



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

January 4, 2011

Ms. Neera Chatterjee  
Office of General Counsel  
The University of Texas System  
201 West Seventh Street  
Austin, Texas 78701-2902

OR2011-00181

Dear Ms. Chatterjee:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 404855 (OGC # 133940).

The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas (the "university") received a request for all additions to the university's records on a named individual since August 10, 2010. You state the university has released some information to the requestor. You claim a portion of the remaining requested information is not subject to the Act. You also claim a portion of the information at issue is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.107 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>1</sup>

We now address your argument that portions of the submitted information are not subject to the Act. You contend that, pursuant to section 181.006 of the Health and Safety Code, the information you have marked is not subject to the Act. Section 181.006 states that: [f]or a covered entity that is a governmental unit, an individual's protected health information:

- (1) includes any information that reflects that an individual received health care from the covered entity; and
- (2) is not public information and is not subject to disclosure under [the Act].

---

<sup>1</sup>We assume the "representative sample" of information submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent those records contain substantially different types of information than those submitted to this office.

Health & Safety Code § 181.006. Subsection 181.006(2) does not remove protected health information from the Act's application, but rather states this information is "not public information and is not subject to disclosure under [the Act]." We interpret this to mean a covered entity's protected health information is subject to the Act's application. Furthermore, this statute, when demonstrated to be applicable, makes confidential the information it covers. Thus, we will consider whether section 181.006 makes this information confidential.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses information protected by other statutes, such as section 181.006. As noted above, section 181.006 states that "[f]or a covered entity that is a governmental unit, an individual's protected health information . . . is not public information and is not subject to disclosure under [the Act]." Health & Safety Code § 181.006. Section 181.001(b)(2) defines "[c]overed entity," in part, as "any person who:

(A) for commercial, financial, or professional gain, monetary fees, or dues, or on a cooperative, nonprofit, or pro bono basis, engages, in whole or in part, and with real or constructive knowledge, in the practice of assembling, collecting, analyzing, using, evaluating, storing, or transmitting protected health information. The term includes a business associate, health care payer, governmental unit, information or computer management entity, school, health researcher, health care facility, clinic, health care provider, or person who maintains an Internet site[.]

*Id.* § 181.001(b)(2). You inform us the university's privacy policy demonstrates that it is a covered entity. You indicate the university maintains health information for the individuals it serves, including information showing that an individual received medical care from the university. You indicate the information collected, used, and stored by the university consists of protected health information. Thus, you claim the university is a covered entity for the purposes of section 181.006 of the Health and Safety Code.

In order to determine whether the university is a covered entity for the purposes of section 181.006 of the Health and Safety Code, we must address whether the university engages in the practice of collecting, analyzing, using, evaluating, storing or transmitting protected health information. Section 181.001 states that "[u]nless otherwise defined in this chapter, each term that is used in this chapter has the meaning assigned by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act and Privacy Standards ["HIPAA"]." *Id.* § 181.001(a). Accordingly, as chapter 181 does not define "protected health information," we turn to HIPAA's definition of the term. HIPAA defines "protected health information" as individually identifiable health information that is transmitted or maintained in electronic media or any other form or medium. *See* 45 C.F.R. § 160.103. HIPAA defines "individually identifiable health information" as information that is a subset of health information, including demographic information collected from an individual, and:

(1) Is created or received by a health care provider, health plan, employer, or health care clearinghouse; and

(2) Relates to the past, present, or future physical or mental health or condition of an individual; the provision of health care to an individual; or the past, present, or future payment for the provision of health care to an individual; and

(i) That identifies the individual; or

(ii) With respect to which there is a reasonable basis to believe the information can be used to identify the individual[.]

45 C.F.R. § 160.103. We note some of the information we have marked was received by the university as a health care provider and relates to the provision of health care to a named individual. Upon review, we find this information consists of protected health information for purposes of section 181.006 of the Health and Safety Code. You indicate the university collects and stores this information for the purposes of providing health care-related services. Therefore, with respect to this information, the university is a health care entity that is in the practice of collecting, using, and storing protected health information, and, consequently, is a covered entity for the purposes of section 181.006 of the Health and Safety Code. Accordingly, the university must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 181.006 of the Health and Safety Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses section 161.032 of the Health and Safety Code, which provides in part:

(a) The records and proceedings of a medical committee are confidential and are not subject to court subpoena.

...

(c) Records, information, or reports of a medical committee . . . and records, information, or reports provided by a medical committee . . . to the governing body of a public hospital . . . are not subject to disclosure under Chapter 552, Government Code.

...

(f) This section and Subchapter A, Chapter 160, Occupations Code, do not apply to records made or maintained in the regular course of business by a hospital, health maintenance organization, medical organization, university medical center or health science center, hospital district, hospital authority, or extended care facility.

