ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

June 16, 2014

Ms. Leticia D. McGowan
School Attorney
Dallas Independent School District
3700 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75204-5491

OR2014-10326

Dear Ms. McGowan:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 526845 (Dallas ISD ORR Nos. 2900 and 12926).

The Dallas Independent School District (the "district") received two requests for information pertaining to a named individual and a specified incident, including written documentation of the incident, records of any disciplinary action within a specified time frame, records relating to the reassignment of the named individual, any correspondence between named parties regarding the incident, all video recordings relating to the investigation of the incident, and a copy of the named individual's personnel file. You state you will release some information. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.135 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note the United States Department of Education Family Policy Compliance Office has informed this office the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), section 1232g of title 20 of the United States Code, does not permit state and local educational authorities to disclose to this office, without parental or an adult student's consent, unredacted, personally identifiable information contained in education records for the purpose of our review in the open records ruling process under the Act.[1] Consequently, state and local educational authorities that receive a request for education records from a member of the public under the Act must not submit education records to this office in unredacted form, that is, in a form in which "personally identifiable information"

[1]A copy of this letter may be found on the Office of the Attorney General's website at http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/20060725usdoe.pdf.

is disclosed. *See* 34 C.F.R. § 99.3 (defining "personally identifiable information"); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 224 (1979) (student's handwritten comments protected under FERPA because they would make identity of student easily traceable through handwriting, style of expression, or particular incidents related in the comments). You have submitted unredacted education records for our review. Because our office is prohibited from reviewing these education records to determine whether appropriate redactions under FERPA have been made, we will not address the applicability of FERPA to any of the submitted records. *See* 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(1)(A). Such determinations under FERPA must be made by the educational authority in possession of the education records. However, we will consider your arguments against disclosure of the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes, including section 261.201 of the Family Code, which provides as follows:

> (a) [T]he following information is confidential, is not subject to public release under [the Act] and may be disclosed only for purposes consistent with this code and applicable federal or state law or under rules adopted by an investigating agency:
>
> > (1) a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under this chapter and the identity of the person making the report; and
> >
> > (2) except as otherwise provided in this section, the files, reports, records, communications, audiotapes, videotapes, and working papers used or developed in an investigation under this chapter or in providing services as a result of an investigation.

Fam. Code § 261.201(a); *see also id.* §§ 101.003(a) (defining "child" for purposes of this section as person under 18 years of age who is not and has not been married or who has not had the disabilities of minority removed for general purposes), 261.001(1) (defining "abuse" for purposes of Family Code ch. 261). You contend the submitted information is confidential under section 261.201. We note the district is not an agency authorized to conduct an investigation under chapter 261 of the Family Code. *See id.* § 261.103 (listing agencies that may conduct child abuse investigations). You state the information was obtained from the Dallas Police Department, the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services ("DFPS"), or the district's police department (the "department"). You also state the district has on staff an employee who is shared with DFPS to receive and investigate child abuse claims. Upon review, we find some of the submitted information consists of reports of alleged or suspected child abuse made to DFPS. Further, we find some of the remaining information consists of files, reports, records, communications, audiotapes, videotapes, or working papers used or developed by DFPS under chapter 261 of the Family Code. Therefore, the information we have marked is

confidential under section 261.201(a) of the Family Code, and the district must withhold it under section 552.101 of the Government Code. The remaining information, however, was not obtained from the Dallas Police Department, DFPS, or the department, but instead relates to administrative investigations by the district. Thus, we find you have failed to demonstrate any of the remaining information was used or developed in an investigation of alleged or suspected child abuse, or consists of a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect under chapter 261 of the Family Code. Therefore, none of the remaining information is confidential under section 261.201 of the Family Code, and none of it may be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code on that basis.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses section 261.101(d) of the Family Code, which provides the identity of an individual making a report under chapter 261 is confidential. *See id.* § 261.101(d). As noted above, the district is not an agency authorized to conduct a chapter 261 investigation. *See id.* § 261.103 (listing agencies that may conduct child abuse investigations). Upon review, we find none of the remaining information contains the identifying information of an individual who made a report under chapter 261 of the Family Code. Thus, the district may not withhold any of the remaining information under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 261.101(d).

