



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 2, 2013

Ms. Cheryl Elliott Thornton
Assistant County Attorney
Harris County Attorney
1019 Congress, 15th Floor
Houston, Texas 77002

OR2013-17204

Dear Ms. Thornton:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 501068 (CAO File No. 13PIA0356).

The Harris County Sheriff's Office (the "sheriff's office") received a request for a named deputy's personnel file. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.102, 552.103, 552.108, 552.111, and 552.117 of the Government Code.¹ We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note the sheriff's office did not fully comply with section 552.301 of the Government Code. Section 552.301(b) requires that a governmental body ask for a decision from this office and state which exceptions apply to the requested information by the tenth business day after receiving the request. Gov't Code § 552.301(b). In this instance, you state the sheriff's office received the request for information on July 18, 2013. Accordingly, the sheriff's office's 10-business-day deadline was August 1, 2013. Although you raised sections 552.101, 552.102, 552.108, 552.111, and 552.117 of the Government Code within the ten-business-day time period required by section 552.301(b), you did not raise section 552.103 of the Government Code until after the ten-business-day deadline had passed. Accordingly, with respect to section 552.103 we find the sheriff's office violated section 552.301(b).

¹Although you also raise section 552.1175 of the Government Code, the proper exception in this instance is section 552.117 of the Government Code because the sheriff's office holds the information at issue in an employment context.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 results in the legal presumption that the information is public and must be released unless the governmental body overcomes this presumption by demonstrating a compelling reason to withhold the information. *Id.* § 552.302; *Simmons v. Kuzmich*, 166 S.W.3d 342, 350 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 2005, no pet.); *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to section 552.302); Open Records Decision No. 630 (1994). A compelling reason generally exists when information is confidential by law or third-party interests are at stake. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 630 at 3, 325 at 2 (1982). Although you raise section 552.103 of the Government Code for the submitted information, this section is a discretionary exception to disclosure that protects a governmental body's interests and may be waived. *See Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469, 475-76 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive section 552.103); Open Records Decision No. 542 at 4 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.103 may be waived); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally). Thus, in failing to comply with section 552.301, the sheriff's office has waived its argument under section 552.103 for the submitted information and may not withhold it on that basis. However, we will address the applicability of the timely raised exceptions to the submitted information.

Next, we note portions of the submitted information are subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022(a) provides, in relevant part:

(a) [T]he following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure unless made confidential under this chapter or other law:

(1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108;

...

(17) information that is also contained in a public court record[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1), (17). The submitted information contains completed reports and evaluations that are subject to section 552.022(a)(1). The submitted information also contains a court-filed document subject to section 552.022(a)(17). Although you assert this information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.111 of the Government Code, this section is discretionary and does not make information confidential under the Act. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 665 at 2 n.5, 470 at 7 (1987) (governmental body may waive statutory predecessor to section 552.111 deliberative process). Therefore, the sheriff's office may not withhold the information at issue under section 552.111. You also seek to withhold the information at issue under section 552.108 of the Government Code. Section 552.108 is a discretionary exception and does not make information confidential

under the Act. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 665 at 2 n.5, 663 at 5 (1999) (waiver of discretionary exceptions), 177 at 3 (1977) (statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.108 subject to waiver). Therefore, the sheriff's office may not withhold the information subject to section 552.022(a)(17) under section 552.108 of the Government Code. However, as information subject to section 552.022(a)(1) may be withheld under section 552.108 of the Government Code, we will consider your argument under section 552.108 of the Government Code for completed reports and evaluations subject to section 552.022(a)(1). Additionally, because sections 552.101, 552.102, and 552.117 make information confidential under the Act, we will consider the applicability of these exceptions to the information subject to section 552.022 and the remaining information. We will also consider your arguments under sections 552.108 and 552.111 for the information not subject to section 552.022.

Section 552.108 of the Government Code provides, in pertinent part, the following:

(a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from [required public disclosure] if:

...

(2) it is information that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication[.]

(b) An internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution is excepted from [required public disclosure] if:

...

(2) the internal record or notation relates to law enforcement only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication[.]

Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(2), (b)(2). A governmental body claiming subsections 552.108(a)(2) and 552.108(b)(2) must demonstrate the requested information relates to a criminal investigation that concluded in a final result other than a conviction or deferred adjudication. *See id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A). You have not explained how the information at issue pertains to any specific investigations that concluded in a final result other than a conviction or deferred adjudication. Thus, you have failed to demonstrate the applicability of either section 552.108(a)(2) or section 552.108(b)(2) to the information at issue. Accordingly, the sheriff's office may not withhold any portion of the information at issue under section 552.108(a)(2) or section 552.108(b)(2) of the Government Code.

Section 552.111 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[a]n interagency or intraagency memorandum or letter that would not be available by law to a party in litigation

with the agency[.]” *Id.* § 552.111. Section 552.111 encompasses the deliberative process privilege. *See* Open Records Decision No. 615 at 2 (1993). The purpose of section 552.111 is to protect advice, opinion, and recommendation in the decisional process and to encourage open and frank discussion in the deliberative process. *See Austin v. City of San Antonio*, 630 S.W.2d 391, 394 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 1982, no writ); Open Records Decision No. 538 at 1-2 (1990).

In Open Records Decision No. 615, this office re-examined the statutory predecessor to section 552.111 in light of the decision in *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ). We determined section 552.111 excepts from disclosure only those internal communications that consist of advice, recommendations, opinions, and other material reflecting the policymaking processes of the governmental body. ORD 615 at 5; *see also City of Garland v. Dallas Morning News*, 22 S.W.3d 351, 364 (Tex. 2000); *Arlington Indep. Sch. Dist. v. Texas Attorney Gen.*, 37 S.W.3d 152 (Tex. App.—Austin 2001, no pet.). A governmental body’s policymaking functions do include administrative and personnel matters of broad scope that affect the governmental body’s policy mission. *See* Open Records Decision No. 631 at 3 (1995). However, a governmental body’s policymaking functions do not encompass routine internal administrative or personnel matters, and disclosure of information about such matters will not inhibit free discussion of policy issues among agency personnel. ORD 615 at 5-6; *see also Dallas Morning News*, 22 S.W.3d at 364 (section 552.111 not applicable to personnel-related communications that did not involve policymaking). Further, section 552.111 does not generally except from disclosure facts and written observations of facts and events that are severable from advice, opinions, and recommendations. *Arlington Indep. Sch. Dist.*, 37 S.W.3d at 157; ORD 615 at 5. But, if factual information is so inextricably intertwined with material involving advice, opinion, or recommendation as to make severance of the factual data impractical, the factual information also may be withheld under section 552.111. *See* Open Records Decision No. 313 at 3 (1982).

We note the information at issue consists of the personnel file of a named deputy. Upon review, we find you have not established the information at issue pertains to policymaking matters of the sheriff’s office for purposes of section 552.111. Accordingly, we find none of the information at issue may be withheld on this basis.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. Additionally, this office has concluded some kinds of medical information are generally highly intimate or embarrassing. *See* Open Records Decision No. 455 (1987). This office has also found personal financial information not relating to the financial transaction

between an individual and a governmental body is excepted from required public disclosure. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992) (employee's designation of retirement beneficiary, choice of insurance carrier, election of optional coverages, direct deposit authorization, forms allowing employee to allocate pretax compensation to group insurance, health care or dependent care), 545 (1990) (deferred compensation information, participation in voluntary investment program, election of optional insurance coverage, mortgage payments, assets, bills, and credit history), 455 at 9 (employment applicant's salary information not private). However, we note there is a legitimate public interest in an applicant's background and qualifications for government employment, especially where the applicant was seeking a position in law enforcement. *See* Open Records Decisions Nos. 562 at 10 (1990) (personnel file information does not involve most intimate aspects of human affairs, but in fact touches on matters of legitimate public concern), 542, 470 at 4 (public has legitimate interest in job qualifications and performance of public employees), 444 at 5-6 (1986) (public has legitimate interest in knowing reasons for dismissal, demotion, promotion, or resignation of public employees), 423 at 2 (1984) (scope of public employee privacy is narrow).

