



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

March 24, 2010

Ms. Candice M. De La Garza  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of Houston  
P.O. Box 368  
Houston, Texas 77001-0368

OR2010-04130

Dear Ms. De La Garza:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 373785.

The City of Houston (the "city") received a request for a specified company's bid proposal submitted for a specified project. Although you take no position as to the disclosure of the submitted proposal, you state that release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of ThyssenKrupp Airport Systems, Inc. ("TKAS"). You state, and provide documentation showing, that you notified TKAS of the request and of its right to submit comments to this office as to why its information should not be released to the requestor. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain the applicability of exception to disclosure under Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from TKAS. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

TKAS raises section 552.110(b) of the Government Code, which protects "[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]" Gov't Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *Id.*; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm).

Upon review of TKAS's arguments and the information at issue, we find that TKAS has established that the release of its customer list would cause the company substantial

competitive injury. Therefore, the city must withhold this information, which we have marked, under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. However, we find that TKAS has made only conclusory allegations that the release of the remaining information at issue would result in substantial damage to its competitive position. Thus, TKAS has not demonstrated that substantial competitive injury would result from the release of any of the submitted information. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 661 (for information to be withheld under commercial or financial information prong of section 552.110, business must show by specific factual evidence that substantial competitive injury would result from release of particular information at issue), 509 at 5 (1988) (because costs, bid specifications, and circumstances would change for future contracts, assertion that release of bid proposal might give competitive unfair advantage on future contracts is too speculative). Furthermore, we note that TKAS was the winning bidder in this instance. This office considers the prices charged in government contract awards to be a matter of strong public interest; thus, the pricing information of a winning bidder is generally not excepted under section 552.110(b). *See* Open Records Decision No. 514 (1988) (public has interest in knowing prices charged by government contractors); *see generally* Freedom of Information Act Guide & Privacy Act Overview, 219 (2000) (federal cases applying analogous Freedom of Information Act reasoning that disclosure of prices charged government is a cost of doing business with government). Accordingly, no portion of TKAS's remaining information may be withheld under section 552.110(b).

We note some of the remaining information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.136 of the Government Code, which provides that "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential."<sup>1</sup> Gov't Code § 552.136(b). This office has determined that insurance policy numbers are access device numbers for purposes of section 552.136. *See id.* § 552.136(a) (defining "access device"). Therefore, the city must withhold the insurance policy numbers we have marked pursuant to section 552.136 of the Government Code.<sup>2</sup>

We note a portion of the remaining information appears to be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In

---

<sup>1</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

<sup>2</sup>We note this office recently issued Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009), a previous determination to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold ten categories of information, including insurance policy numbers under section 552.136 of the Government Code, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision.

making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990).

In summary, the city must withhold the customer list, which we have marked, under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. The city must withhold the insurance policy numbers we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released, but any information that is protected by copyright may only be released in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Sarah Casterline  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

SEC/eeg

Ref: ID# 373785

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Lisa Scotford  
Thyssenkrupp Airport Systems, Inc.  
3201 North Sylvania Avenue, Suite 117  
Fort Worth, Texas 76111  
(w/o enclosures)