



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

September 3, 2014

Ms. Alexis G. Allen
Counsel for City of Lancaster
Nichols, Jackson, Dillard, Hager & Smith, L.L.P.
1800 Ross Tower
500 North Akard Street
Dallas, Texas 75201

OR2014-15456

Dear Ms. Allen:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 534935 (Nichols Jackson ID# 66847).

The City of Lancaster (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for information pertaining to RFP No. 2014-117. You state the city released some information to the requestor. Although you take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted under the Act, you state release of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of third parties. Accordingly, you state, and provide documentation showing, you notified the interested third parties of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released.¹ *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from Hillard Heintze. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code

¹The third parties are Berkshire Advisors, Inc.; Hillard Heintze; International Association of Chiefs of Police; Matrix Consulting Group, Ltd.; MGT of America, Inc.; Novak Consulting Group; and Public Safety Strategies Group.

§ 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter we have only received comments from Hillard Heintze explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude any of the other third parties has a protected proprietary interest in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3. Accordingly, the city may not withhold the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest these third parties may have in the information.

Hillard Heintze raises section 552.104 of the Government Code. This section excepts from required public disclosure “information that, if released, would give advantage to a competitor or bidder.” Gov’t Code § 552.104(a). However, section 552.104(a) protects only the interests of a governmental body, as distinguished from exceptions which are intended to protect the interests of third parties. *See* Open Records Decision No. 592 (1991) (statutory predecessor to section 552.104 designed to protect interests of a governmental body in a competitive situation, and not interests of private parties submitting information to the government). As the city did not submit arguments against disclosure of any of the responsive information under section 552.104, no portion of Hillard Heintze’s information may be withheld on this basis. As no other exceptions have been raised, the submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,



Cristian Rosas-Grillet
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CRG/dls

Ref: ID# 534935

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Michael Walker
Berkshire Advisors, Inc.
24734 Lake Road
Bay Village, Ohio 44140
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Willard V. Aslan
Chief Financial Officer
Hillard Heintze
30 South Wacker Drive, Suite 1400
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Kim Kohlhepp
International Association of Chiefs
of Police
44 Canal Center Plaza, Suite 200
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Richard Brady
President
Matrix Consulting Group, Ltd.
201 San Antonio Circle, Suite 148
Mountain View, California 94040
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Mark Charland
CEO
MGT of America, Inc.
3800 Esplanade Way, Suite 210
Tallahassee, Florida 32311
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Julia Novak
Novak Consulting Group
210 Glenmary Avenue
Cincinnati, Ohio 45220
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Kym Craven
Public Safety Strategies Group
486 Main Street
West Townsend, Massachusetts 01474
(w/o enclosures)