



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

January 9, 2012

Ms. Stephanie Galanides  
City Secretary  
City of Heath  
200 Laurence Drive  
Heath, Texas 75032

OR2012-00412

Dear Ms. Galanides:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 442222.

The City of Heath (the "city") received a request for (1) a specified signed construction contract between the city and Chicago Bridge & Iron Company, Inc. ("CB&I"); (2) the performance bond related to construction of the new water tower; (3) the payment bond related to construction of the new water tower; (4) the maintenance/warranty bond related to the new water tower; (5) construction insurance documents pertaining to the new water tower; and (6) Freeman-Millican, Inc.'s ("Freeman") liability insurance documentation pertaining to the new water tower. You state you have released some of the requested information to the requestor. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. You also provide documentation showing you notified Freeman and CB&I of the request and their right to submit arguments to this office as to why their information should not be released because release of the submitted information may implicate these companies' proprietary interests. Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure in certain circumstances). We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, you state some of the information at issue was the subject of a previous request for information from the same requestor as the instant request, as a result of which this office issued Open Records Letter No. 2011-09069 (2011). You state the law, facts, and

circumstances on which the prior ruling was based have not changed. The city must continue to rely on this ruling as a previous determination and withhold or release the previously ruled upon information in accordance with the prior ruling. *See* Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001) (so long as law, facts, and circumstances on which prior ruling was based have not changed, first type of previous determination exists where requested information is precisely same information as was addressed in a prior attorney general ruling, ruling is addressed to same governmental body, and ruling concludes that information is or is not excepted from disclosure). However, we will consider your argument for the submitted information not subject to the prior ruling.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) of the Government Code to submit its reasons, if any, as to why requested information relating to it should be withheld from disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received arguments from CB&I or Freeman. Consequently, we have no basis for concluding any portion of the remaining information constitutes proprietary information of these companies. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the city may not withhold any of the remaining information based on the proprietary interests of CB&I or Freeman.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information that is made confidential by other statutes. You raise section 552.101 in conjunction with section 418.181 of the Government Code. Sections 418.176 through 418.182 were added to chapter 418 of the Government Code as part of the Texas Homeland Security Act (the "HSA"). Section 418.181 provides:

Those documents or portions of documents in the possession of a governmental entity are confidential if they identify the technical details of particular vulnerabilities of critical infrastructure to an act of terrorism.

*Id.* § 418.181. The fact that information may relate to a governmental body's security measures does not make the information *per se* confidential under the HSA. *See* Open Records Decision No. 649 at 3 (1996) (language of confidentiality provision controls scope of its protection). Furthermore, the mere recitation of a statute's key terms is not sufficient to demonstrate the applicability of the claimed provision. As with any exception to disclosure, a claim under section 418.181 must be accompanied by an adequate explanation of how the responsive records fall within the scope of the claimed provision. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A) (governmental body must explain how claimed exception to disclosure applies).

You state the submitted information details the construction of the city's water tower and pipelines that service the tower. You assert, and we agree, the city's water tower and water pipelines are part of the city's critical infrastructure for purposes of section 418.181. *See generally id.* § 421.001 (defining "critical infrastructure" to include all public or private assets, systems, and functions vital to security, governance, public health and safety, economy, or morale of state or nation). You provide an affidavit in which the city's engineer and director of public works (the "engineer") states the water tower will provide critical potable water storage required for the city to meet its demands including those necessary for firefighting. The engineer further states an individual could use the submitted information to "impede, interfere with, damage or destroy these crucial facilities making them highly vulnerable to improper or wrongful activity." The engineer informs us damage to the proposed water tower or pipelines could severely impact the ability of the city and the City of Rockwall to provide water service. However, upon review of the remaining information and the submitted arguments, we conclude you have failed to establish that releasing the remaining portions of the contract with Freeman, the remaining portions of the bid packet submitted by CB&I, and the remaining insurance documents at issue would reveal the technical details of particular vulnerabilities of critical infrastructure to an act of terrorism. Thus, the city may not withhold any of the remaining information, which we have marked for release, under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 418.181.

We note that portions of the remaining information are subject to section 552.136 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> Section 552.136 states, "Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." Gov't Code § 552.136(b); *see also id.* § 552.136(a) (defining "access device"). This office has determined an insurance policy number is an access device for the purposes of section 552.136. Accordingly, the city must withhold the insurance policy numbers we have marked under section 552.136.

We also note some of the remaining information appears to be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Open Records Decision No. 180 at 3 (1977). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.*; *see* Open Records Decision No. 109 (1975). If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit.

In summary, the city must continue to rely on Open Records Letter No. 2011-09069 as a previous determination and withhold or release the previously ruled upon information in

---


<sup>1</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

accordance with the prior ruling. The city must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released, but any information protected by copyright may only be released in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\\_orl.php](http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Cynthia G. Tynan  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

CGT/em

Ref: ID# 442222

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jim Julien  
Chicago Bridge & Iron  
2103 Research Forest Drive  
The Woodlands, Texas 77380  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Richard Dormier  
Freeman-Millican, Inc.  
12225 Greenville Avenue, Suite 121  
Dallas, Texas 75243  
(w/o enclosures)