



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

March 11, 2014

Ms. Neera Chatterjee  
Office of General Counsel  
The University of Texas System  
201 West Seventh Street  
Austin, Texas 78701-2902

OR2014-04125

Dear Ms. Chatterjee:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 516293 (OGC # 153625).

The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston (the "university") received a request for the scoring and pricing tabulation submitted by all proposers for RFP No. 13-025 for Job Order Contract. You state you have released the scoring tabulation to the requestor. Although you take no position with respect to the public availability of the remaining requested information, you state release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of third parties. Accordingly, you state and provide documentation showing, you have notified these third parties of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released.<sup>1</sup> See Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permitted governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under the

---

<sup>1</sup>The third parties notified pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code are: Alpha Building Corporation; The Trevino Group, Inc. ("Trevino"); Bartlett Cocke; Horizon; Centennial Contractors Enterprises, Inc. ("Centennial"); J.T. Vaughn Construction LLC; LMC Corporation ("LMC"); Kellogg Brown & Root; and Structura, Inc.

circumstances). We have received comments from Trevino, Centennial, and LMC. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received arguments from any of the remaining third parties. Thus, these parties have not demonstrated they have a protected proprietary interest in any of the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110(a)-(b); Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the university may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interests the remaining third parties may have in the information.

LMC raises section 552.104 of the Government Code, which excepts from disclosure "information that, if released, would give advantage to a competitor or bidder." Gov't Code § 552.104. Section 552.104, however, is a discretionary exception that protects only the interests of a governmental body, as distinguished from exceptions that are intended to protect the interests of third parties. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 592 (1991) (statutory predecessor to section 552.104 designed to protect interests of governmental body in competitive bidding situation, and not interests of private parties submitting information to government), 522 (1989) (discretionary exceptions generally). As the university does not argue section 552.104, we conclude none of the submitted information may be withheld under section 552.104 of the Government Code. *See* ORD 592 (governmental body may waive section 552.104).

Centennial, LMC, and Trevino raise section 552.110 of the Government Code for their information. Section 552.110 protects (1) trade secrets, and (2) commercial or financial information the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(a)-(b). Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *Id.* § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a trade secret to be:

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not

simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business . . . . A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 776 (Tex. 1958). In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement's list of six trade secret factors.<sup>2</sup> This office must accept a claim that information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for the exception is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. *See* ORD 552 at 5 (1990). However, we cannot conclude that section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

Section 552.110(b) protects “[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *See id.*; *see also* ORD 661 at 5.

Upon review, we find Trevino and LMC have established release of their coefficient pricing information would cause their companies substantial competitive injury. Therefore, we find the university must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.110(b) of

---

<sup>2</sup>The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company's] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

the Government Code.<sup>3</sup> We note that although Centennial seeks to withhold its coefficient pricing information, it was the winning bidder with respect to the contract at issue, and the pricing information of a winning bidder is generally not excepted under section 552.110(b). This office considers the prices charged in government contract awards to be a matter of strong public interest. *See* Open Records Decision No. 514 (1988) (public has interest in knowing prices charged by government contractors). *See generally* Dep't of Justice Guide to the Freedom of Information Act 344-45 (2009) (federal cases applying analogous Freedom of Information Act reasoning that disclosure of prices charged government is a cost of doing business with government). Thus, we find Centennial has failed to demonstrate that the release of any of its information would cause it substantial competitive harm. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 661, 509 at 5 (1988) (because bid specifications and circumstances would change for future contracts, assertion that release of bid proposal might give competitor unfair advantage on future contracts is too speculative). Accordingly, the university must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code, and none of the remaining information may be withheld under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code.

Upon review, we conclude Centennial has failed to establish a *prima facie* case its information meets the definition of a trade secret, nor has Centennial demonstrated the necessary factors to establish a trade secret claim for its information. *See* RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; ORDs 402 (section 552.110(a) does not apply unless information meets definition of trade secret and necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish trade secret claim), 319 at 2 (information relating to organization, personnel, market studies, professional references, qualifications, and experience not excepted under section 552.110). We note pricing information pertaining to a particular contract is generally not a trade secret because it is "simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business," rather than "a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business." RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; *see Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d at 776; ORDs 319 at 3, 306 at 3. Accordingly, none of the remaining information may be withheld under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code.

In summary, the university must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. The university must release the remaining information.


This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

---

<sup>3</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address Trevino's and LMC's remaining arguments against disclosure of this information.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Thana Hussaini  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

TH/som

Ref: ID# 516293

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Kathleen K. Acock  
President  
Alpha Building Corporation  
24850 Blanco Road  
San Antonio, Texas 78260  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Dale R. Trevino  
President  
The Trevino Group, Inc.  
1616 West 22<sup>nd</sup> Street  
Houston, Texas 77008  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Al Kashani  
Vice President  
Bartlett Cocke  
4540 Kendrick Plaza Drive, Suite 120  
Houston, Texas 77032  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Kashani  
President  
Horizon  
4204 Bellaire Boulevard  
Houston, Texas 77025  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Thomas W. Julian Jr., P.E.  
Corporate Counsel  
Centennial Contractors Enterprises, Inc.  
11111 Sunset Hill Road, Suite 350  
Reston, Virginia 20190  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. J. Thomas Vaughn  
Chief Executive Officer  
J.T. Vaughn Construction LLC  
10355 Westpark Drive  
Houston, Texas 77042  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Chuck Portz  
Counsel for the LMC Corporation  
Portz & Portz  
1314 Texas Avenue, Suite 1001  
Houston, Texas 77002  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Darrell Hargrave  
President, Industrial Services  
Kellogg Brown & Root  
601 Jefferson  
Houston, Texas 77002  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jacob Lee  
Houston Regional Leader  
Structura, Inc.  
5201 Mitchelldale Street, Suite A1A  
Houston, Texas 77092  
(w/o enclosures)