Upon review, we find the information we have marked satisfies the standard articulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation*. Accordingly, the sheriff's office must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. However, we find you have failed to demonstrate the remaining information is highly intimate or embarrassing and not of legitimate public concern. Therefore, the sheriff's office may not withhold the remaining information under section 552.101 of the Government Code on this basis.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses constitutional privacy. Constitutional privacy consists of two interrelated types of privacy: (1) the right to make certain kinds of decisions independently and (2) an individual's interest in avoiding disclosure of personal matters. *See* ORD 455 at 4. The first type protects an individual's autonomy within "zones of privacy," which include matters related to marriage, procreation, contraception, family relationships, and child rearing and education. *Id.* The second type of constitutional privacy requires a balancing between the individual's privacy interests and the public's need to know information of public concern. *Id.* The information must concern the "most intimate aspects of human affairs." *Id.* at 5 (citing *Ramie v. City of Hedwig Village, Texas*, 765 F.2d 490 (5th Cir. 1985)). After review of the remaining information, we find you have failed to demonstrate how any portion of the remaining information falls within the zones of privacy or implicates an individual's privacy interests for purposes of constitutional privacy. Therefore, the sheriff's office may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.101 of the Government Code on the basis of constitutional privacy.

You claim section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the constitutional doctrine embodied in *Garrity v. New Jersey*, 385 U.S. 493 (1967) for portions of the remaining information. *Garrity* dealt with the constitutional prohibition against self-incrimination in court or other judicial proceedings. *See* 385 U.S. at 493. Thus, *Garrity* is not applicable here because the remaining information is subject to release in response to

a request under the Act and not used as evidence in a criminal prosecution or other judicial proceeding. Therefore, we find this case provides no basis for withholding any portion of the remaining information.

Section 552.102(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.” Gov’t Code § 552.102(a). We understand you to assert the privacy analysis under section 552.102(a) is the same as the common-law privacy test under section 552.101 of the Government Code, which is discussed above. *See Indus. Found.*, 540 S.W.2d at 685. In *Hubert v. Harte-Hanks Texas Newspapers, Inc.*, 652 S.W.2d 546, 549-51 (Tex. App.—Austin 1983, writ ref’d n.r.e.), the court of appeals ruled the privacy test under section 552.102(a) is the same as the *Industrial Foundation* privacy test. However, the Texas Supreme Court has expressly disagreed with *Hubert’s* interpretation of section 552.102(a), and held the privacy standard under section 552.102(a) differs from the *Industrial Foundation* test under section 552.101. *See Tex. Comptroller of Pub. Accounts v. Attorney Gen. of Tex.*, 354 S.W.3d 336 (Tex. 2010). The supreme court also considered the applicability of section 552.102(a) and held it excepts from disclosure the dates of birth of state employees in the payroll database of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts. *See id.* at 348. Upon review, we find the sheriff’s office must withhold the date of birth we have marked under section 552.102(a) of the Government Code. However, no portion of the remaining information is subject to section 552.102(a) of the Government Code, and the sheriff’s office may not withhold any of the remaining information on that basis.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses information made confidential by other statutes, such as section 6103(a) of title 26 of the United States Code. Section 6103(a) renders tax return information confidential. Attorney General Opinion H-1274 (1978) (tax returns); ORD 600 (W-4 forms). Section 6103(b) defines the term “return information” as “a taxpayer’s identity, the nature, source, or amount of his income, payments, receipts, deductions, exemptions, credits, assets, liabilities, net worth, tax liability, tax withheld, deficiencies, overassessments or tax payments, . . . or any other data, received by, recorded by, prepared by, furnished to, or collected by the Secretary [of the Treasury] with respect to a return or with respect to the determination of the existence, or possible existence, of liability . . . for any tax, penalty, . . . or offense[.]” *See* 26 U.S.C. § 6103(b)(2)(A). Federal courts have construed the term “return information” expansively to include any information gathered by the Internal Revenue Service regarding a taxpayer’s liability under title 26 of the United States Code. *See Mallas v. Kolak*, 721 F. Supp. 748, 754 (M.D.N.C. 1989), *aff’d in part*, 993 F.2d 1111 (4th Cir. 1993). Thus, we find the sheriff’s office must withhold the submitted W-4 form we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 6103(a) of title 26 of the United States Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses section 1703.306 of the Occupations Code. Section 1703.306 provides as follows:

