



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

July 22, 2014

Ms. Stephanie H. Harris
Assistant City Attorney
City of Paris
P.O. Box 9037
Paris, Texas 75461-9037

OR2014-12638

Dear Ms. Harris:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 530062.

The City of Paris (the "city") received a request for any and all documents and exhibits provided to the Paris Economic Development Corporation pertaining to a specified agreement. You state the city has released portions of the requested information. We understand you will redact social security numbers pursuant to section 552.147 of the Government Code.¹ Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of Harrison Walker & Harper ("HWH"). Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified HWH of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from HWH. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note some of the requested information may have been the subject of a previous request for information, as a result of which this office issued Open Records Letter No. 2013-18535 (2013). In Open Records Letter No. 2013-18535, we ruled the city must

¹Section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act. Gov't Code § 552.147(b).

withhold certain information under section 552.110(b) and must release the remaining information. We have no indication the law, facts, or circumstances on which Open Records Letter No. 2013-18535 was based have changed. Accordingly, to the extent the requested information is identical to the information previously requested and ruled upon, we conclude the city must continue to rely on Open Records Letter No. 2013-18535 as a previous determination and withhold or release the previously ruled upon information in accordance with that ruling. *See* Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001) (so long as law, facts, and circumstances on which prior ruling was based have not changed, first type of previous determination exists where requested information is precisely same information as was addressed in prior attorney general ruling, ruling is addressed to same governmental body, and ruling concludes information is or is not excepted from disclosure). However, to the extent the information at issue is not encompassed by the previous ruling, we will address the submitted arguments against its disclosure.

HWH raises section 552.101 of the Government Code for the submitted information. Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. HWH generally argues the information at issue is “confidential as a matter of law.” However, HWH has not pointed to any confidentiality provision, nor are we aware of any, that would make any of the submitted information confidential for purposes of section 552.101. *See, e.g.*, Open Records Decision Nos. 611 at 1 (1992) (common-law privacy), 600 at 4 (1992) (constitutional privacy), 478 at 2 (1987) (statutory confidentiality). Therefore, the city may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

Next, HWH raises section 552.104 of the Government Code for its information. Section 552.104 excepts from disclosure “information that, if released, would give advantage to a competitor or bidder.” Gov’t Code § 552.104. We note section 552.104 protects the interests of governmental bodies, not third parties. *See* Open Records Decision No. 592 at 8 (1991) (purpose of section 552.104 is to protect governmental body’s interest in competitive bidding situation). As the city does not argue section 552.104 is applicable, we will not consider HWH’s claim under this section. *See id.* (section 552.104 may be waived by governmental body). Therefore, the city may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.104 of the Government Code.

Next, HWH states portions of its information are excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. Section 552.110 protects (1) trade secrets and (2) commercial or financial information the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.110(a)-(b). Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *Id.* § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a trade secret to be:

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business. . . . A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 776 (Tex. 1958). In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement's list of six trade secret factors.² RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b. This office must accept a claim that information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for the exception is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. *See Open Records Decision No. 552 at 5 (1990)*. However, we cannot conclude section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. *Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983)*. We note pricing information pertaining to a particular contract is generally not a trade secret because it is "simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business," rather than "a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business." RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; *see also Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d at 776; *Open Records Decision Nos. 255, 232 (1979), 217 (1978)*.

Section 552.110(b) protects "[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial

²The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company's] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; *see also Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980)*.

competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *Id.*; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 661 at 5 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm).

We understand HWH to assert portions of its information constitute trade secrets under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code. Upon review, we conclude HWH has failed to establish a *prima facie* case that any portion of its information meets the definition of a trade secret. We further find HWH has not demonstrated the necessary factors to establish a trade secret claim for its information. *See* ORD 402. Therefore, none of HWH’s information may be withheld under section 552.110(a).

HWH asserts portions of its information consist of commercial information, the release of which would cause substantial competitive harm under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. Upon review, we find HWH has demonstrated portions of the information at issue constitute commercial or financial information, the release of which would cause substantial competitive injury. Accordingly, the city must withhold this information, which we have marked, under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. However, we find HWH has made only conclusory allegations that the release of any of the remaining information at issue would result in substantial harm to its competitive position. *See* Open Records Decision No. 661 (for information to be withheld under commercial or financial information prong of section 552.110, business must show by specific factual evidence that substantial competitive injury would result from release of particular information at issue). Accordingly, none of HWH’s remaining information may be withheld under section 552.110(b).

HWH also raises section 552.131 of the Government Code for the remaining information. Section 552.131 relates to economic development information and provides in part:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if the information relates to economic development negotiations involving a governmental body and a business prospect that the governmental body seeks to have locate, stay, or expand in or near the territory of the governmental body and the information relates to:

(1) a trade secret of the business prospect; or

(2) commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained.

(b) Unless and until an agreement is made with the business prospect, information about a financial or other incentive being offered to the business prospect by the governmental body or by another person is excepted from [required public disclosure].

Gov't Code § 552.131(a), (b). Section 552.131(a) excepts from disclosure only "trade secret[s] of [a] business prospect" and "commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained." *Id.* This aspect of section 552.131 is co-extensive with section 552.110 of the Government Code. *See id.* § 552.110(a)-(b). Because we have already disposed of HWH's claims under section 552.110, the city may not withhold any of HWH's remaining information under section 552.131(a) of the Government Code. Furthermore, we note section 552.131(b) is designed to protect the interests of governmental bodies, not third parties. As the city does not assert section 552.131(b) as an exception to disclosure, we conclude no portion of the remaining information is excepted under section 552.131(b) of the Government Code.

In summary, to the extent the requested information is identical to the information previously requested and ruled upon by this office in the prior ruling, the city must continue to rely on Open Records Letter No. 2013-18535 as a previous determination and withhold or release the previously ruled upon information in accordance with that ruling. The city must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Lee Seidlits
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CLS/tch

Ref: ID# 530062

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Michael Anders
Chief Financial Officer
Harrison Walker and Harper and Affiliates
2510 South Church Street
Paris, Texas 75462
(w/o enclosures)