



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

December 2, 2009

Mr. Steven M. Pena, Sr.
Davidson & Troilo, P.C.
Attorney for City of Helotes
7550 West IH-10, Suite 800
San Antonio, Texas 78229-5815

OR2009-17042

Dear Mr. Pena:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 362883.

The City of Helotes (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for information related to the placement of dirt and building materials on a specified property. You state that the city has released some responsive information. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.103, and 552.107 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.103 provides in part as follows:

- (a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). The governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the request for information and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). The governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a).

The question of whether litigation is reasonably anticipated must be determined on a case-by-case basis. See Open Records Decision No. 452 at 4 (1986). When the governmental body is the prospective plaintiff in litigation, the evidence of anticipated litigation must at least reflect that litigation involving a specific matter is “realistically contemplated.” See Open Records Decision No. 518 at 5 (1989); see also Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982) (investigatory file may be withheld if governmental body’s attorney determines that it should be withheld pursuant to Gov’t Code § 552.103 and that litigation is “reasonably likely to result”).

For the purposes of section 552.103(a), litigation includes civil lawsuits and criminal prosecutions, as well as proceedings that are governed by the Administrative Procedure Act, chapter 2001 of the Government Code, or are otherwise conducted in a quasi-judicial forum. See Open Records Decision Nos. 588 (1991), 474 (1987), 368 (1983), 336 (1982). You state that the submitted information relates to a code compliance matter subject to possible criminal prosecution. Based on this representation, we agree that the city reasonably anticipated criminal prosecution on the date it received the request. Accordingly, the city may withhold the information you have marked under section 552.103 of the Government Code.¹

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. You raise section 552.101 in conjunction with the common-law informer’s privilege, which Texas courts have long recognized. See, e.g., *Aguilar v. State*, 444 S.W.2d 935, 937 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969); *Hawthorne v. State*, 10 S.W.2d 724, 725 (Tex.

¹As this ruling is dispositive with regard to the marked information, we need not address your argument under section 552.107 of the Government Code.

Crim. App. 1928). The informer's privilege protects from disclosure the identities of persons who report activities over which the governmental body has criminal or quasi-criminal law-enforcement authority, provided that the subject of the information does not already know the informer's identity. *See* Open Records Decision No. 208 at 1-2 (1978). The informer's privilege protects the identities of individuals who report violations of statutes to the police or similar law-enforcement agencies, as well as those who report violations of statutes with civil or criminal penalties to "administrative officials having a duty of inspection or of law enforcement within their particular spheres." Open Records Decision No. 279 at 1-2 (1981). The report must be of a violation of a criminal or civil statute. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 582 at 2 (1990), 515 at 4 (1988). However, witnesses who provide information in the course of an investigation but do not make the initial report of the violation are not informants for the purposes of claiming the informer's privilege. The privilege excepts the informer's statement only to the extent necessary to protect that informer's identity. Open Records Decision No. 549 at 5 (1990).

In this instance, the request demonstrates that the requestor already knows the identity of the informers whose identities you seek to withhold. *See* ORD 208 at 1-2. Accordingly, the city may not withhold any of the remaining information at issue under section 552.101 in conjunction with the common-law informer's privilege.

We note that section 552.137 of the Government Code states that "an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body is confidential and not subject to disclosure under [the Act]," unless the owner of the e-mail address has affirmatively consented to its public disclosure.² Gov't Code § 552.137(a)-(b). The types of e-mail addresses listed in section 552.137(c) may not be withheld under this exception. *See id.* § 552.137(c). We have marked an e-mail address that is subject to section 552.137. You do not indicate that the owner of this e-mail address has consented to release of this information. Therefore, the city must withhold the marked e-mail address under section 552.137.

In summary, the city may withhold the information you have marked under section 552.103 of the Government Code and must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code. The city must release the remainder of the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

²The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Ryan T. Mitchell
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

RTM/rl

Ref: ID# 362883

Enc. Submitted documents

cc: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)