Health & Safety Code §§ 161.032(a), (c), (f). Section 161.031(a) defines a “medical committee” as “any committee . . . of (3) a university medical school or health science center[.]” *Id.* § 161.031(a)(3). Section 161.0315 provides in relevant part that “[t]he governing body of a hospital [or] university medical school or health science center . . . may form . . . a medical committee, as defined by Section 161.031, to evaluate medical and health care services[.]” *Id.* § 161.0315(a).

The precise scope of the “medical committee” provision has been the subject of a number of judicial decisions. *See, e.g., Memorial Hosp.—The Woodlands v. McCown*, 927 S.W.2d 1 (Tex. 1996); *Barnes v. Whittington*, 751 S.W.2d 493 (Tex. 1988); *Jordan v. Fourth Supreme Judicial Dist.*, 701 S.W.2d 644 (Tex. 1986). These cases establish that “documents generated by the committee in order to conduct open and thorough review” are confidential. This protection extends “to documents that have been prepared by or at the direction of the committee for committee purposes.” *Jordan*, 701 S.W.2d at 647-48. Protection does not extend to documents “gratuitously submitted to a committee” or “created without committee impetus and purpose.” *Id.* at 648; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 591 (1991) (construing, among other statutes, statutory predecessor to section 161.032).

You state the university established the Professional Liability Committee (the “PLC”) to evaluate the health care services rendered by physicians at the university. Thus, we agree the PLC is a “medical committee” under section 161.031. You further state some of the information you have marked was created by the Medical Risk Management Division of the Office of Vice-President for Legal Affairs which provides substantive support to the PLC. Thus, we find this information, which we have marked, was prepared at the direction of the PLC for committee purposes. Accordingly, the university must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 161.032 of the Health and Safety Code.

Section 552.101 also encompasses the federal Family and Medical Leave Act (the “FMLA”). *See* 29 U.S.C. § 2801 *et seq.* Section 825.500 of chapter V of title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations identifies the record-keeping requirements pertaining to information that is subject to the FMLA. Subsection (g) of section 825.500 provides that

[r]ecords and documents relating to medical certifications, recertifications or medical histories of employees or employees’ family members, created for purposes of FMLA, shall be maintained as confidential medical records in separate files/records from the usual personnel files, and if ADA, as amended, is also applicable, such records shall be maintained in conformance with ADA confidentiality requirements[], except that:

- (1) Supervisors and managers may be informed regarding necessary restrictions on the work or duties of an employee and necessary accommodations;

(2) First aid and safety personnel may be informed (when appropriate) if the employee's physical or medical condition might require emergency treatment; and

(3) Government officials investigating compliance with FMLA (or other pertinent law) shall be provided relevant information upon request.

29 C.F.R. § 825.500(g). Upon review, we find the information we have marked is confidential under section 825.500 of title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Further, we find none of the release provisions of the FMLA apply to the information. Accordingly, the university must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 825.500 of title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Section 552.101 also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be established. *Id.* at 681–82. This office has found that personal financial information not relating to a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is generally excepted from required public disclosure under common-law privacy. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992) (finding personal financial information to include designation of beneficiary of employee's retirement benefits and optional insurance coverage; choice of particular insurance carrier; direct deposit authorization; and forms allowing employee to allocate pretax compensation to group insurance, health care, or dependent care), 545 (1990) (deferred compensation information, participation in voluntary investment program, election of optional insurance coverage, mortgage payments, assets, bills, and credit history). Upon review, we find the personal financial information we have marked is not of legitimate public interest. Thus, the university must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of constitutional privacy. You assert the remaining information is protected under constitutional privacy, which consists of two interrelated types of privacy: (1) the right to make certain kinds of decisions independently and (2) an individual's interest in avoiding disclosure of personal matters. Open Records Decision No. 455 at 4 (1987). The first type protects an individual's autonomy within "zones of privacy," which include matters related to marriage, procreation, contraception, family relationships, and child rearing and education. *Id.* The second type of constitutional privacy requires a balancing between the individual's privacy interests and the public's need to know information of public concern. *Id.* The scope of information protected is narrower than under the common-law doctrine of privacy; the information must concern the "most intimate aspects of human affairs." *Id.* at 5 (citing *Ramie v. City of Hedwig Village, Texas*, 765 F.2d 490 (5th Cir. 1985)). In this instance, you have not

demonstrated how constitutional privacy applies to the remaining information. Accordingly, the remaining information may not be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with constitutional privacy.

