



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

September 23, 2013

Mr. James E. Cousar
Thompson & Knight, L.L.P.
98 San Jacinto Boulevard, Suite 1900
Austin, Texas 78701

OR2013-16452

Dear Mr. Cousar:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 500469.

The Central Texas Community Health Centers ("CTCHC"), which you represent, received a request for the proposals submitted in response to a specified RFP. You do not take a position as to whether the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under the Act. However, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified RxStrategies, Inc. ("RxStrategies"), MedImpact Health Care Systems, Inc. ("MedImpact"), and Script Care, Ltd. ("Script Care") of CTCHC's receipt of the request for information and of the right of each to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 at 3 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received correspondence from each of the interested third parties objecting to the release of some of the information at issue. We have reviewed the submitted arguments and information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. MedImpact asserts some of its information is confidential by judicial decision because an Orange County Superior Court in the State of California granted an injunction prohibiting the release of certain information that is "analogous" to the requested information at issue. However, MedImpact does not inform us any of the information submitted by CTCHC in response to the request for information has been declared confidential by judicial decision. Therefore, MedImpact has not established any of the

submitted information is confidential by judicial decision and CTCHC may not withhold it from release under section 552.101 on that ground.

MedImpact and RxStrategies argue some of their information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.104 of the Government Code. Section 552.104 of the Government Code excepts from required public disclosure "information which, if released, would give advantage to competitors or bidders." Gov't Code § 552.104(a). However, section 552.104 is a discretionary exception that protects only the interests of a governmental body, as distinguished from exceptions that are intended to protect the interests of third parties. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 592 (1991) (statutory predecessor to section 552.104 designed to protect interests of a governmental body in a competitive situation, and not interests of private parties submitting information to the government), 522 (1989) (discretionary exceptions in general). CTCHC did not assert section 552.104. Therefore, CTCHC may not withhold any of the information at issue pursuant to that section. *See* ORD 592 (governmental body may waive section 552.104).

Section 552.110 of the Government Code protects the proprietary interests of private parties by excepting from disclosure two types of information: trade secrets and commercial or financial information, the release of which would cause a third party substantial competitive harm. Section 552.110(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[a] trade secret obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts. *Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 763 (Tex. 1958); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 552 at 2 (1990). Section 757 provides a trade secret is

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business. . . . A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d at 776. In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement's list of six trade

secret factors.¹ RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b. This office must accept a private person's claim for exception as valid under that branch if that person establishes a *prima facie* case for exception and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. ORD 552 at 5-6. However, we cannot conclude section 552.110(a) applies unless it has been shown the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. *See* Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983). We also note pricing information pertaining to a particular contract is generally not a trade secret because it is "simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business," rather than "a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business." RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; *see Hyde Corp.*, 314 S.W.2d at 776; ORDs 319 at 3, 306 at 3.

Section 552.110(b) excepts from disclosure "[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained." Gov't Code § 552.110(b). Section 552.110(b) requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the requested information. *See* Open Records Decision No. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (business enterprise must show by specific factual evidence that release of information would cause it substantial competitive harm). However, the pricing information of a winning bidder is generally not excepted under section 552.110(b). *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 514 (1988) (public has interest in knowing prices charged by government contractors), 319 at 3 (information relating to organization and personnel, market studies, professional references, qualifications and experience, and pricing is not ordinarily excepted from disclosure under statutory predecessor to section 552.110). *See generally* Dep't of Justice Guide to the Freedom of Information Act 344-345 (2009) (federal cases applying analogous Freedom of Information Act reasoning that disclosure of prices charged government is a cost of doing business with government). Moreover, we believe the public has a strong interest in the release of prices in government contract awards. *See* ORD 514.

We find the interested third parties have established the release of some of the information at issue would cause each substantial competitive injury. Therefore, CTCHC must withhold this information, which we have marked, under section 552.110(b).² However, Script Care has made some of the information it seeks to withhold publicly available on its website.

¹The following are the six factors that the Restatement gives as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret: (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company]; (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and others involved in [the company's] business; (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information; (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors; (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information; (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others. RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

²As our ruling is dispositive, we do not address the other arguments to withhold this information.

Therefore, Script Care has not shown the release of this information would cause it competitive injury. In addition, we find the interested third parties have made only conclusory allegations that release of the remaining information at issue would cause these companies substantial competitive injury, and have provided no specific factual or evidentiary showing to support such allegations. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(b). We also conclude the interested third parties have failed to establish a *prima facie* case that any of the remaining information is a trade secret. *See id.* § 552.110(a); ORD 402. Therefore, CTCHC may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.110.

The submitted information contains insurance policy numbers. Section 552.136(b) of the Government Code provides that “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.”³ Gov't Code § 552.136(b). This office has determined an insurance policy number is an access device number for purposes of section 552.136. Open Records Decision No. 684 at 9 (2009). Thus, CTCHC must withhold the insurance policy numbers we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code.

MedImpact asserts some of its information is protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Open Records Decision No. 180 at 3 (1977). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.*; *see* Open Records Decision No. 109 (1975). If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit.

To conclude, CTCHC must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. CTCHC must also withhold the information we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. CTCHC must release the remaining information, but may only release any copyrighted information in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

³The Office of the Attorney General will raise mandatory exceptions on behalf of a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 at 2 (1987), 480 at 5 (1987); *see, e.g.*, Open Records Decision No. 470 at 2 (1987) (because release of confidential information could impair rights of third parties and because improper release constitutes a misdemeanor, attorney general will raise predecessor statute of section 552.101 on behalf of governmental bodies).

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



James L. Coggeshall
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JLC/tch

Ref: ID# 500469

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Brian A. Mills
Counsel for Script Care, Ltd.
Creighton, Fox, Johnson and Mills, P.L.L.C.
P.O. Box 5607
Beaumont, Texas 77726-5607
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Rachel M. Feinman
Counsel for RxStrategies, Inc.
Hill Ward Henderson
101 East Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 3700
Tampa, Florida 33602-5195
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Kristan Allen
Proposal Manager
MedImpact Healthcare Systems, Inc.
10680 Trena Street, Stop 5
San Diego, California 92131
(w/o enclosures)