*

§ 773.093. Thus, if the sheriff receives proper consent, the marked EMS records must be released in their entirety in accordance with chapter 773 of the Health and Safety Code. If the sheriff does not receive proper consent, then with the exception of the information subject to section 773.091(g), which is not confidential, the marked EMS records must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 773.091(b) of the Health and Safety Code.

Section 552.101 also encompasses section 258.102 of the Occupations Code, which provides in pertinent part:

(a) The following information is privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this subchapter:

(1) a communication between a dentist and a patient that relates to a professional service provided by the dentist; and

(2) a dental record.

Occ. Code § 258.102(a). A “dental record” means dental information about a patient that is created or maintained by a dentist and relates to the history or treatment of the patient. *See id.* § 258.101(1). Information that is privileged under chapter 258 of the Occupations Code may be disclosed only under certain specified circumstances. *See id.* § 258.104 (consent to disclosure); *see also id.* §§ 258.105, 106, 107 (exceptions to privilege). When the patient is deceased, as is the case here, consent for the release of privileged information must be signed by a personal representative of the patient. *See id.* § 258.104(b)(5). A person who receives information that is privileged under section 258.102 of the Occupations Code may disclose that information to another person only to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the purpose for which the information was obtained. *See id.* § 258.108. We have marked the submitted dental records that are privileged under section 258.102 of the Occupations Code. The dental records may only be released in accordance with chapter 258 of the Occupations Code.

Next, we note portions of the remaining information are subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022(a)(1) of the Government Code provides for the required public disclosure of “a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108.” Gov’t Code § 552.022(a)(1). We have marked the submitted reports that are subject to section 552.022(a)(1). Therefore, the sheriff may only withhold this information if it is subject to section 552.108 or confidential under “other law.” Section 552.103 is a discretionary exception under the Act and does not constitute “other law” for purposes of section 552.022. *See Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469, 475-76 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive section 552.103); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary

exceptions generally). Thus, the reports at issue may not be withheld under this exception. However, because information subject to section 552.022(a)(1) may be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code, we will address the applicability of that exception to this information. We will also consider the sheriff's claim under section 552.103 for the information not subject to section 552.022.

Section 552.101 encompasses section 411.083 of the Government Code. Criminal history record information ("CHRI") generated by the National Crime Information Center or by the Texas Crime Information Center is confidential. Title 28, part 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations governs the release of CHRI that states obtain from the federal government or other states. Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990). The federal regulations allow each state to follow its individual law with respect to CHRI it generates. *Id.* Section 411.083 deems confidential CHRI that the Texas Department of Public Safety ("DPS") maintains, except that the DPS may disseminate this information as provided in chapter 411, subchapter F of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 411.083. Sections 411.083(b)(1) and 411.089(a) authorize a criminal justice agency to obtain CHRI; however, a criminal justice agency may not release CHRI except to another criminal justice agency for a criminal justice purpose. *Id.* § 411.089(b)(1). Other entities specified in chapter 411 of the Government Code are entitled to obtain CHRI from DPS or another criminal justice agency; however, those entities may not release CHRI except as provided by chapter 411. *See generally id.* §§ 411.090-.127. Furthermore, any CHRI obtained from DPS or any other criminal justice agency must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with Government Code chapter 411, subchapter F. *See id.* § 411.082(2)(B) (term CHRI does not include driving record information). The information that we have marked is confidential under chapter 411 and must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

Some of the information subject to section 552.022 is also excepted from disclosure based on the doctrine of common-law privacy. Section 552.101 also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be demonstrated. *Id.* at 681-82. The type of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683. This office has found that some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses are excepted from required public disclosure under common-law privacy. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps). Upon review, we find that the information we have marked is highly intimate or embarrassing and not of

legitimate public concern. Therefore, the sheriff must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code pursuant to common-law privacy. The remaining information subject to section 552.022(a)(1) must be released.

