



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

May 16, 2011

Ms. Margo Kaiser
Texas Workforce Commission
101 East 15th Street
Austin, Texas 78778-0001

OR2011-06747

Dear Ms. Kaiser:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 421066 (TWC Tracking No. 110331-008).

The Texas Workforce Commission (the "commission") received a request for all discrimination complaints filed by Texas Tech University employees during a specified time period and the 2010 final review of Texas Tech University's equal employment policies.¹ You state the commission will release the requested policies to the requestor. You claim the remaining information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.²

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses information other statutes make confidential. Pursuant to section 21.204 of the Labor Code, the commission may investigate a complaint of an unlawful employment practice. *See* Labor Code § 21.204; *see also id.* §§ 21.0015

¹We note the commission sought and received clarification of the request for information. *See* Gov't Code § 552.222(b) (stating that if information requested is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify request).

²We assume the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

(powers of Commission on Human Rights under Labor Code chapter 21 transferred to commission's civil rights division), 201. Section 21.304 of the Labor Code provides that "[a]n officer or employee of the commission may not disclose to the public information obtained by the commission under Section 21.204 except as necessary to the conduct of a proceeding under this chapter." *Id.* § 21.304.

You indicate the submitted information pertains to a complaint of unlawful employment practices investigated by the commission under section 21.204 and on behalf of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. Based on your representations, we find section 21.304 of the Labor Code is applicable to the submitted information. You state the release provisions of section 21.305 of the Labor Code are not applicable in this instance. Therefore, we conclude the commission must withhold the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 21.304 of the Labor Code.³

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Christina Alvarado
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CA/eb

Ref: ID# 421066

Enc. Submitted documents

cc: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

³As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure.