



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

April 13, 2010

Ms. Ashley R. Allen
Staff Attorney
Administrative Law Section
Texas General Land Office
P.O. Box 12873
Austin, Texas 78711

OR2010-05231

Dear Ms. Allen:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 375726.

The Texas General Land Office (the "GLO") received a request for information pertaining to Request for Proposals 00310-HR. You state the GLO has released some of the requested information. Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state that release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of third parties. Accordingly, you state you notified Montoya Anderson Construction, Inc. ("MAC") and Apollo Environmental Strategies, Inc. ("Apollo") of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See Gov't Code § 552.305(d); see also Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances).* We have reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B).* As of the date of this letter, this office has not received comments from MAC or Apollo explaining why each third party's submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude that these third parties have

a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the GLO may not withhold any portion of the submitted information based upon the proprietary interests of MAC or Apollo.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.”¹ Gov’t Code § 552.101. This section encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy. Common-law privacy protects information that (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). The type of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683. This office has found that personal financial information not relating to the financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is excepted from required public disclosure under common-law privacy. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992), 545 (1990).

Upon review, we find that the personal financial information we have marked is highly intimate or embarrassing and not of legitimate public concern. Therefore, the GLO must withhold the information we have marked pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

We note that a portion of the remaining information is subject to section 552.136 of the Government Code. Section 552.136 states that “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.” Gov’t Code § 552.136. Accordingly, we find that the GLO must withhold the insurance policy number we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code.²

¹The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

²We note this office recently issued Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009), a previous determination to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold ten categories of information, including insurance policy numbers under section 552.136 of the Government Code, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision.

Finally, we note that some of the remaining information at issue is protected by copyright. A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information, but a custodian of public records must comply with copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. *See* Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). Thus, if a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990).

In summary, the GLO must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy and the insurance policy number we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released, but any information protected by copyright may only be released in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Jennifer Luttrall
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JL/dls

Ref: ID# 375726

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Tim Elms
Apollo Environmental Strategies, Inc.
P.O. Box 12114
Beaumont, Texas 77726
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Brad Bechto
Montoya Anderson Construction, Inc.
P.O. Box 15710
Austin, Texas 78715
(w/o enclosures)