We will now address your claim under section 552.103 of the Government Code for the remaining submitted information not subject to section 552.022. Section 552.103 provides in relevant part as follows:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). The governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the request, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). The sheriff must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under 552.103(a).

The question of whether litigation is reasonably anticipated must be determined on a case-by-case basis. *See* Open Records Decision No. 452 at 4 (1986). To establish that litigation is reasonably anticipated, a governmental body must provide this office "concrete evidence showing that the claim that litigation may ensue is more than mere conjecture." *Id.* Concrete evidence to support a claim that litigation is reasonably anticipated may include, for example, the governmental body's receipt of a letter containing a specific threat to sue the governmental body from an attorney for a potential opposing party. Open Records Decision No. 555 (1990); *see* Open Records Decision No. 518 at 5 (1989) (litigation must be "realistically contemplated"). In addition, this office has concluded that litigation was reasonably anticipated when the potential opposing party took the following objective steps toward litigation: filed a complaint with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission,

*see* Open Records Decision No. 336 (1982); hired an attorney who made a demand for disputed payments and threatened to sue if the payments were not made promptly, *see* Open Records Decision No. 346 (1982); and threatened to sue on several occasions and hired an attorney, *see* Open Records Decision No. 288 (1981). On the other hand, this office has determined that if an individual publicly threatens to bring suit against a governmental body, but does not actually take objective steps toward filing suit, litigation is not reasonably anticipated. *See* Open Records Decision No. 331 (1982).

You state the sheriff reasonably anticipates litigation concerning the incident specified in the request. You also provide documentation showing that, prior to the sheriff's receipt of the present requests, the attorneys representing the family of the individual involved in the specified incident submitted letters alleging a claim against Harris County (the "county") for the individual's death. Further, one letter included a draft of a petition naming the county as a defendant in a lawsuit pertaining to the specified incident, asserting that "[the county] is responsible for the policies, practices, procedures, regulations, and customs of [the sheriff]." Based on your representations and our review of the submitted information, we agree that litigation was reasonably anticipated on the date the request was received. We also conclude that the remaining submitted information relates to the anticipated litigation for the purposes of section 552.103. Therefore, the sheriff may generally withhold the remaining information not subject to section 552.022(a)(1) under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

We note the purpose of section 552.103 is to enable a governmental body to protect its position in litigation by forcing parties to obtain information that is related to litigation through discovery procedures. *See* ORD 551 at 4-5. If the opposing party has seen or had access to information that is related to the pending litigation, through discovery or otherwise, then there is no interest in withholding such information from public disclosure under section 552.103. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Thus, the information the opposing party in the anticipated litigation has seen or had access to is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a) and must be disclosed. In this instance, the opposing party to the anticipated litigation has already seen or had access to some of the submitted information. Therefore, this information, which we have marked, may not be withheld under section 552.103. However, the remaining information at issue may be withheld under section 552.103 of the Government Code. Further, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has concluded or is no longer anticipated. *See* Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).


In summary, to the extent the submitted information that is identical to the information previously ruled upon by this office, we conclude the sheriff must continue to rely on Open Records Letter No. 2009-11881 as a previous determination and withhold or release the identical information in accordance with that ruling. The sheriff must release the custodial death report we marked pursuant to article 49.18(b) of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The sheriff may only release the marked medical records in accordance with the MPA. Except

for the information specified in section 773.091(g) of the Health and Safety Code, the EMS records we have marked may only be released in accordance with chapter 773 of the Health and Safety Code. The marked dental records may only be released in accordance with chapter 258 of the Occupations Code. The sheriff must release the information subject to section 552.022(a)(1) of the Government Code; however, when releasing this information, the sheriff must withhold the information we marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with chapter 411 of the Government Code and common-law privacy. With the exception of information that has been seen by the opposing party, the sheriff may generally withhold the remaining information not subject to section 552.022(a)(1) under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Tamara Wilcox  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

TW/dls

Ref: ID# 356449

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)