



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

April 17, 2013

Ms. Hadassah Schloss
Open Records Coordinator
Legal Services Division
Texas General Land Office
P.O. Box 12873
Austin, Texas 78711

OR2013-06242

Dear Ms. Schloss:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 484297.

The Texas General Land Office (the "GLO") received a request for e-mails and other types of communications to or from the GLO pertaining to a specified study, the selection of consultants to prepare the study, and draft studies or findings by the GLO pertaining to South Padre Island Beaches. You state you have released some information to the requestor. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.111 of the Government Code. You also state release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of LJA Engineering, Inc. ("LJA"). Accordingly, you have notified this third party of the request and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See Gov't Code § 552.305(d)* (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permitted governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under the circumstances). We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information. We have also received and considered comments from the requestor. *See Gov't Code § 552.304* (interested party may submit comments stating why information should or should not be released).

Section 552.111 of the Government Code exempts from disclosure “[a]n interagency or intraagency memorandum or letter that would not be available by law to a party in litigation with the agency[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.111. This exception encompasses the deliberative process privilege. *See* Open Records Decision No. 615 at 2 (1993). The purpose of section 552.111 is to protect advice, opinion, and recommendation in the decisional process and to encourage open and frank discussion in the deliberative process. *See Austin v. City of San Antonio*, 630 S.W.2d 391, 394 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 1982, no writ); Open Records Decision No. 538 at 1-2 (1990).

In Open Records Decision No. 615, this office re-examined the statutory predecessor to section 552.111 in light of the decision in *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ). We determined section 552.111 exempts from disclosure only those internal communications that consist of advice, recommendations, opinions, and other material reflecting the policymaking processes of the governmental body. *See* ORD 615 at 5. A governmental body’s policymaking functions do not encompass routine internal administrative or personnel matters, and disclosure of information about such matters will not inhibit free discussion of policy issues among agency personnel. *Id.*; *see also City of Garland v. Dallas Morning News*, 22 S.W.3d 351 (Tex. 2000) (section 552.111 not applicable to personnel-related communications that did not involve policymaking). A governmental body’s policymaking functions do include administrative and personnel matters of broad scope that affect the governmental body’s policy mission. *See* Open Records Decision No. 631 at 3 (1995).

Further, section 552.111 does not protect facts and written observations of facts and events that are severable from advice, opinions, and recommendations. *Arlington Indep. Sch. Dist. v. Tex. Attorney Gen.*, 37 S.W.3d 152 (Tex. App.—Austin 2001, no pet.); *see* ORD 615 at 5. But if factual information is so inextricably intertwined with material involving advice, opinion, or recommendation as to make severance of the factual data impractical, the factual information also may be withheld under section 552.111. *See* Open Records Decision No. 313 at 3 (1982).

Section 552.111 can also encompass communications between a governmental body and a third party, including a consultant or other party with a privity of interest. *See* Open Records Decision No. 561 at 9 (1990) (section 552.111 encompasses communications with party with which governmental body has privity of interest or common deliberative process). For section 552.111 to apply, the governmental body must identify the third party and explain the nature of its relationship with the governmental body. Section 552.111 is not applicable to a communication between the governmental body and a third party unless the governmental body establishes it has a privity of interest or common deliberative process with the third party. *See* ORD 561.

This office has also concluded that a preliminary draft of a document that is intended for public release in its final form necessarily represents the drafter’s advice, opinion, and

recommendation with regard to the form and content of the final document, so as to be excepted from disclosure under section 552.111. *See* Open Records Decision No. 559 at 2 (1990) (applying statutory predecessor of section 552.111). Section 552.111 protects factual information in the draft that also will be included in the final version of the document. *See id.* at 2-3. Thus, section 552.111 encompasses the entire contents, including comments, underlining, deletions, and proofreading marks, of a preliminary draft of a policymaking document that will be released to the public in its final form. *See id.* at 2.

You state the submitted information consists of internal communications and draft documents containing the advice, opinion, or recommendations of GLO regarding coastal erosion issues. You inform us the GLO is the state agency charged with managing coastal issues. You further inform us the GLO commissioned LJA to conduct the study at issue. You state the final form of the study has been provided to the requestor's client. Based on your representations and our review, we find the GLO has demonstrated the applicability of section 552.111 to some of the submitted information. Accordingly, the GLO may withhold the information we have marked under section 552.111. However, we find the remaining information to be general administrative information or purely factual in nature, or has been shared with an individual with whom you have not demonstrated the GLO shares a privity of interest or common deliberative process. Thus, we find you have failed to show how the remaining information at issue consists of advice, opinions, or recommendations on the policymaking matters of the GLO. Accordingly, we conclude the GLO may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.111.

We note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should not be released. *See id.* § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this decision, we have not received correspondence from LJA. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude LJA has protected proprietary interests in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110(a)-(b); Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the GLO may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interests LJA may have in the information. As no further exceptions to disclosure are raised, the remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and

responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Kathleen J. Santos". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name being the most prominent.

Kathleen J. Santos
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KJS/som

Ref: ID# 484297

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Bill Worsham, PE
LJA Engineering, Inc.
5316 Highway 290 West, Suite 150
Austin, Texas 78735
(w/o enclosures)