



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

April 23, 2013

Mr. Michael L. Garza
Assistant District Attorney
Hidalgo County
100 North Closner, Room 303
Edinburg, Texas 78539

OR2013-06633

Dear Mr. Garza:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 484894.

The Hidalgo County District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney's office") received a request for the entire file related to case number CR-5250-11-H. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.111 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we must address the district attorney's office's obligations under the Act. Section 552.301 of the Government Code prescribes procedures that a governmental body must follow in asking this office to decide whether requested information is excepted from public disclosure. Pursuant to section 552.301(b), a governmental body must ask for a decision from this office and state the exceptions that apply within ten business days of receiving the written request. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(b). You inform us the district attorney's office received the request for information on January 30, 2013. Thus, the district attorney's office's ten-business-day deadline under section 552.301(b) was February 13, 2013. We note the envelope in which you requested a ruling from this office bears a meter mark of February 14, 2013. *See id.* § 552.308 (describing rules for calculating submission dates of documents sent via first class United States mail). Consequently, we find the district attorney's office failed to comply with the procedural requirements mandated by section 552.301.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 results in the legal presumption that the requested information is public and must be released unless the governmental body demonstrates a compelling reason to withhold the information from disclosure. *See id.*

§ 552.302; *Simmons v. Kuzmich*, 166 S.W.3d 342, 350 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 2005, no pet.); *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 630 (1994). A compelling reason exists when third-party interests are at stake or when information is confidential under other law. Open Records Decision No. 150 (1977). Section 552.111 of the Government Code is discretionary in nature; it serves only to protect a governmental body's interests. As such, the district attorney's office's claim under this section is not a compelling reason to overcome the presumption of openness. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 677 at 10 (2002) (attorney work-product privilege under section 552.111 or Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 192.5 is not compelling reason to withhold information under section 552.302), 522 (1989) (discretionary exceptions in general). Accordingly, the district attorney's office may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.111. However, sections 552.101, 552.130, and 552.137 of the Government Code can provide compelling reasons to overcome the presumption of openness.¹ Therefore, we will consider the applicability of these exceptions to the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes, such as chapter 411 of the Government Code, which deems confidential criminal history record information ("CHRI") generated by the National Crime Information Center or by the Texas Crime Information Center. CHRI means "information collected about a person by a criminal justice agency that consists of identifiable descriptions and notations of arrests, detentions, indictments, informations, and other formal criminal charges and their dispositions." Gov't Code § 411.082(2). Title 28, part 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations governs the release of CHRI that states obtain from the federal government or other states. *See* Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990). The federal regulations allow each state to follow its individual law with respect to CHRI it generates. *Id.* at 10-12. Section 411.083 of the Government Code deems confidential CHRI that the Texas Department of Public Safety ("DPS") maintains, except that DPS may disseminate this information as provided in chapter 411, subchapter F of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 411.083. Sections 411.083(b)(1) and 411.089(a) authorize a criminal justice agency to obtain CHRI; however, a criminal justice agency may not release CHRI except to another criminal justice agency for a criminal justice purpose. *See id.* § 411.089(b)(1). Other entities specified in chapter 411 of the Government Code are entitled to obtain CHRI from DPS or another criminal justice agency; however, those entities may not release CHRI except as provided by chapter 411. *See generally id.* §§ 411.090-.127. Furthermore, any CHRI obtained from DPS or any other criminal justice agency must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with Government Code chapter 411, subchapter F. Upon review, we find the

¹The Office of the Attorney General will raise mandatory exceptions on behalf of a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 at 2 (1987), 480 at 5 (1987); *see, e.g.*, Open Records Decision No. 470 at 2 (1987) (because release of confidential information could impair rights of third parties and because improper release constitutes a misdemeanor, attorney general will raise predecessor statute of section 552.101 on behalf of governmental bodies).

