



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

April 8, 2014

Ms. Leticia D. McGowan
School Attorney
Dallas Independent School District
3700 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75204-5491

OR2014-05763

Dear Ms. McGowan:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 519219 (ORR# 12722).

The Dallas Independent School District (the "district") received a request for a specified investigation, the personnel file, and any e-mails concerning the requestor. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.103, and 552.135 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note the United States Department of Education Family Policy Compliance Office has informed this office the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), section 1232g of title 20 of the United States Code, does not permit state and local educational authorities to disclose to this office, without parental or an adult student's consent, unredacted, personally identifiable information contained in education records for the purpose of our review in the open records ruling process under the Act.¹ Consequently, state and local educational authorities that receive a request for education records from a member of the public under the Act must not submit education records to this office in unredacted form, that is, in a form in which "personally identifiable information" is

¹A copy of this letter may be found on the Office of the Attorney General's website at <http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/20060725usdoe.pdf>.

disclosed. *See* 34 C.F.R. § 99.3 (defining “personally identifiable information”). You have submitted unredacted education records for our review. Because our office is prohibited from reviewing these education records to determine whether appropriate redactions under FERPA have been made, we will not address the applicability of FERPA to any of the submitted records. *See* 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(1)(A). Such determinations under FERPA must be made by the educational authority in possession of the education records. However, we will consider your arguments against disclosure of the submitted information.

Next, we note some of the submitted information is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022(a) provides, in relevant part:

(a) [T]he following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure unless made confidential under this chapter or other law:

(1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108; [and]

...

(3) information in an account, voucher, or contract relating to the receipt or expenditure of public or other funds by a governmental body[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1), (3). The submitted information includes a completed investigation and completed evaluations that are subject to section 552.022(a)(1) and a contract that is subject to section 552.022(a)(3). The district must release the completed investigation and evaluations pursuant to section 552.022(a)(1) unless they are excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code or are made confidential under the Act or other law. *See id.* § 552.022(a)(1). The district must release the information subject to section 552.022(a)(3) unless it is made confidential under the Act or other law. *See id.* § 552.022(a)(3). You seek to withhold the information subject to section 552.022 under section 552.103 of the Government Code. However, section 552.103 is discretionary in nature and does not make information confidential under the Act. *See Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469, 475-76 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive Gov't Code § 552.103); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally), 663 at 5 (1999) (waiver of discretionary exceptions). Therefore, the information subject to section 552.022 may not be withheld under section 552.103 of the Government Code. You also raise sections 552.101 and 552.135 of the Government Code for portions of the information at issue. As section 552.101 applies to confidential information and as section 552.135 makes information confidential under the Act, we will consider the applicability of these exceptions

to the information at issue. We will also consider your argument under section 552.103 of the Government Code for the information that is not subject to section 552.022.

First, we turn to your claim under section 552.103 of the Government Code for the information not subject to section 552.022. Section 552.103 provides, in relevant part, as follows:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation was pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the request for information, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, orig. proceeding); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). A governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a). The information at issue relates to the requestor, whose employment was terminated by the district. You state litigation related to this information is pending because prior to the date the district received the instant request for information, the requestor appealed his termination and requested the appointment of an independent hearing officer by the Texas Education Agency, to be conducted pursuant to chapter 21 of the Education Code.

This office has long held that "litigation," for purposes of section 552.103, includes "contested cases" conducted in a quasi-judicial forum. See Open Records Decision Nos. 474 (1987), 368 (1983), 336 (1982), 301 (1982). In determining whether an administrative proceeding is conducted in a quasi-judicial forum, some of the factors this office considers are whether the administrative proceeding provides for discovery, evidence to be heard, factual questions to be resolved, the making of a record, and whether the proceeding is an adjudicative forum of first jurisdiction with appellate review of the resulting

decision without a re-adjudication of fact questions. *See* Open Records Decision No. 588 (1991).