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses section 21.355 of the Education Code, which provides, in part, "[a] document evaluating the performance of a teacher or administrator is confidential." *See* Educ. Code § 21.355(a). This office has interpreted section 21.355 to apply to any document that evaluates, as that term is commonly understood, the performance of a teacher or an administrator. *See* Open Records Decision No. 643 (1996). We have determined that for purposes of section 21.355, the word "teacher" means a person who is required to and does in fact hold a teaching certificate under subchapter B of chapter 21 of the Education Code and who is engaged in the process of teaching, as that term is commonly defined, at the time of the evaluation. *See id.* at 4. Additionally, the Third Court of Appeals has concluded that a written reprimand constitutes an evaluation for purposes of section 21.355, as it "reflects the principal's judgment regarding [a teacher's] actions, gives corrective direction, and provides for further review." *Abbott v. North East Indep. Sch. Dist.*, 212 S.W.3d 364 (Tex. App.—Austin 2006, no pet.).

You contend the remaining information contains an evaluation of the named individual. You state the named individual held the appropriate teaching certificate at the time of the creation of the evaluation and was teaching at the time of the evaluation. Based on your representations and our review, we conclude the information we marked is confidential under section 21.355 of the Education Code and must be withheld under section 552.101.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy,

both prongs of this test must be established. *Id.* at 681-82. Types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court are delineated in *Industrial Foundation*. *Id.* at 683. We find the identity of a victim of child abuse or neglect is private. *Cf.* Fam. Code § 261.201. Upon review, we find the identifying information of the children who are the subjects of the administrative investigations in the submitted documents satisfies the standard articulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation*. We note the identifying information at issue consists of the names of the students at issue. Accordingly, the district must withhold this information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Section 552.135 of the Government Code provides, in relevant part:

> (a) "Informer" means a student or a former student or an employee or former employee of a school district who has furnished a report of another person's or persons' possible violation of criminal, civil, or regulatory law to the school district or the proper regulatory enforcement authority.
>
> (b) An informer's name or information that would substantially reveal the identity of an informer is excepted from [required public disclosure].
>
> (c) Subsection (b) does not apply:
>
> > (1) if the informer is a student or former student, and the student or former student, or the legal guardian, or spouse of the student or former student consents to disclosure of the student's or former student's name; or
> >
> > (2) if the informer is an employee or former employee who consents to disclosure of the employee's or former employee's name; or
> >
> > (3) if the informer planned, initiated, or participated in the possible violation.

Gov't Code § 552.135(a)-(c). Because the legislature limited the protection of section 552.135 to the identity of a person who reports a possible violation of "law," a school district that seeks to withhold information under that exception must clearly identify to this office the specific civil, criminal, or regulatory law that is alleged to have been violated. *See id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A). Additionally, individuals who provide information in the course of an investigation, but do not make the initial report are not informants for purposes of section 552.135 of the Government Code. You state the remaining information identifies students and employees who reported an alleged violation of criminal laws. Based on your representation and our review, we conclude the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.135 of the Government Code. However, the district has failed to demonstrate how any of the remaining information at issue reveals the identity of

an informer for the purposes of section 552.135 of the Government Code. Therefore, the district may not withhold the remaining information on that ground.

In summary, the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 261.201(a) of the Family Code. Additionally, the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 21.355 of the Education Code. The district must also withhold the identifying information of the children who are the subjects of the administrative investigations in the submitted documents under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy. Finally, the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.135 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Kenny Moreland
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KJM/tch

Ref: ID# 526845

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Two Requestors
(w/o enclosures)