Section 552.107(1) of the Government Code protects information that comes within the attorney-client privilege. When asserting the attorney-client privilege, a governmental body has the burden of providing the necessary facts to demonstrate the elements of the privilege in order to withhold the information at issue. Open Records Decision No. 676 at 6-7 (2002). First, a governmental body must demonstrate that the information constitutes or documents a communication. *Id.* at 7. Second, the communication must have been made “for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services” to the client governmental body. TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). The privilege does not apply when an attorney or representative is involved in some capacity other than that of providing or facilitating professional legal services to the client governmental body. *In re Texas Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 990 S.W.2d 337, 340 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 1999, orig. proceeding) (attorney-client privilege does not apply if attorney acting in a capacity other than that of attorney). Governmental attorneys often act in capacities other than that of professional legal counsel, such as administrators, investigators, or managers. Thus, the mere fact that a communication involves an attorney for the government does not demonstrate this element. Third, the privilege applies only to communications between or among clients, client representatives, lawyers, lawyer representatives, and a lawyer representing another party in a pending action and concerning a matter of common interest therein. *See* TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1)(A)-(E). Thus, a governmental body must inform this office of the identities and capacities of the individuals to whom each communication at issue has been made. Lastly, the attorney-client privilege applies only to a confidential communication, *id.* 503(b)(1), meaning it was “not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those to whom disclosure is made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client or those reasonably necessary for the transmission of the communication.” *Id.* 503(a)(5).

Whether a communication meets this definition depends on the intent of the parties involved at the time the information was communicated. *Osborne v. Johnson*, 954 S.W.2d 180, 184 (Tex. App.—Waco 1997, no pet.). Moreover, because the client may elect to waive the privilege at any time, a governmental body must explain that the confidentiality of a communication has been maintained. Section 552.107(1) generally excepts an entire communication that is demonstrated to be protected by the attorney-client privilege, unless otherwise waived by the governmental body. *See Huie v. DeShazo*, 922 S.W.2d 920, 923 (Tex. 1996) (privilege extends to entire communication, including facts contained therein).

You state some of the submitted information constitutes a communication between an attorney and an employee of the university that was made for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services to the university. You further state this information was made in confidence and has maintained its confidentiality. Based on your representations and our review, we find you have demonstrated the applicability of the attorney-client privilege to this information. Accordingly, the university may withhold the information we have marked under section 552.107 of the Government Code.

We note the submitted information includes information that is excepted from disclosure under section 552.102(a) of the Government Code.<sup>2</sup> Section 552.102(a) excepts from disclosure “information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.” Gov’t Code § 552.102(a). The Texas Supreme Court recently held section 552.102(a) excepts from disclosure the dates of birth of state employees in the payroll database of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts. *Tex. Comptroller of Pub. Accounts v. Attorney Gen. of Tex. & The Dallas Morning News, Ltd.*, No. 08-0172, 2010 WL 4910163 (Tex. Dec. 3, 2010) (Dec. 20, 2010, motions for reconsideration and rehearing pending). Having carefully reviewed the information at issue, we have marked the information that must be withheld under section 552.102(a) of the Government Code.

We note, and you acknowledge, the remaining information contains information that may be subject to section 552.117. Section 552.117 excepts from disclosure the home addresses and telephone numbers, social security numbers, and family member information of current or former officials or employees of a governmental body who request that this information be kept confidential under section 552.024. *Id.* § 552.117(a)(1). Whether a particular piece of information is protected by section 552.117 must be determined at the time the request for it is made. *See* Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989). Therefore, the university may only withhold information under section 552.117 on behalf of current or former officials or employees who made a request for confidentiality under section 552.024 prior to the date on which the request for this information was made. Accordingly, if the employee whose information is at issue timely elected to keep his personal information confidential pursuant to section 552.024, the university must withhold the information you and we have marked in the remaining information.<sup>3</sup> The university may not withhold this information under section 552.117 if the employee did not make a timely election to keep the information confidential.

In summary, the university must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 181.006 of the Health and Safety Code. The university must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 161.032 of the Health and Safety Code. The university must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 825.500 of title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations. The university must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. The

---

<sup>2</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception like section 552.102 on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions.

<sup>3</sup>If the employee did not timely elect to keep his personal information confidential pursuant to section 552.024, the university may withhold the social security numbers at issue under section 552.147 of the Government Code. Section 552.147(b) authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person’s social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office. Gov’t Code § 552.147(b).

university may withhold the information we have marked under section 552.107 of the Government Code. The university must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.102(a) of the Government Code. If the employee whose information is at issue timely elected to keep his personal information confidential pursuant to section 552.024, the university must withhold the information you and we have marked in the remaining information. The university may not withhold this information under section 552.117 if the employee did not make a timely election to keep the information confidential. As you raise no further exceptions for the remaining information, it must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Sean Nottingham  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

SN/eeg

Ref: ID# 404855

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)