Section 21.256 of the Education Code provides that hearings requested under section 21.253 of the Education Code “shall be conducted in the same manner as a trial without a jury in a district court of [Texas].” Educ. Code § 21.256(e). Section 21.256 also specifically affords a teacher the right to be represented by a representative of the teacher’s choice; the right to hear the evidence on which the charges are based; the right to cross-examine each adverse witness; and the right to present evidence. *See id.* § 21.256(c). Section 21.256(d) provides that the Texas Rules of Evidence apply at the hearing. *See id.* § 21.256(d). We also note, in a chapter 21 hearing, the hearing examiner may issue subpoenas for the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; an appeal of the proceedings to the commissioner of education is based only on the record of the local hearing; and in a judicial appeal of the commissioner’s decision, the court must review the evidence pursuant to the substantial evidence rule. *Id.* §§ 21.255(a) (subpoena power of examiner), .301(c) (appeal based solely on local record), .307(e) (substantial evidence rule for judicial review). Therefore, based on the district’s representations and our review of the relevant law, we determine a hearing under chapter 21 of the Education Code constitutes litigation for purposes of section 552.103. Consequently, we find litigation was pending when the district received the request for information. We also find that the information at issue is related to the pending litigation. Accordingly, the district may withhold the information not subject to section 552.022 under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

We note, however, once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Thus, information that has either been obtained from or provided to the opposing parties in the pending litigation is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a). We note the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has concluded. *See* Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses section 261.201 of the Family Code, which provides, in part:

(a) [T]he following information is confidential, is not subject to public release under Chapter 552, Government Code, and may be disclosed only for purposes consistent with this code and applicable federal or state law or under rules adopted by an investigating agency:

(1) a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under [chapter 261 of the Family Code] and the identity of the person making the report; and

(2) except as otherwise provided in this section, the files, reports, records, communications, audiotapes, videotapes, and working papers used or developed in an investigation under [chapter 261 of the Family Code] or in providing services as a result of an investigation.

Fam. Code § 261.201(a); *see also id.* §§ 101.003(a) (defining “child” for purposes of this section as person under 18 years of age who is not and has not been married or who has not had the disabilities of minority removed for general purposes), 261.001(1), (4) (defining “abuse” and “neglect” for purposes of Family Code ch. 261). You contend some of the remaining information is confidential under section 261.201. We note the district is not an agency authorized to conduct an investigation under chapter 261 of the Family Code. *See id.* § 261.103 (listing agencies that may conduct child abuse investigations). You state the information at issue was obtained from the Dallas Police Department, the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services (“DFPS”), or the district’s police department (the “department”). You also state the district has on staff an employee who is shared with DFPS to receive and investigate child abuse claims. Upon review, we find some of the information consists of reports of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made to DFPS. We also note portions of the remaining information reveal the identities of individuals who made reports of alleged or suspected child abuse or neglect to DFPS. Further, we find some of the remaining information consists of files, reports, records, communications, audiotapes, videotapes, or working papers used or developed in investigations by DFPS or the department under chapter 261 of the Family Code. Therefore, this information, which we have marked, is confidential under section 261.201(a) of the Family Code, and the district must withhold it under section 552.101 of the Government Code. However, the remaining information was not obtained from the Dallas Police Department, DFPS, or the department, but instead relates to an administrative investigation by the district. Thus, we find you have failed to demonstrate any of the remaining information was used or developed in an investigation of alleged or suspected child abuse, or consists of a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect under chapter 261 of the Family Code. Therefore, none of the remaining information is confidential under section 261.201 of the Family Code, and none of it may be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code on that basis.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses section 21.355 of the Education Code, which provides, in part, “[a] document evaluating the performance of a teacher or administrator is confidential.” *See Educ. Code* § 21.355(a). This office has interpreted section 21.355 to apply to any document that evaluates, as that term is commonly understood, the performance of a teacher or an administrator. *See Open Records Decision No. 643 (1996)*. We have determined that for purposes of section 21.355, the word “teacher” means a person who is required to and does in fact hold a teaching certificate under

subchapter B of chapter 21 of the Education Code and who is engaged in the process of teaching, as that term is commonly defined, at the time of the evaluation. *See* ORD 643 at 4. Additionally, the Third Court of Appeals has concluded that a written reprimand constitutes an evaluation for purposes of section 21.355, as it “reflects the principal’s judgment regarding [a teacher’s] actions, gives corrective direction, and provides for further review.” *Abbott v. North East Indep. Sch. Dist.*, 212 S.W.3d 364 (Tex. App.—Austin 2006, no pet.).

