



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

January 2, 2014

Ms. Clarissa M. Rodriguez
Counsel for the Live Oak Police Department
Denton, Navarro, Rocha & Bernal
2517 North Main Avenue
San Antonio, Texas 78212-4685

OR2014-00116

Dear Ms. Rodriguez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 509658.

The Live Oak Police Department (the "department"), which you represent, received two requests from the same requestor for information pertaining to a specified incident, including 9-1-1 call audio recordings and the personnel file of a named deputy. You state you have no information responsive to the portion of the first request seeking the named deputy's personnel file, as the deputy is not a department employee.¹ You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.103 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.²

¹The Act does not require a governmental body that receives a request for information to create information that did not exist when the request was received. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision Nos. 605 at 2 (1992), 563 at 8 (1990), 555 at 1-2 (1990), 452 at 3 (1986), 362 at 2 (1983).

²We assume the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

Initially, you claim some of the submitted information may be subject to a grand jury subpoena and, therefore, is not subject to the Act. The judiciary is expressly excluded from the requirements of the Act. *See* Gov't Code § 552.003(1)(B); *see also id.* § 552.0035 (access to judicial records is governed by Supreme Court of Texas or other applicable laws or rules). This office has determined that a grand jury, for purposes of the Act, is a part of the judiciary and is, therefore, not subject to the Act. *See* Open Records Decision No. 411 (1984). Further, records kept by another person or entity acting as an agent for a grand jury are considered to be records in the constructive possession of the grand jury and, therefore, are not subject to the Act. *See* Open Records Decisions Nos. 513 (1988), 398 (1983). *But see* ORD 513 at 4 (defining limits of judiciary exclusion). However, the fact that information collected or prepared by another person or entity is submitted to the grand jury does not necessarily mean such information is in the grand jury's constructive possession when the same information is also held in the other person's or entity's own capacity. Information held by another person or entity but not produced at the direction of the grand jury may well be protected under one of the Act's specific exceptions to disclosure, but such information is not excluded from the reach of the Act by the judiciary exclusion. *See* ORD 513. You state the information at issue was provided by the department to the Bexar County District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney's office") pursuant to a grand jury subpoena. Thus, we find the information at issue is held in the department's own capacity in the course of official department business and is, therefore, subject to the Act. *See* Gov't Code § 552.002 (providing that information collected, assembled, or maintained in connection with the transaction of official business by a governmental body is "public information"). Accordingly, we will address the Act's applicability to the submitted information.

Section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if . . . release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]" *Id.* § 552.108(a)(1). A governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(1) must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). Section 552.108 may be invoked by the proper custodian of information relating to an investigation or prosecution of criminal conduct. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 474 at 4-5 (1987). Where a governmental body possesses information relating to a pending case of a law enforcement agency, the governmental body may withhold the information under section 552.108 if (1) it demonstrates that the information relates to the pending case and (2) this office is provided with a representation from the law enforcement entity that the law enforcement entity wishes to withhold the information.

You state, and have provided a representation from the district attorney's office reflecting, the submitted information pertains to an active criminal investigation being conducted by the Bexar County Sheriff's Office (the "sheriff's office"), and as such, the sheriff's office and the district attorney's office object to release of the submitted information, which would

interfere with the investigation and prosecution of criminal activity. Based on these representations, we find that you have demonstrated that release of the information at this time would interfere with the ongoing criminal investigation. Therefore, the department may withhold the submitted information under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.³

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Cynthia G. Tynan
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CGT/akg

Ref: ID# 509658

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

³As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument against disclosure.