



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

January 13, 2012

Ms. Shirley Thomas
Acting General Counsel
Dallas Area Rapid Transit
P.O. Box 660163
Dallas, Texas 75266-0163

OR2012-00698

Dear Ms. Thomas:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 443133 (ORR# 8610).

Dallas Area Rapid Transit ("DART") received a request for contract number C-1014813 for Integrated Radio Communications-Radio Replacement Contract. You state DART has released some of the requested information. You claim Exhibit C is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. You do not take a position as to whether Exhibit B is excepted from disclosure under the Act. However, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified Tyco Electronics ("Tyco") and its successor in interest, Harris Corporation ("Harris"), of DART's receipt of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released to the requestor. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 at 3 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses information protected by other statutes, including federal law. On November 25, 2002, the president signed the Homeland Security Act (“HSA”). The HSA created the Department of Homeland Security (“DHS”) and transferred the Transportation Security Administration (“TSA”), a new agency created in the Department of Transportation (“DOT”) the previous year to oversee the security of transportation, to DHS. *See* 6 U.S.C. §§ 111, 203.

In connection with the transfer of TSA to DHS, the HSA also transferred TSA’s authority concerning sensitive security information (“SSI”) under section 40119 of title 49 of the United States Code to section 114(r) of title 49 of the United States Code and amended section 40119 to vest similar SSI authority in the secretary of DOT.¹ Section 114(r) of title 49 states:

(1) Notwithstanding [the Federal Freedom of Information Act (the “FOIA”),] the Under Secretary [for Transportation Security, head of TSA] shall prescribe regulations prohibiting the disclosure of information obtained or developed in carrying out security under authority of the Aviation and Transportation Security Act . . . if the Under Secretary decides disclosing the information would

...

(C) be detrimental to the security of transportation.

49 U.S.C. § 114(r)(1)(C). This provision authorizes the under secretary to prescribe regulations that prohibit disclosure of information requested not only under the FOIA, but also under other disclosure statutes. *Cf. Public Citizen, Inc. v. Federal Aviation Administration*, 988 F.2d 186, 194 (D.C. Cir. 1993) (former section 40119 authorized Federal Aviation Administration administrator to prescribe regulations prohibiting disclosure of information under other statutes as well as under FOIA). Thus, the under secretary is authorized by section 114(r) to prescribe regulations that prohibit disclosure of information requested under the Act.

Pursuant to the mandate and authority of section 114 of title 49, TSA published regulations in title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations that took effect June 17, 2004. *See* 69 Fed. Reg. 28066. TSA subsequently published additional regulations regarding the security of passenger and freight rail services found in title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, which took effect December 26, 2008, with amendments taking effect on May 20, 2009. *See* 73

¹This ruling does not construe the parallel federal statutes and regulations that apply to DOT.

Fed. Reg. 77531; 74 Fed. Reg. 23656. Section 1520.1(a) of these regulations explains that the regulations govern the “maintenance, safeguarding, and disclosure of records and information that TSA has determined to be [“SSI”], as defined in § 1520.5.” 49 C.F.R. § 1520.1(a). Section 1520.7 states that the covered persons to which these regulations apply include, among others, rail transit systems subject to the requirements of part 1580 and “[e]ach person employed by, contracted to, or acting for a covered person[.]” *See id.* § 1520.7(k), (n). We note section 1580 states “Rail transit system or ‘Rail Fixed Guideway System’ means any light, heavy, or rapid rail system, monorail, inclined plane, funicular, cable car, trolley, or automated guideway that traditionally does not operate on track that is part of the general railroad system of transportation.” *Id.* § 1580.3. Further, section 1520.7(j) specifies that these regulations apply to “[e]ach person who has access to SSI, as specified in § 1520.11.” *Id.* § 1520.7(j). Pursuant to section 1520.11(a), a person has a need to know SSI “[w]hen the person requires access to specific SSI to carry out transportation security activities approved, accepted, funded, recommended, or directed by DHS or DOT.” *Id.* § 1520.11(a). Section 1520.11(b) further states that a local government employee has a need to know SSI “if access to the information is necessary for performance of the employee’s official duties on behalf or in defense of” the interests of the local government. *Id.* § 1520.11(b)(1). Thus, the regulations in title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations apply to DART.

As to the release of information by persons other than TSA, section 1520.9(a) of title 49 provides in part that a person to which these regulations apply has a duty to protect information and may disclose SSI “only to covered persons who have a need to know, unless otherwise authorized in writing by TSA, the Coast Guard, or the Secretary of DOT.” *Id.* § 1520.9(a)(2). Section 1520.9(a)(3) of title 49 further provides that those covered by the regulation must “[r]efer requests by other persons for SSI to TSA or the applicable component or agency within DOT or DHS.” *Id.* § 1520.9(a)(3). SSI is defined to include certain information obtained or developed in the conduct of security activities, the disclosure of which TSA has determined would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy, reveal trade secrets or privileged or confidential information obtained from any person, or be detrimental to the security of transportation. *Id.* § 1520.5(a). SSI also includes “[a]ny security program or security contingency plan issued, established, required, received, or approved by DOT or DHS,” “[s]pecific details of . . . rail transportation security measures, both operational and technical, whether applied directly by the Federal government or another person, including . . . [s]ecurity measures or protocols recommended by the Federal government,” and “[a]ny information not otherwise described . . . that TSA determines is SSI under 49 U.S.C. 114(s) or that the Secretary of DOT determines is SSI under 49 U.S.C. 40119.” *Id.* § 1520.5(b)(1), (8), (16).

You state Exhibit C consists of a contract and its supplements that “contain information such as covert emergency alarm requirements, building specifications and drawings of new radio towers, locations and capabilities of control centers and locations of critical communication

infrastructure supporting a system[-]wide [t]wo-way radio system for DART's operations and emergency response including bus, light rail, police maintenance and high occupancy vehicle operations." You argue this information constitutes "security sensitive information" as defined by the TSA. Based on the statutory and regulatory scheme described above, your arguments, and our review, we conclude the decision to release or withhold the information in Exhibit C is not for this office or DART to make, but rather is a decision for the Under Secretary as head of the TSA. *See English v. Gen. Elec. Co.*, 496 U.S. 72, 79 (1990) (state law is preempted to extent it actually conflicts with federal law). Therefore, DART may not release Exhibit C at this time under the Act, but instead must refer the information to the TSA to make a determination concerning disclosure of that information.²

Finally, an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why requested information relating to it should be withheld from disclosure. *See Gov't Code* § 552.305(d)(2)(B). We received correspondence from Harris stating it would provide arguments to withhold its information under the Act. However, as of the date of this letter, neither Tyco nor Harris has submitted to this office any reasons explaining why the requested information should not be released. We thus have no basis for concluding any portion of Exhibit B constitutes proprietary information, and DART may not withhold any portion of this information on that basis. *See Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999)* (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, DART must release Exhibit B to the requestor.

To conclude, DART may not release Exhibit C at this time under the Act, but instead must refer the information to the TSA to make a determination concerning disclosure of that information. However, DART must release Exhibit B to the requestor.


This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public

²Because our ruling is dispositive, we do not address your remaining argument against disclosure.

information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



James L. Coggeshall
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JLC/ag

Ref: ID# 443133

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Thomas Lynch
Tyco Electronics
1050 Westlake Drive
Berwyn, Pennsylvania 19312
(w/o enclosures)