



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

September 15, 2014

Ms. Donna L. Johnson
Counsel for the Manvel Police Department
Olson & Olson, L.L.P.
2727 Allen Parkway, Suite 600
Houston, Texas 77019

OR2014-16240

Dear Ms. Johnson:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 536235 (Manvel P.D. Ref. No. COM14-006).

The Manvel Police Department (the "department"), which you represent, received a request for a specified incident report. You state the department has released some information to the requestor. You claim the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.102, 552.108, 552.1175, 552.130, and 552.136 of the Government Code.¹ We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses information protected by other statutes, such as section 261.201 of the Family Code, which provides:

(a) [T]he following information is confidential, is not subject to public release under [the Act], and may be disclosed only for purposes consistent

¹Although you raise section 552.117 of the Government Code for the submitted information, we note section 552.1175 is the proper exception to raise for information held in a non-employment context.

with this code and applicable federal or state law or under rules adopted by an investigating agency:

- (1) a report of alleged or suspected abuse or neglect made under this chapter and the identity of the person making the report; and
- (2) except as otherwise provided in this section, the files, reports, records, communications, audiotapes, videotapes, and working papers used or developed in an investigation under this chapter or in providing services as a result of an investigation.

Fam. Code § 261.201(a). Upon review, we find the submitted information consists of files, reports, records, communications, audiotapes, videotapes, or working papers used or developed in an investigation under section 261.201(a) of the Family Code. *See id.* §§ 261.001(1), (4) (defining “abuse” and “neglect” for purposes of chapter 261 of the Family Code), 101.003(a) (defining “child” for purposes of this section as person under 18 years of age who is not and has not been married or who has not had the disabilities of minority removed for general purposes). You do not indicate the department has adopted a rule governing the release of this type of information; thus, we assume no such regulation exists. Therefore, we find the submitted information is confidential pursuant to section 261.201 of the Family Code, and the department generally must withhold it under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

We note, however, the submitted information contains a CR-3 crash report completed under chapter 550 of the Transportation Code. Section 550.065(b) provides that, except as provided by subsection (c) or subsection (e), accident reports are privileged and confidential. Transp. Code § 550.065. Section 550.065(c)(4) of the Transportation Code, however, requires the release of accident reports to a person who provides two of the following three pieces of information: (1) date of the accident; (2) name of any person involved in the accident; and (3) specific location of the accident. *Id.* § 550.065(c)(4). In this instance, we understand the requestor has provided the department with the required information. Although the requested investigation records are generally confidential under section 261.201 of the Family Code, section 550.065(c)(4) requires the crash report to be released to the requestor because she supplied the required information.

Therefore, we must address the conflict between the confidentiality provided under section 261.201 of the Family Code and the access provided under section 550.065(c)(4) of the Transportation Code. Where general and specific statutes are in irreconcilable conflict, the specific provision typically prevails as an exception to the general provision unless the general provision was enacted later and there is clear evidence the legislature intended the general provision to prevail. *See* Gov’t Code § 311.026(b); *City of Lake Dallas v. Lake Cities Mun. Util. Auth.*, 555 S.W.2d 163, 168 (Tex. Civ. App.—Fort Worth 1977, writ ref’d n.r.e.). In this instance, although section 261.201 generally pertains to all records of alleged

child abuse or neglect, section 550.065(c)(4) specifically pertains to crash reports. Therefore, we find section 550.065 is more specific than section 261.201. Additionally, although you seek to withhold portions of the CR-3 report under section 552.1175 of the Government Code, as a general rule, statutes governing the release of specific information prevail over the general exceptions to disclosure found in the Act. *See* Attorney General Opinion DM-146 at 3 (1992); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 613 at 4 (1993) (exceptions in Act cannot impinge on statutory right of access to information), 451 (1986) (specific statutory right of access provisions overcome general exceptions to disclosure under the Act). Thus, the department may not withhold any portion of the CR-3 report under section 552.1175 of the Government Code.

You also assert portions of the accident report are confidential under section 552.130 of the Government Code. Section 552.130 excepts from disclosure information relating to a motor vehicle operator's license, driver's license, motor vehicle title, or registration issued by an agency of this state or another state or county. *See* Gov't Code § 552.130(a)(1)-(2). As noted above, a statutory right of access generally prevails over the Act's general exceptions to disclosure. *See* ORDs 613 at 4, 451. However, because section 552.130 has its own access provisions, we conclude section 552.130 is not a general exception under the Act. Thus, we must address the conflict between the access provided under section 550.065 of the Transportation Code and the confidentiality provided under section 552.130. Where information falls within both a general and a specific provision of law, the specific provision prevails over the general. *See Horizon/CMS Healthcare Corp. v. Auld*, 34 S.W.3d 887, 901 (Tex. 2000) ("more specific statute controls over the more general"); *Cuellar v. State*, 521 S.W.2d 277 (Tex. Crim. App. 1975) (under well-established rule of statutory construction, specific statutory provisions prevail over general ones); Open Records Decision Nos. 598 (1991), 583 (1990), 451. As mentioned above, section 550.065 specifically provides access only to accident reports of the type at issue, while section 552.130 generally excepts motor vehicle record information maintained in any context. Thus, we conclude the access to accident reports provided under section 550.065 is more specific than the general confidentiality provided under section 552.130. Accordingly, the department may not withhold any portion of the accident report under section 552.130. Therefore, the department must release the CR-3 crash report in its entirety to the requestor pursuant to section 550.065(c)(4) of the Transportation Code. The department must withhold the remaining information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 261.201 of the Family Code.²

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

²As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure of this information.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at http://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open/orl_ruling_info.shtml, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act may be directed to the Office of the Attorney General, toll free, at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Lee Seidlits", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Lee Seidlits
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CLS/dls

Ref: ID# 536235

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Requestor
(w/o enclosures)