



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

July 14, 2014

Ms. Rebecca Bailey Weimer  
Counsel for the Texas City Independent School District  
Thompson & Horton, L.L.P.  
3200 Southwest Freeway  
Houston, Texas 77027-7528

OR2014-12067

Dear Ms. Weimer:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 528992.

The Texas City Independent School District (the "district") received a request from an investigator with the Texas Education Agency (the "TEA") for information pertaining to a named former district employee. You state the district has redacted student-identifying information pursuant to the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), section 1232g of title 20 of the United States Code.<sup>1</sup> You state you have released some information to the requestor. You state the submitted information may be excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information other statutes make

---

<sup>1</sup>The United States Department of Education Family Policy Compliance Office (the "DOE") has informed this office that FERPA does not permit state and local educational authorities to disclose to this office, without parental consent, unredacted, personally identifiable information contained in education records for the purpose of our review in the open records ruling process under the Act. The DOE has determined that FERPA determinations must be made by the educational authority in possession of the education records. We have posted a copy of the letter from the DOE to this office on the Attorney General's website: <http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/20060725usdoe.pdf>

confidential, such as section 21.355 of the Education Code, which provides that “[a] document evaluating the performance of a teacher or administrator is confidential.” Educ. Code § 21.355. In Open Records Letter No. 643, this office interpreted section 21.355 to apply to any document that evaluates, as that term is commonly understood, the performance of a teacher or administrator. Open Records Decision No. 643 (1996). In that opinion, we concluded a teacher is someone who is required to hold and does hold a certificate or permit required under chapter 21 of the Education Code and is teaching at the time of his or her evaluation. *Id.* In addition, the Third Court of Appeals has held a written reprimand constitutes an evaluation for purposes of section 21.355 because “it reflects the principal’s judgment regarding [a teacher’s] actions, gives corrective direction, and provides for further review.” *Abbott v. North East Indep. Sch. Dist.*, 212 S.W.3d 364 (Tex. App.—Austin 2006, no pet.).

You state the submitted information consists of information relating to letters of reprimand pertaining to an individual at issue. You state the individual at issue held the appropriate certification under chapter 21 of the Education Code at the time the reprimands were written. Based on your representations and our review, we find some of the information at issue, which we have marked, is confidential pursuant to section 21.355 of the Education Code. However, we find you have not demonstrated how the remaining information consists of information evaluating the teacher at issue for purposes of section 21.355. As such, no portion of the remaining information is confidential pursuant to section 21.355 of the Education Code.

However, we note the requestor is an investigator with the TEA and states he is seeking the requested information under the authority provided to the State Board for Educator Certification (“SBEC”) by section 249.14 of title 19 of the Texas Administrative Code. Chapter 249 of title 19 of the Texas Administrative Code governs disciplinary proceedings, sanctions, and contested cases involving SBEC. *See* 19 T.A.C. § 249.4. Section 249.14 provides in relevant part:

(a) [TEA] staff may obtain and investigate information concerning alleged improper conduct by an educator, applicant, examinee, or other person subject to this chapter that would warrant the [SBEC] denying relief to or taking disciplinary action against the person or certificate.

...

(c) TEA staff may also obtain and act on other information providing grounds for investigation and possible action under this chapter.

19 T.A.C. § 249.14(a), (c). The requestor states the TEA has opened an investigation regarding the alleged misconduct or criminal history information of the teacher at issue, and he requires the requested records in order to conduct a full and complete investigation. The requestor also states the alleged misconduct or criminal history information could warrant

disciplinary action relating to the teacher's educator certification. Thus, we find the requestor may have a right of access to information regarding the named employee pursuant to section 249.14. However, because the information at issue is confidential under section 21.355 of the Education Code, we find there is a conflict between section 21.355 and the right of access afforded to TEA investigators under section 249.14.

Where general and specific statutes are in irreconcilable conflict, the specific provision typically prevails as an exception to the general provision, unless the general provision was enacted later and there is clear evidence the legislature intended the general provision to prevail. *See* Gov't Code § 311.026(b); *City of Lake Dallas v. Lake Cities Mun. Util. Auth.*, 555 S.W.2d 163, 168 (Tex. Civ. App.—Fort Worth 1977, writ ref'd n.r.e.). Section 249.14 generally provides TEA staff may obtain and investigate information concerning alleged improper conduct by an educator that would warrant SBEC denying relief to or taking disciplinary action against the educator or the educator's certificate. *See* 19 T.A.C. § 249.14(a). However, section 21.355 specifically protects "a document evaluating the performance of a teacher[.]" Educ. Code § 21.355. Further, section 21.355 specifically permits release to certain parties and in certain circumstances that do not include the TEA's request in this instance. Thus, we find section 21.355 prevails over the TEA's general right of access. Accordingly, notwithstanding section 249.14, the district must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 21.355 of the Education Code. As you raise no further exceptions to disclosure, the remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at [http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl\\_ruling\\_info.shtml](http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml), or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Joseph Behrke  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

JB/eb

Ref: ID# 528992

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor  
(w/o enclosures)