



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

October 22, 2013

Mr. C. Tyler Atkinson  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of Fort Worth  
1000 Throckmorton Street, Third Floor  
Fort Worth, Texas 76102

OR2013-18317

Dear Mr. Atkinson:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 503041 (ORR# W027866).

The City of Fort Worth (the "city") received a request for all complaints against the North Central Texas Regional Certification Agency (the "NCTRCA") during a specified time period. You state the city is withholding e-mail addresses of members of the public under section 552.137 of the Government Code pursuant to Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009).<sup>1</sup> Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of NCTRCA, the City of Dallas's Business Development and Procurement Services ("Procurement"); ALL TEMPS 1 Personnel ("ALL TEMPS"); Beirne, Maynard & Parsons, LLP ("BM&P"); and DFW International Airport (the "airport"). Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified NCTRCA, Procurement, ALL TEMPS, BM&P, and the airport of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See Gov't Code*

---

<sup>1</sup>We note Open Records Decision No. 684 is a previous determination to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold certain information, including an e-mail address of a member of the public under section 552.137 of the Government Code, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision.

§ 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from ALL TEMPS. We have reviewed the submitted information and the submitted arguments. We have also received and considered comments from the requestor. *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (interested party may submit comments stating why information should or should not be released).

Initially, we understand ALL TEMPS to contend the information pertaining to ALL TEMPS is not subject to disclosure under the Act because ALL TEMPS is not a governmental body. *See id.* § 552.003(1)(A) (defining "governmental body"). However, we note the instant request for information was received by the city. Additionally, the submitted information is in the city's possession. The Act is applicable only to "public information." *See id.* §§ 552.002, .021. Section 552.002(a) defines "public information" as

information that is written, produced, collected, assembled, or maintained under a law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business:

- (1) by a governmental body;
- (2) for a governmental body and the governmental body:
  - (A) owns the information;
  - (B) has a right of access to the information; or
  - (C) spends or contributes public money for the purpose of writing, producing, collecting, assembling, or maintaining the information; or
- (3) by an individual officer or employee of a governmental body in the officer's or employee's official capacity and the information pertains to official business of the governmental body.

*Id.* § 552.002(a). Thus, virtually all the information in a governmental body's physical possession constitutes public information and is subject to the Act. *Id.*; *see* Open Records Decision Nos. 549 at 4 (1990), 514 at 1-2 (1988). We find the city wrote, produced, collected, assembled, or maintains the information at issue in connection with the transaction of its official business. Therefore, we conclude this information is subject to the Act and must be released, unless the information falls within an exception to disclosure under the Act. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.006, .021, .301, .302.

Next, an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See id.* § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from NCTRCA, Procurement, BM&P, or the airport explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude NCTRCA, Procurement, BM&P, or the airport has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the city may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest NCTRCA, Procurement, BM&P, or the airport may have in the information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision."<sup>2</sup> Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. Additionally, this office has concluded some kinds of medical information are generally highly intimate or embarrassing. *See* Open Records Decision No. 455 (1987). Upon review, we find the information we have marked satisfies the standard articulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation*. Therefore, the city must withhold the marked information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

ALL TEMPS also claims its information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.102(a) of the Government Code. Section 552.102(a) excepts from disclosure "information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." Gov't Code § 552.102(a). We understand ALL TEMPS to assert the privacy analysis under section 552.102(a) is the same as the common-law privacy test under section 552.101 of the Government Code, which is discussed above. *See Indus. Found.*, 540 S.W.2d at 685. In *Hubert v. Harte-Hanks Texas Newspapers, Inc.*, 652 S.W.2d 546, 549-51 (Tex. App.—Austin 1983, writ ref'd n.r.e.), the court of

---

<sup>2</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

appeals ruled the privacy test under section 552.102(a) is the same as the *Industrial Foundation* privacy test. However, the Texas Supreme Court has expressly disagreed with *Hubert's* interpretation of section 552.102(a), and held the privacy standard under section 552.102(a) differs from the *Industrial Foundation* test under section 552.101. *See Tex. Comptroller of Pub. Accounts v. Attorney Gen. of Tex.*, 354 S.W.3d 336 (Tex. 2010). The supreme court also considered the applicability of section 552.102(a) and held it excepts from disclosure the dates of birth of state employees in the payroll database of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts. *See id.* at 348. Upon review, we find none of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.102(a) of the Government Code. Accordingly, none of the submitted information may be withheld on that basis.

In summary, the city must withhold the information we marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. The city must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open\\_orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open_orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Claire V. Morris Sloan  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

CVMS/som

Ref: ID# 503041

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Marcos G. Ronquillo  
Beirne, Maynard & Parsons, LLP  
1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4400  
Dallas, Texas 75201-7305  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Ronald L. Hay  
CEO  
ALL TEMPS 1 Personnel  
2606 Martin Luther King Jr Boulevard, Suite 222  
Dallas, Texas 75215  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Felix Galan  
DFW International Airport  
P.O. Box 619428  
DFW Airport, Texas 75261-9428  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Perfecta Gallego  
Business Development and Procurement Services  
City of Dallas  
1500 Marilla Street  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
(w/o enclosures)