



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

July 9, 2014

Ms. Sara Abbott McEown
Counsel for the Fort Worth Transportation Authority
Jackson Walker L.L.P.
901 Main Street, Suite 6000
Dallas, Texas 75202

OR2014-11794

Dear Ms. McEown:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 528638.

The Fort Worth Transportation Authority (the "authority"), which you represent, received a request for all electronically stored records for all purchases during a specified time period.¹ You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.110 of the Government Code. Additionally, you state release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of American Security Solutions; B-Cycle, L.L.C.; EMC Integrated Systems Group; NextBus, Inc.; Trapeze Software Group, Inc.; and

¹We note the authority sought and received clarification of the information requested. *See* Gov't Code § 552.222 (providing if request for information is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify request); *see also* *City of Dallas v. Abbott*, 304 S.W.3d 380, 387 (Tex. 2010) (holding that when a governmental entity, acting in good faith, requests clarification or narrowing of an unclear or over-broad request for public information, the ten-day period to request an attorney general ruling is measured from the date the request is clarified or narrowed).

Weaver and Tidwell, L.L.P. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified these third parties of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.²

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from any of the third parties explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude any of the third parties has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the authority may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest any of the parties may have in the information.

The authority claims some of the submitted information may be excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with copyright law. Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. However, copyright law does not make information confidential. *See* Open Records Decision No. 660 at 5 (1999). A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Open Records Decision No. 180 at 3 (1977). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.*; *see* Open Records Decision No. 109 (1975). If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. Accordingly, the authority may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.101 in conjunction with copyright law.

²We assume the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

The authority asserts some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. However, section 552.110 protects only the interests of the third parties that have provided information to a governmental body, not those of the governmental body itself. Therefore, we do not address the authority's argument under section 552.110.

We note the submitted information contains information subject to section 552.136 of the Government Code, which provides, "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of [the Act], a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential."³ Gov't Code § 552.136(b); *see id.* § 552.136(a) (defining "access device"). This office has determined insurance policy numbers are access device numbers for purposes of section 552.136. Upon review, the authority must withhold the insurance policy number we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code.

In summary, the authority must withhold the insurance policy number we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The authority must release the remaining information; however, any information subject to copyright may be released only in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



David L. Wheelus
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

DLW/eb

³The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision No. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

Ref: ID# 528638

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Wendi Phoenix
American Security Solutions
P. O. Box 502
Royse City, Texas 75189
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Robert Burns
B-Cycle, L.L.C.
801 West Madison Street
Waterloo, Wisconsin 53594
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Tracy McBride
EMC Integrated Systems Group
121 Central Avenue, Suite 200
Grapevine, Texas 76051
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Larry Rosenshein
NextBus, Inc.
2433 Mariner Square Loop
Alameda, California 94501
(w/o enclosures)

Resa Bouchier
Trapeze Software Group, Inc.
10,2175 29th Street
Calgary, Alberta T1Y-7H8
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Mary Volcansek
Weaver and Tidwell, L.L.P.
1600 West Seventh Street, Suite 300
Fort Worth, Texas 76102-2506
(w/o enclosures)