



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

February 29, 2012

Ms. Marivi Gambini
Paralegal
City of Irving
825 West Irving Boulevard
Irving, Texas 75060

OR2012-03163

Dear Ms. Gambini:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 447151.

The City of Irving (the "city") received two requests from different requestors for the contract between the city and a named entity. Although you take no position with respect to the requested information, you state that the proprietary interests of Motorola Solutions, Inc. ("Motorola") might be implicated. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation demonstrating, the city notified Motorola of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments stating why its information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.


An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why requested information relating to it should be withheld from disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, this office has not received comments from Motorola explaining why its information should not be released to the requestor. Thus, we have no basis to conclude that the release of any of the submitted information would implicate the third party's interests. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661

at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, we conclude that the city may not withhold any of the submitted information on the basis of any interest Motorola may have in the information. As no exceptions to disclosure have been raised, the city must release the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Lindsay E. Hale
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

LEH/ag

Ref: ID# 447151

Enc. Submitted documents

c: 2 Requestors
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jake Price
Account Manager
Motorola Solutions, Inc.
8141 Shadywood Lane
North Richland Hills, Texas 76182
(w/o enclosures)