- (a) A polygraph examiner, trainee, or employee of a polygraph examiner, or a person for whom a polygraph examination is conducted or an employee of

the person, may not disclose information acquired from a polygraph examination to another person other than:

- (1) the examinee or any other person specifically designated in writing by the examinee;
- (2) the person that requested the examination;
- (3) a member, or the member's agent, of a governmental agency that licenses a polygraph examiner or supervises or controls a polygraph examiner's activities;
- (4) another polygraph examiner in private consultation; or
- (5) any other person required by due process of law.

(b) The [Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation] or any other governmental agency that acquires information from a polygraph examination under this section shall maintain the confidentiality of the information.

(c) A polygraph examiner to whom information acquired from a polygraph examination is disclosed under Subsection (a)(4) may not disclose the information except as provided by this section.

Occ. Code § 1703.306. Some of the remaining information, which we have marked, consists of information acquired from a polygraph examination subject to section 1703.306. The requestor does not appear to fall into any of the categories of individuals who are authorized to receive the polygraph information under section 1703.306(a). Accordingly, the sheriff's office must withhold the marked polygraph information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 1703.306 of the Occupations Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the Medical Practice Act (the "MPA"), subtitle B of title 3 of the Occupations Code. The MPA is applicable to medical records. *See id.* §§ 151.001-168.202. Section 159.002 of the MPA provides in part:

(a) A communication between a physician and a patient, relative to or in connection with any professional services as a physician to the patient, is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in

Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

Id. § 159.002(a)-(c). Information that is subject to the MPA includes both medical records and information obtained from those medical records. *See id.* §§ 159.002, .004. Upon review, we find the information we have marked constitutes medical records subject to the MPA. Accordingly, the sheriff's office must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the MPA.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses section 258.102 of the Occupations Code. Section 258.102 provides in pertinent part as follows:

(a) The following information is privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this subchapter:

(1) a communication between a dentist and a patient that relates to a professional service provided by the dentist; and

(2) a dental record.

Id. § 258.102(a). A "dental record" means dental information about a patient that is created or maintained by a dentist and relates to the history or treatment of the patient. *See id.* § 258.101(1). Upon review, we find the information we have marked constitutes a dental record the sheriff's office must withhold under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 258.102 of the Occupations Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses laws that make criminal history record information ("CHRI") confidential. CHRI generated by the National Crime Information Center or by the Texas Crime Information Center is confidential under federal and state law. CHRI means "information collected about a person by a criminal justice agency that consists of identifiable descriptions and notations of arrests, detentions, indictments, informations, and other formal criminal charges and their dispositions." Gov't Code § 411.082(2). Title 28, part 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations governs the release of CHRI obtained from the National Crime Information Center network or other states. *See* 28 C.F.R. § 20.21. The federal regulations allow each state to follow its individual law with respect to CHRI it generates. Open Records Decision No. 565 at 7 (1990). *See generally* Gov't Code ch. 411 subch. F. Section 411.083 of the Government Code deems confidential CHRI the Texas Department of Public Safety ("DPS") maintains, except DPS may disseminate this information as provided in chapter 411, subchapter F of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 411.083. Sections 411.083(b)(1) and 411.089(a) authorize a criminal justice agency to obtain CHRI; however, a criminal justice agency may not release CHRI except to another criminal justice agency for a criminal justice purpose. *Id.* § 411.089(b)(1). Thus, any CHRI obtained from DPS or any other criminal justice agency must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with Government Code chapter 411, subchapter F. Upon review, we find the information we have

marked constitutes confidential CHRI, which the sheriff's office must withhold under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with federal law and chapter 411 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses chapter 560 of the Government Code, which provides that "[a] biometric identifier in the possession of a governmental body is exempt from disclosure under [the Act]." *See id.* §§ 560.001 (defining "biometric identifier" to include fingerprints), .002 (prescribing manner in which biometric identifiers must be maintained and circumstances in which they can be released), .003 (biometric identifiers in possession of governmental body exempt from disclosure under the Act). We have marked fingerprints in the remaining information. You do not inform us, and the submitted information does not indicate, section 560.002 permits the disclosure of the submitted fingerprint information in this instance. Therefore, the sheriff's office must withhold the fingerprints we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 560.003 of the Government Code.