Upon review, we find the information subject to section 552.022(a)(1) includes evaluations of a teacher. We understand the teacher at issue was certified as a teacher by the State Board of Educator Certification and was acting as a teacher at the time the evaluations were prepared. Therefore, some of the information at issue, which we have marked, is generally confidential under section 21.355.

However, we note section 21.352(c) of the Education Code specifically provides, “[e]ach teacher is entitled to receive a written copy of the evaluation on its completion.” Educ. Code § 21.352(c); *see id.* § 21.352(a) (prescribing appraisal process and performance criteria each school district shall use). In this instance, the requestor is the teacher whose evaluations are at issue. Therefore, if the evaluations we marked are of the type contemplated by section 21.352, then this requestor has a right of access to them under section 21.352(c) and the district may not withhold them under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 21.355 of the Government Code. However, the requestor does not have a right of access under section 21.352(c) to the marked evaluations that are not of the type contemplated by section 21.352, and the district must withhold such information under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 21.355 of the Education Code.

Section 552.135 of the Government Code provides, in relevant part, the following:

(a) “Informer” means a student or a former student or an employee or former employee of a school district who has furnished a report of another person’s or persons’ possible violation of criminal, civil, or regulatory law to the school district or the proper regulatory enforcement authority.

(b) An informer’s name or information that would substantially reveal the identity of an informer is excepted from [required public disclosure].

(c) Subsection (b) does not apply:

(1) if the informer is a student or former student, and the student or former student, or the legal guardian, or spouse of the student or former student consents to disclosure of the student’s or former student’s name; or

(2) if the informer is an employee or former employee who consents to disclosure of the employee's or former employee's name; or

(3) if the informer planned, initiated, or participated in the possible violation.

Gov't Code § 552.135(a)-(c). Because the legislature limited the protection of section 552.135 to the identity of a person who reports a possible violation of "law," a school district that seeks to withhold information under that exception must clearly identify to this office the specific civil, criminal, or regulatory law that is alleged to have been violated. *See id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A). Additionally, individuals who provide information in the course of an investigation, but do not make the initial report are not informants for purposes of section 552.135 of the Government Code. We also note parents of students are not informants for purposes of section 552.135. You state some of the remaining information identifies students and employees who reported alleged violations of criminal and civil laws. Based on your representation and our review, we conclude the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.135 of the Government Code. However, the district has failed to demonstrate how any of the remaining information at issue reveals the identity of an informer for the purposes of section 552.135 of the Government Code. Therefore, the district may not withhold the remaining information on that ground.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses section 261.101 of the Family Code, which provides the identity of an individual making a report under chapter 261 is confidential. *See id.* § 261.101(d). As noted above, the district is not an agency authorized to conduct a chapter 261 investigation. *See id.* § 261.103 (listing agencies that may conduct child abuse investigations). Upon review, we find none of the remaining information contains the identifying information of an individual who made a report under chapter 261 of the Family Code. Thus, the district may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 261.101(d).

In summary, the district may withhold the information not subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code under section 552.103 of the Government Code. The district must generally release the information subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code; however, in releasing this information, the district must withhold (1) the information we marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 261.201 of the Family Code; (2) the marked evaluations under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 21.355 of the Education Code, to the extent the requestor does not have a right of access to them under section 21.352(c) of the Education Code; and (3) the information we have marked under section 552.135 of the Government Code.²

²Because the requestor may have a right of access to some of the information being released in this instance, if the district receives another request for this information from a different requestor, the district must again seek a ruling from this office.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Claire V. Morris Sloan
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CVMS/som

Ref: ID# 519219

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)