



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

August 25, 2014

Mr. Allan Meesey
Associate General Counsel
Texas Department of Transportation
125 East 11th Street
Austin, Texas 78701-2483

OR2014-15001

Dear Mr. Meesey:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 534110.

The Texas Department of Transportation (the "department") received a request for copies of certain portions of all proposals and all scoring or evaluation documents associated with solicitation number 31-4RPF5020. You claim some of the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.104 of the Government Code. Additionally, you state release of some of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of a number of third parties. Accordingly, you state you notified the third parties of the request for information and of the right of each to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from Arredondo, Zepeda & Brunz, LLC ("AZ&B") and IEA, Inc. ("IEA"). We have reviewed the submitted arguments and the submitted information, a portion of which you state constitutes a representative sample.¹

¹We assume the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

Section 552.104 of the Government Code exempts from disclosure "information that, if released, would give advantage to a competitor or bidder." Gov't Code § 552.104. The purpose of section 552.104 is to protect the purchasing interests of a governmental body in competitive bidding situations where the governmental body wishes to withhold information in order to obtain more favorable offers. *See* Open Records Decision No. 592 (1991). Section 552.104 protects information from disclosure if the governmental body demonstrates potential harm to its interests in a particular competitive situation. *See* Open Records Decision No. 463 (1987). Generally, section 552.104 does not except information from disclosure after bidding is completed and the contract has been awarded. *See* Open Records Decision No. 541 (1990). However, in Open Records Decision No. 541, this office stated that the predecessor to section 552.104 may protect information after bidding is complete if the governmental body demonstrates that public disclosure of the information will allow competitors to undercut future bids, and the governmental body solicits bids for the same or similar goods or services on a recurring basis. *See id.* at 5 (recognizing limited situation in which statutory predecessor to section 552.104 continued to protect information submitted by successful bidder when disclosure would allow competitors to accurately estimate and undercut future bids); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 309 (1982) (suggesting such principle will apply when governmental body solicits bids for same or similar goods or services on recurring basis).

The department raises section 552.104 of the Government Code for Exhibit C. You inform us that the scoring and evaluation criteria documents submitted as Exhibit C relate to a competitive procurement that resulted in a contract between the department and a third-party; thus, this information does not pertain to a currently competitive bidding situation. However, you claim that, although the contract arising from this specific competitive procurement has been awarded and executed, the department plans on re-using these scoring/selection criteria for other comprehensive development agreements and release of the scoring criteria will harm the department because it "would allow third party competitors to tailor their proposals to specific evaluation criteria, undermining the quality of proposals and undermining competition among competitors." Based on your representations and our review of the information at issue, we agree the department may withhold Exhibit C under section 552.104 of the Government Code.

We now turn to the submitted arguments against disclosure of the remaining information. We note an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from any of the remaining third parties explaining why the information at issue should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude any of the remaining third parties have a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information

is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the department may not withhold any of the information relating to solicitation number 31-4RFP5020 on the basis of any proprietary interest any of the remaining third parties may have in the information.

AZ&B and IEA each argue their information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code.² Section 552.110 protects (1) trade secrets and (2) commercial or financial information the disclosure of which would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained. *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(a)-(b). Section 552.110(a) protects trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *Id.* § 552.110(a). The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a trade secret to be:

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business. . . . A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 776 (Tex. 1958). In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement's list of six trade secret factors.³ RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b. This

²Although IEA does not cite to section 552.110 of the Government Code in its brief to this office, we understand it to raise this exception based on the substance of its arguments.

³The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company's] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b; *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

office must accept a claim that information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for the exception is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. *See* ORD 552 at 5. However, we cannot conclude section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

Section 552.110(b) protects “[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.110(b). This exception to disclosure requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *Id.*; *see also* ORD 661 at 5-6.

AZ&B and IEA each assert portions of their information constitute trade secrets under section 552.110(a) of the Government Code. Upon review, we conclude these parties have failed to establish a *prima facie* case that any portion of their information meets the definition of a trade secret. We further find these parties have not demonstrated the necessary factors to establish a trade secret claim for their information. *See* ORD 402. Therefore, none of the information at issue may be withheld under section 552.110(a).


AZ&B and IEA each also argue portions of their information consist of commercial information the release of which would cause substantial competitive harm under section 552.110(b) of the Government Code. Upon review, we find AZ&B and IEA have failed to demonstrate the release of any of the information at issue would result in substantial harm to their competitive positions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 661 (for information to be withheld under commercial or financial information prong of section 552.110, business must show by specific factual evidence that substantial competitive injury would result from release of particular information at issue), 509 at 5 (1988) (because costs, bid specifications, and circumstances would change for future contracts, assertion that release of bid proposal might give competitor unfair advantage on future contracts is too speculative), 319 at 3 (information relating to organization and personnel, professional references, market studies, qualifications, and pricing are not ordinarily excepted from disclosure under statutory predecessor to section 552.110), 175 at 4 (1977) (résumés cannot be said to fall within any exception to the Act). Accordingly, none of the information at issue may be withheld under section 552.110(b).