information we have marked consists of CHRI the district attorney's office must withhold from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 411.083 of the Government Code and federal law.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses section 550.065(b) of the Transportation Code, which states except as provided by subsection (c) or subsection (e), accident reports are privileged and confidential. *See* Transp. Code § 550.065(b). Section 550.065(c)(4) provides for the release of accident reports to a person who provides two of the following three pieces of information: (1) date of the accident; (2) name of any person involved in the accident; and (3) specific location of the accident. *Id.* § 550.065(c)(4). The requestor has not provided the district attorney's office with two of the three requisite pieces of information specified by the statute. Accordingly, the district attorney's office must withhold the submitted CR-3 accident report under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 550.065(b) of the Transportation Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses constitutional and common-law rights to privacy. Constitutional privacy protects two kinds of interests. *See Whalen v. Roe*, 429 U.S. 589, 599-600 (1977); Open Records Decision Nos. 600 at 3-5 (1992), 478 at 4 (1987), 455 at 3-7 (1987). The first is the interest in independence in making certain important decisions relating to the "zones of privacy" pertaining to marriage, procreation, contraception, family relationships, and child rearing and education the United States Supreme Court has recognized. *See Fadlo v. Coon*, 633 F.2d 1172 (5th Cir. 1981); ORD 455 at 3-7. The second constitutionally protected privacy interest is in freedom from public disclosure of certain personal matters. *See Ramie v. City of Hedwig Village, Tex.*, 765 F.2d 490 (5th Cir. 1985); ORD 455 at 6-7. This aspect of constitutional privacy balances the individual's privacy interest against the public's interest in the information. *See* ORD 455 at 7. Constitutional privacy under section 552.101 is reserved for "the most intimate aspects of human affairs" and the scope of information protected is narrower than that under the common-law doctrine of privacy. *Id.* at 5 (internal quotations omitted) (quoting *Ramie*, 765 F.2d at 492).

However, we note the right to privacy is a personal right that "terminates upon the death of the person whose privacy is invaded"; therefore, it may not be asserted solely on behalf of a deceased individual. *Moore v. Charles B. Pierce Film Enters.*, 589 S.W.2d 489, 491 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 1979, writ ref'd n.r.e.); *see also* Attorney General Opinions JM-229 (1984) ("the right of privacy lapses upon death"), H-917 (1976) ("We are . . . of the opinion that the Texas courts would follow the almost uniform rule of other jurisdictions that the right of privacy lapses upon death."); Open Records Decision No. 272 at 1 (1981) (privacy rights lapse upon death). The United States Supreme Court, however, has determined that surviving family members can have a privacy interest in information relating to their deceased relatives. *See Nat'l Archives & Records Admin. v. Favish*, 124 S. Ct. 1570 (2004) (holding surviving family members have a right to personal privacy with respect to their close relative's death-scene images and such privacy interests outweigh public interest in disclosure).

Some of the photographs at issue pertain to a deceased individual and may not be withheld from disclosure based on her privacy interests. However, you state the deceased individual's family has asserted a privacy interest in the information at issue. Upon review, we find the family's privacy interests in the photographs of the deceased individual outweigh the public's interest in the disclosure of this information. We therefore conclude the district attorney's office must withhold the photographs we have marked under section 552.101 in conjunction with constitutional privacy and the holding in *Favish*.

Common-law privacy protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be established. *Id.* at 681-82. The type of information considered intimate or embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683. This office has found that some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses are excepted from required public disclosure under common-law privacy. *See* ORD 455 (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps). Upon review, we find the information we have marked pertains to a living individual and is highly intimate or embarrassing and not of legitimate public concern. Therefore, the district attorney's office must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information [that] relates to . . . a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state or another state or country [or] a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state or another state or country[.]" *See* Gov't Code § 552.130(a)(1)-(2). Upon review, we find the district attorney's office must withhold the motor vehicle record information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

Section 552.137 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body" unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). *See id.* § 552.137(a)-(c). The e-mail address at issue is not excluded by subsection (c). Therefore, the district attorney's office must withhold the personal e-mail address we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owner affirmatively consents to its disclosure.

We note some of the remaining information may be protected by copyright law. A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *See* Open Records Decision No. 180 at 3 (1977); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 109 (1975). A custodian of public records also must comply with copyright law, however, and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted.

See ORD 180 at 3. A member of the public who wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit.

In summary, the district attorney's office must withhold: (1) the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 411.083 of the Government Code and federal law; (2) the CR-3 accident report we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 550.065(b) of the Transportation Code; (3) the photographs we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with constitutional privacy and the holding in *Favish*; (4) the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy; (5) the information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code; and (6) the personal e-mail address we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code, unless the owner affirmatively consents to its disclosure. The district attorney's office must release the remaining information, but any information subject to copyright only may be released in accordance with copyright law.²

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index_orl.php, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Jennifer Burnett
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JB/tch

²We note the information being released contains a social security number. Section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office. See Gov't Code § 552.147(b).

Ref: ID# 484894

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)