



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

March 24, 2014

Mr. Darin Darby  
Counsel for San Antonio Independent School District  
Escamilla & Poneck, L.L.P.  
P.O. Box 200  
San Antonio, Texas 78291-0200

OR2014-04889

Dear Mr. Darby:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 517661.

The San Antonio Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for information in the district's police department (the "department") file regarding the requestor and a named individual, including evidence and statements, but not the incident report.<sup>1</sup> You state the district has released some information to the requestor. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.102, 552.103, 552.108, and 552.135 of the Government Code.<sup>2</sup> We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

---

<sup>1</sup>We note the district received clarification of the request. See Gov't Code § 552.222(b) (providing that if request for information is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify request); see also *City of Dallas v. Abbott*, 304 S.W.3d 380, 387 (Tex. 2010) (holding that when governmental entity, acting in good faith, requests clarification or narrowing of unclear or overbroad request for public information, ten-day period to request attorney general ruling is measured from date request is clarified or narrowed).

<sup>2</sup>Although you also claim section 552.026 of the Government Code, we note section 552.026 is not an exception to disclosure. Rather, section 552.026 provides the Act does not require the release of information contained in education records except in conformity with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974. Gov't Code § 552.026.

Initially, you state you have redacted information pursuant to the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), 20 U.S.C. § 1232g. The United States Department of Education Family Policy Compliance Office has informed this office that FERPA does not permit state and local educational authorities to disclose to this office, without parental consent, unredacted, personally identifiable information contained in education records for the purpose of our review in the open records ruling process under the Act.<sup>3</sup> Consequently, state and local educational authorities that receive a request for education records from a member of the public under the Act must not submit education records to this office in unredacted form, that is, in a form in which "personally identifiable information" is disclosed. *See* 34 C.F.R. § 99.3 (defining "personally identifiable information").

In this instance, the submitted information was created and is maintained by the department for a law enforcement purpose. FERPA is not applicable to records that were created by a law enforcement unit of an educational agency or institution for a law enforcement purpose and that are maintained by the law enforcement unit. *See* 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(4)(B)(ii); 34 C.F.R. §§ 99.3, .8. Accordingly, because the information at issue is maintained by a law enforcement unit of an educational agency, the information does not constitute an education record subject to FERPA, and no portion of it may be withheld on that basis. Likewise, we do not address your argument under section 552.114 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.026 (incorporating FERPA into the Act), .114 (excepting "student records" from disclosure); Open Records Decision No. 539 (1990) (determining the same analysis applies under section 552.114 and FERPA). Because we can discern the nature of the information that has been redacted, being deprived of this information does not inhibit our ability to make a ruling in this instance. Nevertheless, be advised a failure to provide this office with requested information generally deprives us of the ability to determine whether information may be withheld and leaves this office with no alternative but to order the redacted information be released. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301(e)(1)(D) (governmental body must provide this office with copy of "specific information requested" or representative sample), 552.302. Thus, we will address the applicability of your remaining claimed exceptions to the submitted information.

Section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if . . . release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]" *Id.* § 552.108(a)(1). A governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.* §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977).

---

<sup>3</sup>A copy of this letter may be found on the Office of the Attorney General's website: <http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/20060725usdoe.pdf>.

You state, and provide a representation from the department's chief of police confirming, the submitted information relates to a pending criminal investigation by the department. Based on your representation and our review, we find the release of the information at issue would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). Accordingly, the district may withhold the submitted information under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.<sup>4</sup>

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Britni Fabian  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

BF/tch

Ref: ID# 517661

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)

---

<sup>4</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure.