



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

May 8, 2014

Ms. Rebecca Hendricks Brewer
Counsel for the City of Frisco
Abernathy, Roeder, Boyd & Joplin, PC
P.O. Box 1210
McKinney, Texas 75070-1210

OR2014-07836

Dear Ms. Brewer:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 521902 (City PIR Nos. 2014-0340 and 2014-0457).

The City of Frisco (the "city"), which you represent, received two requests for information pertaining to a specified incident at Lone Star High School. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.102, 552.114, and 552.130 of the Government Code.¹ We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information. We have also received and considered comments from one of the requestors. *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (interested party may submit comments stating why information should or should not be released).

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." *Id.* § 552.101. This exception encompasses information made confidential by other statutes, such as section 773.091 of the Health and Safety Code, which provides in relevant part:

¹Although you also claim section 552.026 of the Government Code, we note section 552.026 is not an exception to disclosure. Rather, section 552.026 provides the Act does not require the release of information contained in education records except in conformity with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA") of 1974. Gov't Code § 552.026.

(b) Records of the identity, evaluation or treatment of a patient by emergency medical services personnel or by a physician providing medical supervision that are created by the emergency medical services personnel or physician or maintained by an emergency medical services provider are confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

...

(g) The privilege of confidentiality under this section does not extend to information regarding the presence, nature of injury or illness, age, sex, occupation, and city of residence of a patient who is receiving emergency medical services.

Health & Safety Code § 773.091(a)-(b), (g). Except for the information specified in section 773.091(g), emergency medical services (“EMS”) records are deemed confidential under section 773.091. You assert the submitted information includes records created and maintained by the city’s fire department EMS personnel that reflect the treatment of a patient by EMS personnel. Upon review, we find the information we have marked constitutes EMS records that are subject to chapter 773 of the Health and Safety Code. Thus, with the exception of the information subject to section 773.091(g), which is not confidential, the city must withhold the marked EMS records under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 773.091(b) of the Health and Safety Code.² However, you have failed to demonstrate the remaining information is subject to section 773.091(b) of the Health and Safety Code. Thus, the remaining information may not be withheld under section 552.101 on that basis.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the Medical Practice Act (“MPA”), subtitle B of title 3 of the Occupations Code, which governs release of medical records. Section 159.002 of the MPA provides, in relevant part:

(a) A communication between a physician and a patient, relative to or in connection with any professional services as a physician to the patient, is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

²As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure of this information.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

Occ. Code § 159.002(a)-(c). Information subject to the MPA includes both medical records and information obtained from those medical records. *See id.* §§ 159.002, .004. This office has concluded the protection afforded by section 159.002 extends only to records created by either a physician or someone under the supervision of a physician. *See Open Records Decision Nos. 487 (1987), 370 (1983), 343 (1982).* Upon review, we find none of the remaining information consists of a physician-patient communication or a record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that was created or is maintained by a physician. Therefore, no portion of the remaining information may be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the MPA.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person and (2) not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. Additionally, this office has concluded some kinds of medical information are generally highly intimate or embarrassing. *See Open Records Decision No. 455 (1987).* Upon review, we find the information we marked satisfies the standard articulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation*. Accordingly, the city must withhold the information we marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.³ However, we find none of the remaining information is highly intimate or embarrassing information of no legitimate public interest, and it may not be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.102(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." Gov't Code § 552.102(a). You assert the privacy analysis under section 552.102(a) is the same as the common-law privacy test under section 552.101 of the Government Code, which is discussed above. *See Indus. Found.*, 540 S.W.2d at 685. In *Hubert v. Harte-Hanks Texas Newspapers, Inc.*, 652 S.W.2d 546, 549-51 (Tex. App.—Austin 1983, writ ref'd n.r.e.), the court of appeals ruled the privacy test under

³As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument against disclosure of this information.

section 552.102(a) is the same as the *Industrial Foundation* privacy test. However, the Texas Supreme Court has expressly disagreed with *Hubert's* interpretation of section 552.102(a), and held the privacy standard under section 552.102(a) differs from the *Industrial Foundation* test under section 552.101. *See Tex. Comptroller of Pub. Accounts v. Attorney Gen. of Tex.*, 354 S.W.3d 336 (Tex. 2010). The Supreme Court also considered the applicability of section 552.102(a) and held it excepts from disclosure the dates of birth of state employees in the payroll database of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts. *See id.* at 348. Upon review, we find you have failed to demonstrate the applicability of section 552.102(a) to any of the remaining information, and the city may not withhold any of the remaining information on this basis.

Section 552.114(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure student records "at an educational institution funded wholly or partly by state revenue." Gov't Code § 552.114(a). This office has determined the same analysis applies under section 552.114 and FERPA. FERPA governs the availability of student records held by educational institutions or agencies receiving federal funds. We note section 552.114 and FERPA are applicable to only student records in the custody of an educational institution and records directly transferred from an educational institution to a third party. *See* 34 C.F.R. § 99.33(a)(2). You contend some of the remaining information is confidential under section 552.114. However, the city is not an educational institution. *See* Open Records Decision No. 309 at 3 (1983) (City of Fort Worth not "educational agency" for purposes of FERPA). You do not inform us, and it does not otherwise appear from our review, the city received any of the remaining information directly from an educational institution. We therefore conclude the city may not withhold any of the remaining information on the basis of section 552.114.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code provides information relating to a motor vehicle operator's license, driver's license, motor vehicle title or registration, or a personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or another state or country is excepted from public release. Gov't Code § 552.130. Upon review, we find none of the remaining information contains discernable motor vehicle record information subject to section 552.130. Thus, none of the remaining information may be withheld on that basis.

In summary, with the exception of the information subject to section 773.091(g) of the Health and Safety Code, the marked EMS records must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 773.091(b) of the Health and Safety Code. The city must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. The city must release the remaining information.⁴

⁴We note the information to be released contains social security numbers. Section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision under the Act. *See* Gov't Code § 552.147(b).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Britni Fabian".

Britni Fabian
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

BF/tch

Ref: ID# 521902

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Two Requestors
(w/o enclosures)