In summary, the department may withhold Exhibit C under section 552.104 of the Government Code. The department must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Abigail T. Adams
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

ATA/ac

Ref: ID# 534110

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Roy R. Brunz
Arredondo, Zepeda & Brunz
11355 McCree Road
Dallas, Texas 75238
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Mike Zieminski
Lina T. Ramey & Associates, Inc.
1349 Empire Central Drive, Suite 900
Dallas, Texas 75247
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Shakeel Ahmed
IEA, Inc.
18333 Preston Road, Suite 205
Dallas, Texas 75252
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Mark Gribble
Aguirre & Fields
221 West Exchange Avenue, Suite 210
Fort Worth, Texas 76164
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Joseph W. Atwood
Teague, Nall & Perkins, Inc.
1100 Macon Street
Fort Worth, Texas 76102
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Kevin Howlett
Bowman Engineering & Consulting, Inc.
13140 Coit Road, Suite 312
Dallas, Texas 75240
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Lisa Deitemeyer
Bridgefarmer & Associates
12801 North Central Expressway,
Suite 400
Dallas, Texas 75243
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Michael H. Garrison
Brown & Gay Engineers, Inc.
2595 Dallas Parkway, Suite 204
Frisco, Texas 75034
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Colin Blankenship
Hayden Consultants, Inc.
5646 Milton, Suite 515
Dallas, Texas 75206
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Floyd Martinez
APM & Associates
2201 Main Street, Suite 1100
Dallas, Texas 75201
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Rajesh Janarthanan
Aecom Technical Services, Inc.
16000 Dallas Parkway, Suite 350
Dallas, Texas 75248
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Joel Schramm
Binkley & Barfield, Inc.
1801 Gateway Boulevard, Ste 101
Richardson, Texas 75080
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Abenet Bekele
Civil Associates, Inc.
9330 LBJ Freeway, Suite 1150
Dallas, Texas 75243
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Paul E. Bright
CivilCorp, LLC
2825 Wilcrest Drive, Suite 460
Houston, Texas 75243
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Robin Handel
Chiang, Patel & Yerby, Inc.
1820 Regal Row, Suite 200
Dallas, Texas 75235
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jonathon Marchese
Criado & Associates
4141 Blue Lake Circle, Suite 133
Dallas, Texas 75244
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Mark Gregory Vowels
Dannenbaum Engineering Corporation
4141 Blue Lake Circle, Suite 240
Dallas, Texas 75244
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. H. Wayne Barton
DAL-Tech Engineering, Inc.
17400 Dallas Parkway, Suite 110
Dallas, Texas 75287
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Ryan Milakovich
Dunaway Associates
550 Bailey Avenue, Suite 400
Fort Worth, Texas 76107
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Mark T. Stephens
Excelsis, Inc.
2825 Wilcrest Drive, Suite 100
Houston, Texas 77042
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Ayda Gonzalez
Gonzalez De La Garza &
Associates
115 East Travis Street, Suite 1120
San Antonio, Texas 78205
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Marvin Henry Gomez
GRV Integrated Engineering
Solutions
11385 James Watt, Suite B13
El Paso, Texas 79936
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Stephen Woordruff
Huitt-Zollars
1717 McKinney Avenue, Ste 1400
Dallas, Texas 75202
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Antonio Arredondo
H.W. Lochner, Inc.
12001 North Central Expressway,
Suite 1050
Dallas, Texas 75243
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Matthew Werner
Kennedy Consulting
205 East University Ave, Ste 450
Georgetown, Texas 78626
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jason Lastovica
Kimley-Horn & Associates
12750 Merit Drive, Suite 1000
Dallas, Texas 75251
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Chinh Phan
KYSU Group
5263 Woodfield Drive
Grand Prairie, Texas 75052
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. John Lamb
Lamb Star Engineering
5700 West Plano Parkway, Suite 1000
Plano, Texas 75093
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Kenneth G. Schrock
LJA Engineering, Inc.
5316 Highway 290 West, Suite 150
Austin, Texas 78735
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Nancy Mitchell
Lockwood, Andrews & Newman
8350 North Central Expressway, Ste 1400
Dallas, Texas 75206
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. David Hosseiny
Mandy Vassigh Engineering, Inc.
14850 Quorum Drive, Suite 220
Dallas, Texas 75254
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. James Lutz
Pape-Dawson Engineering, Inc.
555 East Ramsey
San Antonio, Texas 78216
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jeff Seiler
Seiler Lankes Group
306 East Main, Suite 101
Round Rock, Texas 78664
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Javier Chavira
Soloray Engineering
2727 LBJ Freeway, Suite 525
Dallas, Texas 75234
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Chad Gartner
TranSystems Corporation
Consultants
500 West 7th Street, Suite 1100
Fort Worth, Texas 76102
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Thomas T. Le
Atkins North America
18383 Preston Road, Suite 500
Dallas, Texas 75243
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Bill Parsons
ARS Engineers
12801 North Central Expressway,
Suite 1250
Dallas, Texas 75243
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Leslie Bruce
AMD Engineering
411 Commerce Street
Childress, Texas 79201
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Ray Wells
AIA Engineers
4230 LBJ Freeway, Suite 413
Dallas, Texas 75244
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Firoze Shams
Advanced TranSol Consultants
5712 Bedrock Drive, Suite 200
McKinney, Texas 75070
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. James Speckman
Costello, Inc.
9990 Richmond Avenue, Suite
450N
Houston, Texas 77042
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. William Barresi
CH2M Hill, Inc.
12750 Merit Drive, Suite 1100
Dallas, Texas 75251
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Bradley Brown
Klotz Associates
1160 Dairy Ashford, Suite 500
Houston, Texas 77079
(w/o enclosures)