Section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure the home address, home telephone number, emergency contact information, and social security number of a peace officer, as well as information that reveals whether the peace officer has family members, regardless of whether the peace officer complies with sections 552.024 and 552.1175 of the Government Code. *See id.* § 552.117(a)(2). Section 552.117(a)(2) applies to peace officers as defined by article 2.12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Therefore, the sheriff's office must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code provides information relating to a motor vehicle operator's license, driver's license, motor vehicle title or registration, or personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or another state or country is excepted from public release.² *See id.* § 552.130. Upon review, we find portions of the remaining information consist of motor vehicle record information. Accordingly, the sheriff's office must withhold the motor vehicle record information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code.³

Section 552.136 of the Government Code states "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." *Id.* § 552.136(b); *see id.* § 552.136(a) (defining "access device"). This office has determined insurance policy

²The Office of the Attorney General will raise mandatory exceptions on behalf of a governmental body but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470.

³We note section 552.130(c) of the Government Code allows a governmental body to redact the information described in subsection 552.130(a) without the necessity of seeking a decision from the attorney general. *See* Act of May 6, 2013, 83rd Leg., R.S., S.B. 458, § 1 (to be codified as an amendment to Gov't Code § 552.130(c)). If a governmental body redacts such information, it must notify the requestor in accordance with section 552.130(e). *See* Gov't Code § 552.130(d), (e).

numbers are access device numbers for purposes of section 552.136. Therefore, the sheriff's office must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code.

Section 552.140 of the Government Code provides a military veteran's DD-214 form or other military discharge record that is first recorded with, or that otherwise first comes into the possession of, a governmental body on or after September 1, 2003, is confidential for a period of seventy-five years and may only be disclosed in accordance with section 552.140 or in accordance with a court order. *See id.* § 552.140(a)-(b). We have marked DD-214 forms. You do not inform us when the sheriff's office came into possession of these forms. Therefore, we must rule conditionally. If the sheriff's office came into possession of the forms we have marked on or after September 1, 2003, the sheriff's office must withhold them under section 552.140 of the Government Code. If the sheriff's office received the forms before September 1, 2003, then the sheriff's office may not withhold them pursuant to section 552.140 of the Government Code.


In summary, the sheriff's office must withhold under section 552.101 of the Government Code: (1) the information we have marked in conjunction with common-law privacy; (2) the submitted W-4 forms we have marked in conjunction with section 6103(a) of title 26 of the United States Code; (3) the polygraph information we have marked in conjunction with section 1703.306 of the Occupations Code; (4) the information we have marked in conjunction with the MPA; (5) the dental record we have marked in conjunction with section 258.102 of the Occupations Code; (6) the information we have marked in conjunction with federal law and chapter 411 of the Government Code; and (7) the fingerprints we have marked in conjunction with section 560.003 of the Government Code. The sheriff's office must also withhold: (1) the date of birth we have marked under section 552.102 of the Government Code; (2) the information we have marked under section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code; (3) the information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code; and (4) the information we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. If the sheriff's office came into possession of the DD-214 forms we have marked on or after September 1, 2003, the sheriff's office must withhold them under section 552.140 of the Government Code. If the sheriff's office received the forms before September 1, 2003, then the sheriff's office may not withhold them pursuant to section 552.140 of the Government Code. The sheriff's office must release the remaining information.⁴

⁴We note Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009) is a previous determination to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold certain categories of information, including W-4 forms under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 6103(a) of title 26 of the United States Code; a fingerprint under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 560.003 of the Government Code; and direct deposit authorization forms under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision. We also note the information to be released contains social security numbers. Section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision under the Act. *See Gov't Code* § 552.147(b).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Nneka Kanu', written over a horizontal line.

Nneka Kanu
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

NK/bhf

Ref: ID# 501068

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)